Pages 1-3, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Planetary Ventures ("PV"), a subsidiary of Google Inc. ("Google"), is pleased to provide the following proposal for Moffett Federal Airfield and Hangar One ("MFA") assets. (b)

(b) (4)

Immediate capital investments in existing assets (b) (4)

o Re-siding and restoration of Hangar One, eliminating the Government's requirement to fund the work (b) (4)

o (b) (4)

restoring Hangars Two & Three (b) (4)

(b) (4)

Creating new educational/museum space open to the public (b) (4)
 (b) (4)

(b) (4)

 Enhancing Golf Course for public play (b) (4)

Elgerman

(b) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4)

Offeror's Concept. The project will consist of re-siding Hangar One and utilizing the internal space for research, testing, assembly, and development related to space, aviation, rover/robotics and other emerging technologies. (b) (4)

the intent is to refurbish Hangars Two and Three and utilize them for purposes similar to Hangar One. The Golf Course will be refurbished and opened to the general public. (b) (4)

and in addition will provide for an educational and museum facility with surrounding gardens, integrated parking and cutdoor aviation exhibits.

(b) (4)

Overmost.

OFFEROR IDENTIFICATION

Offeror Name and Address
Planetary Ventures, LLC (a Delaware limited liability company)
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043

Coresview

(b) (4)

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Planetary Ventures, LLC, a subsidiary of Google Inc. (Offeror/Sponsor)
As the Offering entity, Planetary Ventures, LLC is backed by the financial wherewithal of Google Inc., the sole and managing member of Planetary Ventures. (b) (4)

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal,

AvPORTS (Airfield Operator)

Industry leaders in Airport Management, Facility Management and Fixed Base Operations services, AvPORTS will serve as Airfield Operator for the Project Team (b) (4), (b) (7)(F) (b) (4)

Use or d'aclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

(b) (4), (b) (7)(F)

OB Sports (Golf Course Operator)

An exceptionally qualified team member, OB Sports will serve as Golf Course Operator for the Planetary Ventures team, overseeing the operation, management and maintenance of the golf course. (b) (4)

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Google Inc.

Google Inc. is a global technology company that provides a variety of services and products. These include: search; consumer and enterprise productivity software such as Gmail, Calendar, and Drive; Android operating system; Chrome browser and Chromebooks; Google+ and Hangouts; Google Maps; Google Wallet; Google Play; Nexus tablets; online advertising technologies such as AdWords and AdSense; YouTube; and more.

(b) (4)

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Use or disclosure of data confained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Pages 19-176, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

Scope of Development / Key Features of the Proposal

As part of this proposal, the Offeror will agree to undertake the following:

- o Hangar One (See Hangar One detailed Scope below)
 - Re-side Hangar One within two years of receiving necessary permits and approvals.
 - Utilize Hangar One for research, testing, assembly, and development related to space, aviation, rover/robotics and other emerging technologies.
- Educational/Not-for Profit Museum
 (b) (4)
 - (b) (4) 90,000 square feet of space for non-profit education and museum enterprise (b) (4)



o Hangars Two and Three (b) (4)

the intent is to refurbish Hangars Two and Three and rent or utilize them for purposes similar to Hangar One - research, testing, assembly, and development related to space, aviation, rover/robotics and other emerging technologies.

o Golf Course

- Refurbish the golf course and clubhouse utilizing eco-friendly techniques.
- Open the golf course for public use (b) (4)
- Evaluate and implement additional upgrades to the golf course to further enhance the golf experience.
- (b) (4)

(b) (4),

(b) (7)

(F)

(b) (4), (b) (7)(F)

(b) (4)

The Offeror is committed to re-siding and rehabilitating Hangar One within two years of receipt of permits, and rehabilitating Hangars Two and Three, along with the Airfield and

Golf Course. (b) (4)

Hangar One Redevelopment - Detailed Scope

Hangar One Siding: From its inception, Hangar One was conceived as a state-of-the-art, futuristic facility on a grand scale. Its design is functional and underscored the emerging science and industry of aviation and aeronautics in the 1930s. (b) (4)

The interior hangar structure will remain largely intact both

visually and structurally.

Seismic / Structural: For the rehabilitation of Hangar One (as well as Hangars Two and Three), the Offeror will comply with the requirements of APD 8829.1 for seismic performance requirements and upgrades. Seismic upgrades will be performed in a similar fashion to the upgrades presented in NASA's Condition Assessment and Rehabilitation Plan Hangar One dated November 30, 2011. The Offeror's design team reviewed the document and its assumptions, and has included provisions for work that include enhancement or fortification and strengthening of select structural steel members. The soil work will include in-situ ground improvement without groundwater extraction to mitigate potential soil liquefaction under seismic events. As part of this work, portions of the slab-on-grade for the hangar will be removed and replaced. Strengthening of steel members will include the addition of angle structural shapes to existing angle members. To preserve the original look of the hangar steel construction, connections will be bolted together in a similar fashion as the existing bolted connections.

(b) (4)

and Renovation and Adaptive Reuse of Hangar One

(o) (4)

(b) (4)

(b) (4), (b) (7)(F)

(b) (4)

(b) (4)

Proposal Benefits / Meeting NASA's Goals

As summarized below, the Offeror's proposal provides a combination of financial and other benefits to NASA as well as the community at large:

- o Financial
 - Provides near and long term net financial proceeds to NASA via:
 - Receipt of on-going annual rents for MFA.
 - Elimination of NASA's obligation to continue funding MFA operating costs.
 - Elimination of NASA's obligation to address the considerable deferred maintenance items at the airfield.
 - Elimination of the NASA/Navy obligation to re-side Hangar One.
 - (b) (4)

Environmental

- Contemplates the refurbishment and maintenance of the golf course utilizing ecofriendly techniques.
 (b) (4)
- o Historic Preservation and Other Public Benefits
 - Provides for the immediate restoration of Hangar One to include re-siding and maintenance of its historic integrity.
 - Provides dedicated space for a non-profit user for a combination of educational, assembly, and exhibition purposes.
 (b) (4)
 - Opens the golf course to the general public.
 (b) (4)
 - Provides critical and immediate investments to preserve / stabilize Hangars Two and (b) (4)

Hangar One will be

re-sided and rehabilitated within two years of receiving necessary permits and approvals. The proposal eliminates all direct and contingent financial liability of NASA related to the operation of MFA during the term of the lease. (b) (4)

This proposal offers a positive budget impact to the Government over the full 96 year term of the initial lease and renewal periods (b) (4)

This consists of annual guaranteed, non-contingent rent payments of (b) (4)

\$21M/Year during the first renewal term, \$21.5M/Year during the second renewal term and \$22M/Year during the final renewal term) and relieving the Government of its current operating and on-going expenses for the Airfield and Golf Course (b) (4)

(b) (4)

Key Assumptions

DEVELOPMENT PHASING PLAN

The Development Phasing Plan for initial proposed improvements to MFA envisions three separate but interconnected sets of projects: 1) hangar restoration and adaptive reuse; 2) airfield improvements; and 3) golf course enhancements.

(b) (4)

Hangar Restoration and Reuse

The most complex part of the work will be the hangar restoration. The initial focus of the hangar redevelopment team will be the re-siding and restoration of the exterior structure of Hangar One. (b) (4)

Once all necessary permits for the re-siding and restoration of Hangar One are received (b) (4)

. විසින්ව ධර්ණය ක්රීම ඉන්න 10 ක් රාත කිය නොකර සිට පුරක් කියල ක්රීම වූ වුන්ව ක්රීම ක්රීම කියල කියල සිට කියල කියල

Hangar One re-siding and rehabilitation will commence (b) (4)

(b) (4), (b) (7)(F)

(b) (4), (b) (7)(F)

Golf Course Improvements

(b) (4)

MANAGEMENT AND OPERATING PLAN

Overall

(b) (4)

effort. Best-in-class companies have also been retained to provide on-going management and operation of the Airfield (AvPORTS) and Golf Course (OB Sports), (b) (4)



Pages 187-190, Redacted in Full (b)(4), b(7)(F)

(b) (4), (b) (7)(F)

The Golf Course

(b) (4)

Overview of Planned Improvements to the Existing Operation: The manager will undertake the following improvements at the Golf Course:

the second of comments of the first of the second of the s

Section 2 [Page 17



(b) (4)

 Upgrade the presentation of signage, the exterior of the building, and the visual image of the facility - initial improvements will include new paint inside and out to accompany new signs and fixtures.

(b) (4)







Pages 194-195, Redacted in Full (b)(4), b(7)(F)

(b) (4), (b) (7)(F)

ENVIRONMENTAL MARRATIVE

Companies of the experience of the safe and the experience of the safe and the experience of the exper

Pages 198-199, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

FACILITY AND SITE DESIGN NARRATIVE

The approach to the adaptive reuse of MFA assets will respect the historic integrity of the site and facilities that define its significance as an iconic symbol of Silicon Valley. (b) (4)

The project team will (b) (4)

re-side the hangar to restore its functional and architectural integrity, and restore its use (b) (4)

. The new site design will reference original elements (such as mooring masts and landing circles) and will respect the industrial landscape character of the airfield as well as the cultural landscape of the Historic District.

(b) (4)

<u>Size/ Scope</u>: The project will consist of re-siding the hangar and utilizing the internal space for research, testing, assembly, and development related to space, aviation, rover/robotics and other emerging technologies. (b) (4)

(b) (4)

Section 2 | Page 27

Borg realism was a marked right made of the Control of the Control

Pages 202-203, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

Pages 204-209, Redacted in Full (b)(4), b(7)(F)

Page 210, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

Page 211, Redacted in Full (b)(4), b(7)(F)

Pages 212-221, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

GOOGLE INC'S ANNUAL REPORTS

2012	Annual Report	
	vt attached	

2011 Annual Report

Available on line at justice seems and a market in the seems and of the seems and the seems are seems and the seems and the seems are seems are seems and the seems are seems are seen as the seems

2010 Annual Report

Available on line at the first of the second of the first of the second of the second



Here's to Lady Ada Lovelace. In 1843, she foresaw the future of computing. In 1843, Ada Lovelace imagined a machine capable of extraordinary things, limited only by the creativity of its programmer—nearly a century before the first computers were built.

Ada was inspired by her colleague Charles Babbage's Analytic Engine. She saw Babbage's engine as a machine that could manipulate symbols in accordance with a set of rules, but eloquently observed that the symbols could extend well beyond numbers and equations:

The Analytical Engine weaves algebraic patterns just as the Jacquard loom weaves flowers and leaves.

In collaboration with Babbage, she contributed step-by-step instructions for how the machine could calculate a sequence of Bernoulli numbers. In effect, this was the world's first published algorithm.

Ada imagined a device that could accomplish far more than mathematics. She mused about its potential to compose music:

Supposing, for instance, that the fundamental relations of pitched sounds in the science of harmony and of musical composition were susceptible of such expression and adaptations, the engine might compose elaborate and scientific pieces of music of any degree of complexity or extent.

We salute Ada Lovelace as computing's visionary founder and hope she'd be proud of the world she inspired.

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Z.; ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(0) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012
OR
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Commission file number: 000-50726

GOOGLE INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

77-0493581

(State or other Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1600 Amphitheatre Parkway Mountain View, CA 94043

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(650) 253-0000

(Registrant's telephone number; including area code)

	freeDieri erise gerebiionig in	billibely illebuilly direct codes			
SECU	IRITIES REGISTERED PURSUA	ANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE A	CT:		
Title of ea	Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered				
Class A Common Sto	ck, \$0.001 par value	Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (Nasdaq Global Select Market)			
SECU		ANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE A	ACT:		
	Class B Common 5	each class tock, \$0.001 par value Class A Common Stock			
Indicate by check mark	<u>, </u>		YES	NO	
• if the registrant is a well-known	seasoned Issuer, as defined in F	Rule 405 of the Securities Act.	V	["]	
• If the registrant is not required t	to file reports pursuant to Section	on 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.		7	
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 the registrant was required to fli- for the past 90 days.	4 during the preceding 12 mont e such reports), and (2) has beer	filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the ths (or for such shorter period that a subject to such filing requirements	V		
every Interactive Data File requir	red to be submitted and posted during the preceding 12 montl	ed on its corporate Website, if any, pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation ns (or for such shorter period that	V		
 if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. 					
whether the registrant is a large definitions of flarge accelerated in	accelerated filer, an accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "sma	d filer, a non-accelerated filer or a sm ller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2	aller reporting of the Exchange	ompany. See the Act. (Check one):	
Large accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller reporti		
• whether the registrant is a shell	company (as defined in Rule 12	2b-2 of the Exchange Act).		VI	

At June 30, 2012, the aggregate market value of shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant (based upon the closing sale price of such shares on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on June 29, 2012) was \$134,705,433,770.

At January 23, 2013, there were 267,500,149 shares of the registrant's Class A common stock outstanding and 62,163,063 shares of the registrant's Class B common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated herein by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to the extent stated herein. Such proxy statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.



Google Inc.
Form 10-K
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

Table of Contents

Note Abo	ut Forward-Looking Statements	1
Part I		2
ITEM 1.	Business	
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	9
ITEM 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	20
ITEM 2.	Properties	20
ITEM 3.	Legal Proceedings	20
ITEM 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	20
Part II		21
ITEM 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters	
	and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	21
ITEM 6.	Selected Financial Data	74
ITEM 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	 75
ITEM 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	41
ITEM 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	 ∡3
ITEM 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	75
ITEM 9A.	Controls and Procedures	75
ITEM 9B.	Other Information	75
Part III		76
ITEM 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	
ITEM 11.	Executive Compensation	76
ITEM 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	
ITEM 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	/0 76
ITEM 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	76
	(9)	
Part IV		-77
ITEM 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	77



229

Note About Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include, among other things, statements regarding:

- the growth of our business and revenues and our expectations about the factors that influence our success and trends in our business;
- seasonal fluctuations in internet usage and traditional retail seasonality, which are likely to cause fluctuations in our quarterly results;
- our plans to continue to invest in systems, facilities, and infrastructure, to increase in our hiring and provide competitive compensation programs, as well as to continue our current pace of acquisitions;
- · the potential for declines in our revenue growth rate;
- our expectation that growth in advertising revenues from our websites will continue to exceed that from our Google Network Members' websites, which will have a positive impact on our operating margins;
- our expectation that we will continue to pay most of the fees we receive from advertisers to our Google Network Members:
- our expectations about the impact of our acquisition of Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (Motorola) on our results and business and our ability to realize the expected benefits from the acquisition and successfully implement our plans and expectations for Motorola's business;
- our expectation that we will continue to take steps to Improve the relevance of the ads we deliver and to reduce the number of accidental clicks;
- fluctuations in aggregate paid clicks and average cost-per-click;
- our belief that our foreign exchange risk management program will not fully offset the exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates;
- the increase of costs related to hedging activities under our foreign exchange risk management program;
- our expectation that our cost of revenues, research and development expenses, sales and marketing expenses, and general
 and administrative expenses will increase in dollars and may increase as a percentage of revenues;
- our potential exposure in connection with pending investigations and proceedings;
- our expectations about our board of directors' intention to declare a dividend of shares of the new Class C capital stock, as well as the timing of that dividend, if declared and paid;
- · our expectation that our traffic acquisition costs will fluctuate in the future;
- our continued investments in international markets;
- estimates of our future compensation expenses;
- fluctuations in our effective tax rate;
- the sufficiency of our sources of funding;
- our payment terms to certain advertisers, which may increase our working capital requirements;
- fluctuations in our capital expenditures;
- · our expectations about the timing of disposition of the Home business;

as well as other statements regarding our future operations, financial condition and prospects, and business strategies. Forward-looking statements may appear throughout this report, including without limitation, the following sections: Item 1 "Business," Item 1A "Risk Factors," and Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by words such as "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "predicts," "projects," "will be," "will continue," "will likely result," and similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to risks and uncertainties, which could cause our actual results to differ materially from those reflected in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and in particular, the risks discussed under the caption "Risk Factors" in Item 1A and those discussed in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). We undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements, except as required by law. Given these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

As used herein, "Google," "we," "our," and similar terms include Google Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.

"Google" and other trademarks of ours appearing in this report are our property. This report contains additional trade names and trademarks of other companies. We do not intend our use or display of other companies' trade names or trademarks to imply an endorsement or sponsorship of us by such companies, or any relationship with any of these companies.



PART I

ITEM 1. Business

Overview

Google is a global technology leader focused on improving the ways people connect with information. We aspire to build products and provice services that improve the lives of billions of people globally. Our mission is to organize the world's information and make it universally accessible and useful. Our innovations in web search and advertising have made our website a top internet property and our brand one of the most recognized in the world.

Our Motorola business is comprised of two operating segments. The Mobile segment is focused on mobile wireless devices and related products and services. The Home segment is focused on technologies and devices that provide video entertainment services to consumers by enabling subscribers to access a variety of interactive digital television services.

We generate revenue primarily by delivering relevant, cost-effective online advertising. Businesses use our AdWords program to promote their products and services with targeted advertising, in addition, the third parties that comprise the Google Network use our AdSense program to deliver relevant ads that generate revenues and enhance the user experience. We also generate revenues from Motorola by selling hardware products.

In December 2012, we entered into an agreement with Arris Group, Inc. (Arris) and certain other persons providing for the disposition of our Motorola Home segment. The transaction is expected to close in 2013.

Our business is primarily focused around the following key areas: search, advertising, operating systems and platforms, enterprise and hardware products.

We were Incorporated in California in September 1998 and reincorporated in Delaware In August 2003. Our headquarters are located at 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, California 94043, and our telephone number is (650) 253-0000. We completed our initial public offering in August 2004 and our Class A common stock is listed on the Nasdaq Globai Select Market under the symbol "GOOG."

2012 Corporate Highlights

- Android—The growth of our Android operating system continues to impress with approximately half a billion Android devices activated globally through September 2012.
- Google Play—We launched Google Play, an entirely cloud-based, digital entertainment destination with more than 700,000
 apps and games plus music, movies and books that our users can find, enjoy and share on the web and on their Android
 phone or tablet.
- Social—"Growing the + and shipping the Google." In 2011, we launched Google+, a new way to share online just like users
 do in the real world, sharing different things with different people. In late 2011 and continuing in 2012, we have tightened
 integration between Google+ and our other Google properties, such as Gmail and YouTube and now have 235 million active
 users across our Google properties.
- Nexus 7 Tablet—We launched a powerful new tablet in June 2012 with a vibrant, 7" high-definition display. The Tegra-3 chipset, with a quad-core CPU and 12-core GPU, makes everything, including games, extremely fast. And at only 340 grams, lighter than most tablets, Nexus 7 was built to bring users the best of Google that can be held in the palm of the user's hand.
- Google Now—We introduced Google Now, a predictive search feature that gets you just the right information at just the
 right time. It tells you the day's weather before you start your day, how much traffic to expect before you leave for work or
 school, when the next train will arrive as you're standing on the platform, or your favorite tearn's score while they're playing
 all automatically with cards appearing throughout the day at the moment you need them.
- Knowledge Graph—Google's Knowledge Graph, introduced in 2012, enables the user to search for things, people or places
 that Google knows about landmarks, celebrities, cities, sports teams, buildings, geographical features, movies, works of
 arts and more and enhances Google Search-by understanding the ambiguities in language and by better understanding
 a user's query.



On December 19, 2012, Google and Arris announced that Motorola Mobility had entered into an agreement (Motorola Agreement) with Arris and certain other persons providing for the disposition of the Home business for total consideration of approximately \$2,35 billion in cash and common stock, subject to certain adjustments. Arris announced in January 2013 that it has agreed to sell approximately 10.6 million shares of its common stock, valued at \$150.0 million, to Comcast Corporation with the closing of the Comcast investment and the Home business disposition expected to occur simultaneously. As provided for in the Motorola Agreement, the Comcast transaction will reduce the amount of stock consideration and increase the amount of cash consideration to be received by Google, but will not affect the total consideration. Specifically, the shares issued to Comcast will reduce, on a share-for-share basis, the number of shares of Arris common stock to be issued to Google and simultaneously increase the cash consideration to be received by Google by \$150.0 million. Assuming the completion of the Comcast transaction, Comcast and Google will each own approximately 7.85% of the outstanding Arris common stock post-closing based on Arris' capitalization as of the date of Arris' announcement of the Comcast transaction. The disposition of the Home business to Arris is not contingent upon Arris' sale of common stock to Comcast. In the event that Arris' sale of common stock to Comcast does not close for any reason, there will be no reduction in the amount of shares of Arris common stock to be issued to Google and no corresponding increase in the amount of cash consideration. In that case, Google will own approximately 15.7% of the outstanding Arris common stock post-closing based on Arris' capitalization as of the date of the Arris' announced transaction with Google. The disposition of the Home business is expected to close in 2013.

In January 2013, the FTC closed its investigations into our business practices, including search and advertising. In connection with the closing of the investigation, we have voluntarily agreed to make certain product changes. In addition, we and Motorola have entered into a consent order with the FTC setting forth certain guidelines on our use of standards-essential patents in litigation.

Search

Our search technologies sort through an ever-growing amount of information to deliver relevant and useful search results in response to user queries. We integrate innovative features into our search service and offer specialized search services to help users tailor their search. In addition, we are constantly improving and adding to our products and services, to provide users with more relevant results so that users find what they are looking for faster. For instance, when users want to plan a trip, Flight Search is a feature that makes it easy for users to find flights that meet their needs. Whether they have a specific destination with dates in mind or not, Flight Search can help users quickly find the best options for their trips. We also offer Product Listing Ads, which include richer product information, such as product image, price, and merchant information, without requiring additional keywords or ad text.

In January 2012, we launched Search plus Your World. Now, when a user performs a signed-in search on Google, the user's results page may include Google+ content from people that the user is close to (or might be interested in following). Relevant Google+ profiles and Google+ pages related to a specific topic or area of interest may also appear on a user's results page.

In 2012, we also introduced Google Now and Google's Knowledge Graph. Google Now is a predictive search feature that gets you just the right information at just the right time. It tells you the day's weather before you start your day, how much traffic to expect before you leave for work or school, when the next train will arrive as you're standing on the platform, or your favorite team's score while they're playing—all automatically with cards appearing throughout the day at the moment you need them. Google's Knowledge Graph, introduced in 2012, enables the user to search for things, people or places that Google knows about – landmarks, celebrities, cities, sports teams, buildings, geographical features, movies, works of arts and more – and enhances Google Search in three main ways:

- Find the Right Thing—By understanding the ambiguities and nuances in language the way users do, the Knowledge Graph makes Google Search more intelligent and relevant.
- Get the Best Summary—With the Knowledge Graph, we can better understand a user's query, so that we can summarize relevant content around that topic, including key facts users likely need for that particular query.
- Go Deeper and Broader—The Knowledge Graph can help you make some unexpected discoveries. We've always believed that the perfect search engine should understand exactly what you mean and give you back exactly what you want. And we can sometimes help answer your next question before you've asked it, because the facts we show are informed by what other people have searched for.

Advertising

Google Search

The goal of AdWords, our primary auction-based advertising program, is to deliver ads that are so useful and relevant to search queries or web content that they are a form of information in their own right. With AdWords, advertisers create simple text-based ads that then appear beside related search results or web content on our websites and on thousands of partner websites in our Google Network, which is the network of third parties that use our advertising programs to deliver relevant ads with their search



results and content. Most of our AdWords customers pay us on a cost-per-click basis, which means that an advertiser pays us only when a user clicks on one of its ads. We also offer AdWords on a cost-per-impression basis that enables advertisers to pay us based on the number of times their ads appear on our websites and our Google Network Members' websites as specified by the advertiser.

Our AdSense program enables websites that are part of the Google Network to deliver ads from our AdWords advertisers that are relevant to the search results or content on their websites. We share the majority of the revenues generated from these ads with the Google Network Members that display the ads. The AdSense program enables advertisers to extend the reach of their ad campaigns, improves our partners' ability to generate revenue from their content, and delivers relevant ads for their users.

To make mobile ad buying seamless and accessible for more than a million AdWords advertisers, we integrated our AdMob technology directly into our AdWords system in June 2012. This enables advertisers to run effective campaigns across the more than 300,000 mobile applications running ads by AdMob – all from within the AdWords interface. AdWords advertisers can now manage, measure and adjust search, display and video ads, reaching people on more than 2 million websites and hundreds of thousands of apps, across all screens.

Google Display

Display advertising comprises the videos, text, images, and other interactive ads that run across the web on computers and mobile devices, including smart phones and handheld computers such as netbooks and tablets. The Google Display Network provides advertisers services related to the delivery of display advertising across publishers participating in our AdSense program, publishers participating in the DoubleClick Ad Exchange, and Google-owned sites such as YouTube and Google Finance.

Through our DoubleClick advertising technology, we provide to publishers, agencies, and advertisers the ad serving technology, which is the infrastructure that enables billions of ads to be served each day across the web. Our DoubleClick Ad Exchange creates a real-time auction marketplace for the trading of display ad space. We aim to simplify display advertising so it is easier for advertisers and publishers to manage campaigns across different formats, on different websites, and for different devices.

In addition, YouTube provides a range of video, interactive, and other ad formats for advertisers to reach their intended audience. YouTube's video advertising solutions give advertisers a way to promote their content to the YouTube community, as well as to associate with content being watched by their target audience. YouTube also offers analytic tools to help advertisers understand their audience and derive general business intelligence. In the past year, YouTube has experienced strong growth in mobile viewers and has established key partnerships with content companies to help monetize mobile video.

Google Mobile

Mobile advertising is still in early innings, though the mobile device is quickly becoming the world's newest gateway to information. Google is focused on developing easy-to-use ad products to help advertisers extend their reach, help create revenue opportunities for our publisher partners, and deliver relevant and useful ads to users on the go.

Google Mobile extends our products and services by providing mobile-specific features to mobile device users. Our mobile-specific search technologies include search by voice, search by sight, and search by location. Google Mobile also optimizes a large number of Google's applications for mobile devices in both browser and downloadable form. In addition, we offer advertisers the ability to run search ad campaigns on mobile devices with popular mobile-specific ad formats, such as click-to-call ads in which advertisers can include a phone number within ad text. AdMob also offers effective ad units and solutions for application developers and advertisers. We continue to invest in improving users' access to Google services through their mobile devices.

Google Local

Googie is committed to providing users with relevant local information. We've organized information around more than 80 million places globally from various sources across the web. Users can find addresses, phone numbers, hours of operation, directions and more for millions of local queries like shops, restaurants, parks and landmarks right on Googie.com, on Googie Maps and on Googie Maps for mobile. They can also discover more places that are right for them by rating the places they've been, and getting customized recommendations based on their tastes and those of their friends directly within Google Maps. Our products and services also help local business owners manage their online presence and connect with potential customers. Millions of business owners have verified their free business listings via Google Places to ensure that users have up-to-date information about their establishments, and to contribute additional details such as photos and products/services offered. Google Offers brings people daily deals from local and national businesses, redeemable for discounted goods or services. From restaurants to spa treatments to outdoor adventures, Google has deals from the best businesses a city has to offer as well as popular national brands.

ITEM 1. Business



Operating Systems and Platforms

Android

Working closely with the Open Handset Alliance, a business alliance of more than 75 technology and mobile companies, we developed Android, a free, fully open source mobile software platform that any developer can use to create applications for mobile devices and any handset manufacturer can install on a device. We believe Android will drive greater innovation and choice in the mobile device ecosystem, and provide consumers with a more powerful mobile experience.

Google Chrome OS and Google Chrome

Google Chrome OS is an open source operating system with the Google Chrome web browser as its foundation. Both the Google Chrome OS and the Google Chrome browser are built around the core tenets of speed, simplicity, and security. Designed for people who spend most of their time on the web, the Google Chrome OS is a new approach to operating systems. We are working with several original equipment manufacturers to bring computers running Google Chrome OS to users and businesses. The Chrome browser runs on Windows, Mac, and Linux computers.

Google+

Google+ is a new way to share online just like users do in the real world, sharing different things with different people. In late 2011 and continuing in 2012, we have tightened integration between Google+ and our other Google properties, such as Gmail and YouTube and now have 235 million active users across our Google properties.

Google Play

Google Play is an entirely cloud-based, digital entertainment destination with more than 700,000 apps and games plus music, movies and books that our users can find, enjoy and share on the web and on their Android phone or tablet.

Google Drive

Google Drive is a place where users can create, share, collaborate, and keep all of their stuff. Google Docs is built right into Google Drive so users can work with others in real time on documents, spreadsheets and presentations and users' files go everywhere they do. When users change a file on the web, on their computer, or on their mobile device, the file updates on every device where users have installed Google Drive.

Google Wallet

Google Wallet is a virtual wallet that securely stores your credit and debit cards, offers, and rewards cards. Users can tap their phone to pay in-store using Google Wallet anywhere contactless payments are accepted – at over 200,000 merchants across the United States. Users can also pay online by signing into their Google Wallet account.

Google TV

Google TV is a platform that gives consumers the power to experience television and the internet on a single screen, with the ability to search and find the content they want to watch. The Google TV platform is based on the Android operating system and runs the Google Chrome browser.

Enterprise

Google's enterprise products provide familiar, easy-to-use Google technology for business settings. Through Google Apps, which includes Cmail, Google Docs, Google Calendar, and Google Sites, among other features, we provide hosted, web-based applications that people can use on any device with a browser and an internet connection. In addition, we provide our search technology for use within enterprises through the Google Search Appliance (real-time search of business applications, intranet applications, and public websites), on their public-facing sites with Google Site Search (custom search engine), and Google Commerce Search (for online retail enterprises). We also provide versions of our Google Maps Application Programming Interface (API) for businesses (including fully interactive Google Maps for public and internal websites), as well as Google Earth Enterprise (a behind-the-company-firewall software solution for imagery and data visualization). Our enterprise solutions have been adopted by a variety of businesses, governments, schools, and non-profit organizations. Google Apps is the first cloud computing suite of message and collaboration tools to receive U.S. government security certification.



Motorola

Our Motorola Mobility business is comprised of two operating segments. The Mobile segment is focused on mobile wireless devices and related products and services. The Home segment is focused on technologies and devices that provide video entertainment services to consumers by enabling subscribers to access a variety of interactive digital television services. In December 2012, we entered into an agreement with Arris Group, Inc. and certain other persons providing for the disposition of our Home segment. The transaction is expected to close in 2013.

Research

We continue to develop new products and services and to enhance our existing ones through research and product development and the licensing and acquisition of third-party businesses and technology. Our product development philosophy is to launch innovative products early and often, and then Iterate rapidly to make those products even better. We often post early stage products at test locations online or directly on Google.com. We then use data and user feedback to decide if and how to invest further in those products.

Our research and development expenses were \$3.8 billion, \$5.2 billion, and \$6.8 billion in 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively, which included stock-based compensation expense of \$861 million, \$1.1 billion, and \$1.3 billion, respectively. We expect to continue to invest in building the employee and systems infrastructures needed to support the development of new products and services and to improve existing ones.

Intellectual Property

We rely on a combination of Intellectual property laws, as well as confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions, to protect our proprietary technology and our brand. We have registered, and applied for the registration of, U.S. and international trademarks, service marks, domain names, and copyrights. Additionally, we have filed U.S. and international patent applications covering certain of our proprietary technology. Over time, we have assembled a portfolio of patents, trademarks, service marks, copyrights, domain names, and trade secrets covering our products and services. Our proprietary technology is not dependent on any single patent or copyright or groups of related patents or copyrights. We believe the duration of our patents is adequate relative to the expected lives of our products. Although we rigorously protect our proprietary technology, any significant impairment of, or third-party claim against, our intellectual property rights could harm our business or our ability to compete.

Sales and Support

We continue to develop and grow our sales and support infrastructure. We have over 85 offices in over 40 countries, the large majority of which include sales people. Our global sales and support infrastructure has specialized teams across vertical markets. We bring businesses into our advertising network through direct, remote, and online sales channels, using technology and automation wherever possible to improve our customers' experience and to grow our business cost-effectively. Our direct advertising and sales teams focus on building relationships with the largest advertisers and leading internet companies. We have built a multi-product sales force, with teams selling campaigns that include search, display (including DoubleClick and YouTube), and mobile advertising.

We provide customer service to our advertiser base through our global support organization. Our global support organization concentrates on helping our advertisers and Google Network Members get the most out of their relationship with us.

No individual customer or groups of affiliated customers represented more than 10% of our revenues in 2010, 2011, or 2012.

Government Contracts

No material portion of our business is subject to renegotiation of profits or termination of contracts or subcontracts at the election of the U.S. government.



Marketing

Google's global brand is well known. We believe that bullding a trusted, highly recognized brand begins with providing high-quality products and services that make a notable difference in people's lives. Marketing is responsible for generating advertiser revenue through marketing campaigns to small businesses, as well as providing thought leadership to chief marketing officers through industry insight, research, and analysis. Our marketing promotional, and public relations activities are designed to promote Google's brand image and differentiate it from competitors.

Competition

Our business is characterized by rapid change and converging, as well as new and disruptive, technologies. We face formidable competition in every aspect of our business, particularly from companies that seek to connect people with information on the web and provide them with relevant advertising. We face competition from:

- General purpose search engines, such as Yahoo and Microsoft's Bing.
- Vertical search engines and e-commerce websites, such as Kayak (travel queries), Monster.com (job queries), WebMD (for health queries), and Amazon.com and eBay (e-commerce). Some users will navigate directly to such websites rather than go through Google.
- Social networks, such as Facebook and Twitter. Some users are relying more on social networks for product or service referrals, rather than seeking information through general purpose search engines.
- Other forms of advertising, such as television, radio, newspapers, magazines, billboards, and yellow pages, for ad dollars.
 Our advertisers typically advertise in multiple media, both online and offline.
- Mobile applications on iPhone and Android devices, which allow users to access information directly from a publisher without using search engines.
- Providers of online products and services. A number of our online products and services, including Gmail, YouTube, and Google Docs, compete directly with new and established companies, which offer communication, information, and entertainment services integrated into their products or media properties.

We compete to attract and retain users, for whom other products and services are literally one click away, primarily on the basis of the relevance and usefulness of our search results and the features, availability, and ease of use of our products and services.

We also compete to attract and retain content providers (Google Network Members, as well as other content providers for whom we distribute or license content), primarily based on the size and quality of our advertiser base, our ability to help these partners generate revenues from advertising, and the terms of our agreements with them.

Government Regulation

We are subject to numerous domestic and foreign laws and regulations covering a wide variety of subject matter. New laws and regulations (or new interpretations of existing laws and regulations) may also impact our business. The costs of compliance with these laws and regulations are high and are likely to increase in the future and any failure on our part to comply with these laws may subject us to significant liabilities and other penalties.

Culture and Employees

We take great pride in our culture. We embrace collaboration and creativity, and encourage the iteration of ideas to address complex technical challenges. Transparency and open dialog are central to us, and we like to ensure that company news reaches our employees first through internal channels.

Despite our rapid growth, we still cherish our roots as a startup and give employees the freedom to act on their ideas regardless of role or function within the company. We strive to hire the best employees, with backgrounds and perspectives as diverse as our global users. We provide an environment where these talented people can have fulfilling careers working on some of the biggest challenges in technology, and have a huge, positive impact on the world.



At December 31, 2012, we had 53,861 full-time employees, consisting of 19,746 in research and development, 15,306 in sales and marketing, 5,214 in general and administrative, and 12,595 in operations. All of Google's full-time employees are also equityholders. with significant collective employee ownership. Although we have works councils and statutory employee representation obligations in certain countries, our U.S. employees are not represented by a labor union and we consider our employee relations to be good. Competition for qualified personnel in our industry is intense, particularly for software engineers, computer scientists, and other technical staff.

Global Operations and Geographic Data

We provide our products and services in more than 100 languages and in more than 50 countries, regions, and territories. On www.google.com or one of our other Google domains, users can find information in many different languages and in many different formats. The United States accounted for approximately 47% of our revenues in 2012. Information regarding financial data by geographic areas is set forth in Item 7 and Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 8.

Seasonality

Our business is affected by both seasonal fluctuations in internet usage and traditional retail seasonality. Internet usage generally slows during the summer months, and commercial queries typically increase significantly in the fourth quarter of each year. These seasonal trends have caused and will likely continue to cause, fluctuations in our quarterly results, including fluctuations in sequential revenue growth rates.

Available Information

Our website is located at www.google.com, and our investor relations website is located at http://investor.google.com. The following filings are available through our investor relations website after we file them with the SEC: Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarteriv Reports on Form 10-Q, and our Proxy Statements for our annual meetings of stockholders, for the last three years. These filings are also available for download free of charge on our investor relations website. We also provide a link to the section of the SECs website at www.sec.gov that has all of our public filings, including Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. Current Reports on Form 8-K, all amendments to those reports, our Proxy Statements, and other ownership related filings. Further, a copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is located at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room can be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

We webcast our earnings calls and certain events we participate in or host with members of the investment community on our investor relations website. Additionally, we provide notifications of news or announcements regarding our financial performance, including SEC fillings, Investor events, press and earnings releases, and blogs as part of our investor relations website as well as on our investor relations Google+ page (https://plus.google.com/+Google!nvestorRelations/posts). Investors and others can receive notifications of new information posted on our investor relations website in real time by signing up for email alerts and RSS feeds. Further corporate governance information, including our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, governance guidelines. board committee charters, and code of conduct, is also available on our investor relations website under the heading "Corporate Governance." The contents of our websites are not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or in any other report or document we file with the SEC, and any references to our websites are intended to be inactive textual references only.



ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Our operations and financial results are subject to various risks and uncertainties, including those described below, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and the trading price of our common stock.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry :

We face intense competition. If we do not continue to innovate and provide products and services that are useful to users, we may not remain competitive, and our revenues and operating results could be adversely affected.

Our business is rapidly evolving and intensely competitive, and is subject to changing technologies, shifting user needs, and frequent introductions of new products and services. Our ability to compete successfully depends heavily on providing products and services that make using the internet a more useful and enjoyable experience for our users and delivering innovative products and technologies to the marketplace. With our acquisition of Motorola, the competitive pressure to innovate will now encompass a wider range of products and services, including products and services that may be outside of our historical core business.

We have many competitors in different industries, including general purpose search engines, vertical search engines and e-commerce sites, social networking sites, traditional media companies, wireless mobile device companies, and providers of online products and services. Our current and potential competitors range from large and established companies to emerging start-ups. Established companies have longer operating histories and more established relationships with customers and users, and they can use their experience and resources in ways that could affect our competitive position, including by making acquisitions, investing aggressively in research and development, aggressively initiating intellectual property claims (whether or not meritorious) and competing aggressively for advertisers and websites. Emerging start-ups may be able to innovate and provide products and services faster than we can.

Our competitors are constantly developing innovations in web search, online advertising, wireless mobile devices, and web-based products and services. The research and development of new, technologically advanced products is also a complex and uncertain process requiring high levels of innovation and investment, as well as the accurate anticipation of technology, market trends and consumer needs. As a result, we must continue to invest significant resources in research and development, including through acquisitions, in order to enhance our web search technology and our existing products and services, and introduce new products and services that people can easily and effectively use. If we are unable to provide quality products and services, then acceptance rates for our products and services could decline and affect consumer and advertiser perceptions of our brand. In addition, these new products and services may present new and difficult technological and legal challenges, and we may be subject to claims if users of these offerings experience service disruptions or failures or other issues. Our operating results would also suffer if our innovations are not responsive to the needs of our users, advertisers, and Google Network Members, are not appropriately timed with market opportunities, or are not effectively brought to market. As technology continues to develop, our competitors may be able to offer user experiences that are, or that are seen to be, substantially similar to or better than ours. This may force us to compete in different ways and expend significant resources in order to remain competitive. If our competitors are more successful than we are in developing compelling products or in attracting and retaining users, advertisers, and content providers, our revenues and operating results could be adversely affected.

Our ongoing investment in new business strategies and new products, services, and technologies is inherently risky, and could disrupt our ongoing businesses.

We have invested and expect to continue to invest in new business strategies, products, services, and technologies. Such endeavors may involve significant risks and uncertainties, including distraction of management from current operations, insufficient revenues to offset liabilities assumed and expenses associated with these new investments, inadequate return of capital on our investments, and unidentified issues not discovered in our due diligence of such strategies and offerings. Because these new ventures are inherently risky, no assurance can be given that such strategies and offerings will be successful and will not adversely affect our reputation, financial condition, and operating results.



Acquisitions and investments could result in operating difficulties, dilution, and other harmful consequences that may adversely impact our business and results of operations.

Acquisitions are an important element of our overall corporate strategy and use of capital, and we expect our current pace of acculsitions to continue. These transactions could be material to our financial condition and results of operations, We also expect to continue to evaluate and enter into discussions regarding a wide array of potential strategic transactions. The process of integrating an acquired company, business, or technology has created, and will continue to create, unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures. The areas where we face risks include:

- Diversion of management time and focus from operating our business to acquisition integration challenges.
- Failure to successfully further develop the acquired business or technology.
- Implementation or remediation of controls, procedures, and policies at the acquired company,
- Integration of the acquired company's accounting human resource, and other administrative systems, and coordination of product, engineering, and sales and marketing functions.
- Transition of operations, users, and customers onto our existing platforms.
- Failure to obtain required approvals on a timely basis, if at all, from governmental authorities, or conditions placed upon approval, under competition and antitrust laws which could, among other things, delay or prevent us from completing a transaction, or otherwise restrict our ability to realize the expected financial or strategic goals of an acquisition.
- In the case of foreign acquisitions, the need to integrate operations across different cultures and languages and to address the particular economic, currency, political, and regulatory risks associated with specific countries.
- Cultural challenges associated with integrating employees from the acquired company into our organization, and retention of employees from the businesses we acquire.
- Liability for activities of the acquired company before the acquisition, including patent and trademark infringement claims, violations of laws, commercial disputes, tax liabilities, and other known and unknown liabilities.
- Litigation or other claims in connection with the acquired company, including claims from terminated employees, customers, former stockholders, or other third parties.

Our fallure to address these risks or other problems encountered in connection with our past or future acquisitions and investments could cause us to fail to realize the anticipated benefits of such acquisitions or investments, incur unanticipated liabilities, and harm our business generally.

Future accurisitions could also result in dilutive issuances of our equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, or amortization expenses, or impairment of goodwill, and restructuring charges, any of which could harm our financial condition or results. Also, the anticipated benefit of many of our acquisitions may not materialize.

We generate a significant portion of our revenues from advertising, and a reduction in spending by or loss of advertisers could seriously harm our business.

We generated 95% of Google revenues from our advertisers in 2012. Following our acquisition of Motorola, we still expect a significant portion of our revenues to come from advertising. Our advertisers can generally terminate their contracts with us at any time. Advertisers will not continue to do business with us if their investment in advertising with us does not generate sales leads, and ultimately customers, or if we do not deliver their advertisements in an appropriate and effective manner. If we are unable to remain competitive and provide value to our advertisers, they may stop placing ads with us, which would adversely affect our revenues and business.

In addition, expenditures by advertisers tend to be cyclical, reflecting overall economic conditions and budgeting and buying patterns. Adverse macroeconomic conditions can also have a material negative impact on the demand for advertising and cause our advertisers to reduce the amounts they spend on advertising, which could adversely affect our revenues and business.

Our revenue growth rate could decline over time, and we anticipate downward pressure on our operating margin in the future.

Our revenue growth rate could decline over time as a result of a number of factors, including increasing competition, changes in our product mix, including a significant increase in mobile search queries and a deceleration in the growth of desktop queries if monetization stays at current levels, and how users make queries and act on them, the challenges in maintaining our growth rate as our revenues increase to higher levels, the evolution of the online advertising market, including the increasing variety of online platforms for advertising, and the other markets in which we participate and the success of our investments in new business strategies, products, services, and technologies, such as our acquisition of Motorola.



The revenue growth rate of our Motorola business will also depend on a number of factors, including the success of the new products we plan to introduce, our reliance on several large customers, the absence of long-term exclusivity arrangements with such customers, our ability to gain significant market share in the mobile devices space, our reliance on third-party distributors, representatives and retailers to sell certain of its products and the successful implementation of our product and operating system strategies. Furthermore, industry consolidation in the telecommunications and cable industries could negatively impact Motorola's business because there would be fewer network operators and it could be more difficult to replace any lost customers. Any of these factors could have a negative impact on Motorola's business and have an adverse effect on our consolidated financial results.

We believe our operating margin will experience downward pressure as a result of increasing competition and Increased expenditures for many aspects of our business, including Motorola. For instance, our operating margin will experience downward pressure if a greater percentage of our revenues comes from ads placed on our Google Network Members' websites compared to revenues generated through ads placed on our own websites or if we spend a proportionately larger amount to promote the distribution of certain products, including Google Chrome. Both the margin on revenues we generate from our Google Network Members and the margin on revenues from our Motorola business are significantly less than the margin on revenues we generate from advertising on our websites. Also, the margins on advertising revenues from mobile devices and newer advertising formats are generally less than the margin on revenues we generate from advertising on our websites. Additionally, the margin we earn on revenues generated from our Google Network Members could decrease in the future if we pay an even larger percentage of advertising fees to our Google Network Members.

We are subject to increased regulatory scrutiny that may negatively impact our business.

The growth of our company and our expansion into a variety of new fields implicate a variety of new regulatory issues, and we have experienced increased regulatory scrutiny as we have grown. We continue to cooperate with the European Commission (EC), other international regulatory authorities, and several state attorneys general in investigations they are conducting with respect to our business and its impact on competition. Legislators and regulators, including those conducting investigations in the U.S. and Europe, may make legal and regulatory changes, or interpret and apply existing laws, in ways that make our products and services less useful to our users, require us to incur substantial costs, expose us to unanticipated civil or criminal liability, or cause us to change our business practices. These changes or increased costs could negatively impact our business and results of operations in material ways.

We are regularly subject to claims, suits, government investigations, and other proceedings that may result in adverse outcomes.

We are regularly subject to claims, suits, government Investigations, and other proceedings involving competition and antitrust (such as the pending investigations by the EC), Intellectual property, privacy, consumer protection, tax, labor and employment, commercial disputes, content generated by our users, goods and services offered by advertisers or publishers using our platforms, and other matters. Our acquisition of Motorola and our sale of hardware products also expose us to the risk of product liability and other litigation involving assertions about product defects, as well as health and safety, hazardous materials usage, and other environmental concerns. In addition, our businesses face intellectual property litigation, as further discussed later, that exposes us to the risk of exclusion and cease and desist orders, which could limit our ability to sell products and services.

Such claims, suits, government investigations, and proceedings are inherently uncertain and their results cannot be predicted with certainty. Regardless of the outcome, such legal proceedings can have an adverse impact on us because of legal costs, diversion of management resources, and other factors. Determining reserves for our pending litigation is a complex, fact-intensive process that requires significant judgment. It is possible that a resolution of one or more such proceedings could result in substantial fines and penalties that could adversely affect our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in a particular period. These proceedings could also result in reputational harm, criminal sanctions, consent decrees, or orders preventing us from offering certain features, functionalities, products, or services, requiring a change in our business practices or product recalls or other field action, or requiring development of non-infringing or otherwise altered products or technologies. Any of these consequences could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

More people are using devices other than personal computers to access the internet and accessing new platforms to make search queries. If manufacturers and users do not widely adopt versions of our web search technology, products, or operating systems developed for these devices, our business could be adversely affected.

The number of people who access the internet through devices other than personal computers, including mobile phones, smartphones, handheld computers such as netbooks and tablets, video game consoles, and television set-top devices, has increased dramatically in the past few years. The lower resolution, functionality, and memory associated with some alternative devices make the use of our products and services through such devices more difficult and the versions of our products and services developed for these devices may not be compelling to users, manufacturers, or distributors of alternative devices. Each manufacturer or distributor may establish unique technical standards for its devices, and our products and services may not work or be viewable on these devices as a result. Some manufacturers may also elect not to include our products on their devices. In addition, search queries are increasingly being undertaken via "apps" tailored to particular devices or social media platforms, which could affect our share of the search market over time. As new devices and platforms are continually being released, it is difficult to predict the problems we may encounter in adapting our products and services and developing competitive new products and services. We expect to continue to devote significant resources to the creation, support, and maintenance of mobile products and services. If we are



unable to attract and retain a substantial number of alternative device manufacturers, distributors, and users to our products and services, or if we are slow to develop products and technologies that are more compatible with alternative devices and platforms, we will fail to capture the opportunities available as consumers and advertisers transition to a dynamic, multi-screen environment.

Our business depends on a strong brand, and failing to maintain and enhance our brand would hurt our ability to expand our base of users, advertisers, Google Network Members, and other partners.

The brand identity that we have developed has significantly contributed to the success of our business. Maintaining and enhancing the "Googie" brand is critical to expanding our base of users, advertisers, Google Network Members, and other partners, We believe that the Importance of brand recognition will increase due to the relatively low barriers to entry in the internet market. Our brand may be negatively impacted by a number of factors, including data protection and security issues, service outages, and product malfunctions. If we fail to maintain and enhance the "Google" brand, or if we incur excessive expenses in this effort, our business, operating results, and financial condition will be materially and adversely affected. Maintaining and enhancing our brand will depend largely on our ability to be a technology leader and continue to provide high-quality innovative products and services, which we may not do successfully.

A variety of new and existing U.S. and foreign laws could subject us to claims or otherwise harm our business.

We are subject to numerous U.S. and foreign laws and regulations covering a wide variety of subject matters. New laws and regulations (or new interpretations of existing laws and regulations) may also impact our business. The costs of compliance with these laws and regulations are high and are likely to increase in the future. Any failure on our part to comply with these laws and regulations can result in negative publicity and diversion of management time and effort and may subject us to significant liabilities and other penalties.

Furthermore, many of these laws were adopted prior to the advent of the internet and related technologies and, as a result, do not contemplate or address the unique issues of the internet and related technologies. The laws that do reference the internet are being interpreted by the courts, but their applicability and scope remain uncertain. For example, the laws relating to the liability of providers of online services are currently unsettled both within the U.S. and abroad. Claims have also been, or may be, threatened and filed against us under both U.S. and foreign laws for defamation, invasion of privacy and other tort claims, unlawful activity, patent, copyright and trademark infringement, or other theories based on the nature and content of the materials searched and the ads posted by our users, our products and services, or content generated by our users. Moreover, recent amendments to U.S. patent laws may affect the ability of companies, including us, to protect their innovations and defend against claims of patent infringement.

In addition, the Digital Millennium Copyright Act has provisions that limit, but do not necessarily eliminate, our liability for caching or hosting, or for listing or linking to, third-party websites that include materials that infringe copyrights or other rights, so long as we comply with the statutory requirements of this act. Any future legislation impacting these safe harbors may adversely impact us. Various U.S. and international laws restrict the distribution of materials considered harmful to children and impose additional restrictions on the ability of online services to collect information from minors. In the area of data protection, many states have passed laws requiring notification to users when there is a security breach for personal data, such as California's Information Practices Act. We face similar risks and costs as our products and services are offered in international markets and may be subject to additional regulations.

We are, and may in the future be, subject to Intellectual property or other claims, which are costly to defend, could result in significant damage awards, and could limit our ability to use certain technologies in the future.

Internet, technology, and media companies own large numbers of patents, copyrights, trademarks, and trade secrets and frequently enter into litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. In addition, patent holding companies may continue to seek to monetize patents they have purchased or otherwise obtained. As we have grown, the intellectual property rights claims against us have increased and may continue to increase as we develop new products, services, and technologies.

We have had patent, copyright, and trademark infringement lawsuits filed against us claiming that certain of our products, services, and technologies, including Android, Google Search, Google AdWords, Google AdSense, Motorola products, Google Books, Google News, Google Image Search, Google Chrome, Google Talk, Google Volce, and YouTube, infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Third parties have also sought broad injunctive relief against us by filing claims in the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) for exclusion and cease and desist orders, which could limit our ability to sell our products or services in the U.S. or elsewhere if our products or services or those of our customers or suppliers are found to infringe the intellectual property subject to the claims. Adverse results in any of these lawsuits may include awards of substantial monetary damages, costly royalty or licensing agreements (if licenses are available at all), or orders preventing us from offering certain features, functionalities, products, or services, and may also cause us to change our business practices, and require development of non-infringing products or technologies, which could result in a loss of revenues for us and otherwise harm our business.

In addition, many of our agreements with our customers and partners, including certain suppliers, require us to indemnify them for certain intellectual property infringement claims against them, which could increase our costs as a result of defending such claims, and may require that we pay significant damages if there were an adverse ruling in any such claims. Such customers and partners may also discontinue the use of our products, services, and technologies, as a result of injunctions or otherwise, which could result in loss of revenues and adversely impact our business. Moreover, supplier provided intellectual property indemnities



to us, when obtainable, may not cover all damages and losses suffered by us and our customers from covered products. In addition, in connection with the sale of Motorola's Home business to Arris Group, Inc. (Arris), we agreed to indemnify Arris against certain intellectual property infringement litigation, including, among others, a patent infringement claim brought by TIVo relating to certain digital video recording equipment sold by Motorola Mobility.

Regardless of the merits of the claims, intellectual property claims are often time consuming, expensive to litigate or settle, and cause significant diversion of management attention. To the extent such intellectual property infringement claims are successful. they may have an adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Our intellectual property rights are valuable, and any inability to protect them could reduce the value of our products, services, and brand.

Our patents, trademarks, trade secrets, copyrights, and other intellectual property rights are important assets for us. Various events outside of our control pose a threat to our intellectual property rights, as well as to our products, services and technologies. For example, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which our products and services are distributed or made available through the internet. Also, the efforts we have taken to protect our proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective.

Although we seek to obtain patent protection for our innovations, it is possible we may not be able to protect some of these innovations. Moreover, because of our long-term interests in open source, we may not have adequate patent protection for certain innovations that later turn out to be important. Furthermore, there is always the possibility, despite our efforts, that the scope of the protection gained will be insufficient or that an issued patent may be deemed invalid or unenforceable.

We also seek to maintain certain intellectual property as trade secrets. The secrecy could be compromised by outside parties, or by our employees, which could cause us to lose the competitive advantage resulting from these trade secrets.

We also face risks associated with our trademarks. For example, there is a risk that the word "Google" could become so commonly used that it becomes synonymous with the word "search." If this happens, we could lose protection for this trademark, which could result in other people using the word "Google" to refer to their own products, thus diminishing our brand.

Any significant impairment of our intellectual property rights could harm our business and our ability to compete. Also, protecting our Intellectual property rights is costly and time consuming. Any increase in the unauthorized use of our intellectual property could make it more expensive to do business and harm our operating results.

We may be subject to legal liability associated with providing online services or content.

We host and provide a wide variety of services and products that enable users to exchange information, advertise products and services, conduct business, and engage in various online activities both domestically and internationally. The law relating to the liability of providers of these online services and products for activities of their users is still somewhat unsettled both within the U.S. and internationally. Claims have been threatened and have been brought against us for defamation, negligence, breaches of contract, copyright or trademark infringement, unfair competition, unlawful activity, tort, including personal injury, fraud, or other theories based on the nature and content of information which we publish or to which we provide links or that may be posted online or generated by us or by third parties, including our users. In addition, we are and have been and may again in the future be subject to domestic or international actions alleging that certain content we have generated or third-party content that we have made available within our services violates laws in domestic and international jurisdictions.

We also arrange for the distribution of third-party advertisements to third-party publishers and advertising networks, and we offer third-party products, services, or content. We may be subject to claims concerning these products, services, or content by virtue of our involvement in marketing, branding, broadcasting, or providing access to them, even if we do not ourselves host, operate, provide, or provide access to these products, services, or content. Defense of any such actions could be costly and involve significant time and attention of our management and other resources, may result in monetary liabilities or penalties, and may require us to change our business in an adverse manner.

Privacy concerns relating to our technology could damage our reputation and deter current and potential users from using our products and services.

From time to time, concerns have been expressed by regulators and others about whether our products, services, or processes compromise the privacy of users and others. Concerns about, or regulatory actions involving our practices with regard to the collection, use, disclosure, or security of personal information or other privacy related matters, even if unfounded, could damage our reputation and adversely affect operating results. While we strive to comply with all applicable data protection laws and regulations, as well as our own posted privacy policies, the failure or perceived failure to comply may result, and in some cases has resulted, in inquiries and other proceedings or actions against us by government entities or others, or could cause us to lose users and customers, which could potentially have an adverse effect on our business.

In addition, as nearly all of our products and services are web-based, the amount of data we store for our users on our servers (including personal information) has been increasing. Any systems failure or compromise of our security that results in the release of our users' data could seriously limit the adoption of our products and services, as well as harm our reputation and brand and,



therefore, our business. We expect to continue to expend significant resources to protect against security breaches. The risk that these types of events could seriously harm our business is likely to increase as we expand the number of web-based products and services we offer, and operate in more countries.

Regulatory authorities around the world are considering a number of legislative and regulatory proposals concerning data protection. In addition, the interpretation and application of consumer and data protection laws in the U.S., Europe and elsewhere are often uncertain and in flux. It is possible that these laws may be interpreted and applied in a manner that is inconsistent with our data practices. If so, in addition to the possibility of fines, this could result in an order requiring that we change our data practices. which could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Complying with these various laws could cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices in a manner adverse to our business.

With our acquisition of Motorola, we face a number of manufacturing and supply chain risks that, if not properly managed, could adversely impact our financial results and prospects.

With our acquisition of Motorola, we face a number of risks related to manufacturing and supply chain management. For instance, the products we sell may have quality issues resulting from the design or manufacture of the product, or from the software used in the product. Sometimes, these issues may be caused by components we purchase from other manufacturers or suppliers. If the quality of our Motorola products does not meet our customers' expectations or our products are found to be defective, then our sales and operating earnings, and ultimately our reputation, could be negatively impacted.

We rely on third parties to manufacture many of Motorola's assemblies and finished products, and we have third-party arrangements for the design of some components and parts. Our Motorola business could be negatively affected if we are not able to engage third parties with the necessary capabilities or capacity on reasonable terms, or if those we engage fail to meet their obligations (whether due to financial difficulties or other reasons), or make adverse changes in the pricing or other materials terms of our arrangements with them.

Motorola, like many electronics manufacturers, has also experienced supply shortages and price increases in the past driven by raw material availability, manufacturing capacity, labor shortages, industry allocations, natural disasters and significant changes in the financial or business condition of its suppliers. Workaround plans to address shortages have entailed in the past, and could entail in the future, increased freight costs for expedited shipments. We cannot assure you that we will not experience shortages or other supply chain disruptions in the future or that they will not negatively impact our operations. In addition, some of the components we use in our Motorola products are available only from a single source or limited sources, and we cannot assure you that we would be able to find replacement vendors on favorable terms or at all in the event of a supply chain disruption.

Additionally, because many of our supply contracts have volume-based pricing or minimum purchase requirements, if the volume of our Motorola sales decreases or does not reach projected targets, we could face increased materials and manufacturing costs or other financial liabilities that could make our Motorola products more costly per unit to manufacture and therefore less competitive and negatively impact our financial results. Further, certain of our competitors may negotiate more favorable contractual terms based on volume and other commitments that may provide them with competitive advantages and may impact our supply.

We also require our suppliers and business partners to comply with law and company policies regarding workplace and employment practices, environmental compliance and intellectual property licensing, but we do not control them or their practices. If any of them violates laws or implements practices regarded as unethical, we could experience supply chain disruptions, canceled orders, terminations of or damage to key relationships, and damage to our reputation. If any of them fails to procure necessary license rights to third-party intellectual property, legal action could ensue that could impact the salability of our products and expose us to financial obligations to third parties.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act included disclosure requirements regarding the use of "conflict" minerals mined from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries (DRC) and procedures regarding a manufacturer's efforts to prevent the sourcing of such "conflict" minerals. SEC rules implementing these requirements may have the effect of reducing the pool of suppliers who can supply DRC "conflict free" components and parts, and we may not be able to obtain DRC conflict free products or supplies in sufficient quantities for our operations. Also, since our supply chain is complex, we may face reputational challenges with our customers, stockholders and other stakeholders if we are unable to sufficiently verify the origins for the conflict minerals used in our products.

If our security measures are breached, or If our services are subject to attacks that degrade or deny the ability of users to access our products and services, our products and services may be perceived as not being secure, users and customers may curtail or stop using our products and services, and we may incur significant legal and financial exposure.

Our products and services involve the storage and transmission of users' and customers' proprietary information, and security breaches expose us to a risk of loss of this information, litigation, and potential liability. We experience cyber attacks of varying degrees on a regular basis, and as a result, unauthorized parties have obtained, and may in the future obtain, access to our data or our users' or customers' data. Our security measures may also be breached due to employee error, malfeasance, or otherwise.



Additionally, outside parties may attempt to fraudulently induce employees, users, or customers to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to our data or our users' or customers' data. Any such breach or unauthorized access could result in significant legal and financial exposure, damage to our reputation, and a loss of confidence in the security of our products and services that could potentially have an adverse effect on our business. Because the techniques used to obtain unauthorized access, disable or degrade service, or sabotage systems change frequently and often are not recognized until launched against a target, we may be unable to anticipate these techniques or to implement adequate preventative measures. If an actual or perceived breach of our security occurs, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and we could lose users and customers.

Web spam and content farms could decrease our search quality, which could damage our reputation and deter our current and potential users from using our products and services.

"Web spam" refers to websites that attempt to violate a search engine's quality guidelines or that otherwise seek to rank higher in search results than a search engine's assessment of their relevance and utility would rank them. Although English-language web spam in our search results has been significantly reduced, and web spam in most other languages is limited, we expect web spammers will continue to seek ways to improve their rankings inappropriately. We continuously combat web spam, including through indexing technology that makes it harder for spam-like, less useful web content to rank highly. We face challenges from low-quality and irrelevant content websites, including "content farms," which are websites that generate large quantities of low-quality content to help them improve their search rankings. We are continually launching algorithmic changes focused on low-quality websites. If web spam and content farms continue to increase on Godgle, this could hurt our reputation for delivering relevant information or reduce user traffic to our websites. In addition, as we continue to take actions to improve our search quality and reduce low-quality content, this may in the short run reduce our AdSense revenues, since some of these websites are AdSense partners.

Interruption or failure of our information technology and communications systems could hurt our ability to effectively provide our products and services, which could damage our reputation and harm our operating results.

The availability of our products and services depends on the continuing operation of our information technology and communications systems. Our systems are vulnerable to damage or interruption from earthquakes, terrorist attacks, floods, fires, power loss, telecommunications failures, computer viruses, computer denial of service attacks, or other attempts to harm our systems. Some of our data centers are located in areas with a high risk of major earthquakes. Our data centers are also subject to break-ins, sabotage, and intentional acts of vandalism, and to potential disruptions if the operators of these facilities have financial difficulties. Some of our systems are not fully redundant, and our disaster recovery planning cannot account for all eventualities. The occurrence of a natural disaster, a decision to close a facility we are using without adequate notice for financial reasons, or other unanticipated problems at our data centers could result in lengthy interruptions in our service. In addition, our products and services are highly technical and complex and may contain errors or vulnerabilities. Any errors or vulnerabilities in our products and services, or damage to or failure of our systems, could result in interruptions in our services, which could reduce our revenues and profits, and damage our brand.

Our international operations expose us to additional risks that could harm our business, operating results, and financial condition.

Our international operations are significant to our revenues and net income, and we plan to further expand internationally. International revenues accounted for approximately 53% of our consolidated revenues in 2012, and more than half of our user traffic has been coming from outside the U.S. In certain international markets, we have limited operating experience and may not benefit from any first-to-market advantages or otherwise succeed.

Our Motorola business also has facilities outside the U.S., and nearly all of our Motorola products (other than some prototypes) are manufactured outside the U.S., primarily in China, Taiwan and Brazil. If our manufacturing in these countries is disrupted, our overall capacity could be reduced and sales or profitability could be negatively impacted. We require these suppliers and business partners to comply with law and company policies regarding workpiace and employment practices, environmental compliance and intellectual property Fcensing, but we do not control them or their practices. If any of them violates laws or implements practices regarded as unethical, we could experience supply chain disruptions, canceled orders, terminations of or damage to key relationships, and damage to our reputation. If any of them falls to procure necessary license rights to third-party intellectual property, legal action could ensue that could impact the salability of our products and expose us to financial obligations to third parties.

Moreover, in connection with our operations in Brazil, we have had and continue to have legal disputes and controversies, including tax, labor and trade compilance controversies and other legal matters that take many years to resolve. We incur legal and other costs in managing and defending these matters and expect to continue to incur such costs. Based on our assessment of these matters, we have recorded reserves on only a small portion of the total potential exposure. It is, however, very difficult to predict the outcome of legal disputes and controversies, including litigation, in Brazil and our ultimate exposure may be greater than our current assessments and related reserves.



in addition to risks described elsewhere in this section, our international operations expose us to other risks, including the following:

- Changes in local political, economic, social, and labor conditions, which may adversely harm our business.
- Restrictions on foreign ownership and investments, and stringent foreign exchange controls that might prevent us from repatriating cash earned in countries outside the U.S.
- Import and export requirements, tariffs, trade disputes and barriers, and customs classifications that may prevent us from
 offering products or providing services to a particular market and may increase our operating costs.
- Potential injunctions from importation into the U.S. of our Motorola products manufactured outside the U.S. in an ITC matter.
- Longer payment cycles in some countries, increased credit risk, and higher levels of payment fraud.
- Still developing foreign laws and legal systems.
- · Uncertainty regarding liability for services and content. Including uncertainty as a result of local laws and lack of legal precedent.
- Different employee/employer relationships, existence of workers' councils and labor unions, and other challenges caused by distance, language, and cultural differences, making it harder to do business in certain jurisdictions.
- Natural disasters, military or political conflicts, including war and other hostilities, and public health issues and outbreaks.

In addition, compliance with complex foreign and U.S. laws and regulations that apply to our international operations increases our cost of doing business. These numerous and sometimes conflicting laws and regulations include Internal control and disclosure rules, data privacy and filtering requirements, anti-corruption laws, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and other local laws prohibiting corrupt payments to governmental officials, and antitrust and competition regulations, among others. Violations of these laws and regulations could result in fines and penalties, criminal sanctions against us, our officers, or our employees, prohibitions on the conduct of our business and on our ability to offer our products and services in one or more countries, and could also materially affect our brand, our international expansion efforts, our ability to attract and retain employees, our business, and our operating results. Although we have implemented policies and procedures designed to ensure compliance with these laws and regulations, there can be no assurance that our employees, contractors, or agents will not violate our policies.

Finally, since we conduct business in currencies other than U.S. dollars but report our financial results in U.S. dollars, we face exposure to fluctuations in currency exchange rates. Although we hedge a portion of our international currency exposure, significant fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies may adversely affect our net income. Additionally, hedging programs are inherently risky and could expose us to additional risks that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our operating results may fluctuate, which makes our results difficult to predict and could cause our results to fall short of expectations.

Our operating results may fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, many outside of our control. As a result, comparing our operating results on a period-to-period basis may not be meaningful, and you should not rely on our past results as an indication of our future performance. Our quarterly, year-to-date, and annual expenses as a percentage of our revenues may differ significantly from our historical or projected rates. Our operating results in future quarters may fall below expectations. Any of these events could cause our stock price to fall. Each of the risk factors listed in this section in addition to the following factors may affect our operating results:

- Our ability to continue to attract users to our websites and satisfy existing users on our websites.
- Our ability to monetize (or generate revenues from) traffic on our websites and our Google Network Members' websites.
- Our ability to attract advertisers to our AdWords program, and our ability to attract websites to our AdSense program.
- The mix in our revenues between those generated on our websites and those generated through our Google Network and
 other factors, such as changes in product mix, including a significant increase in mobile search queries and a deceleration
 in the growth of desktop queries if monetization stays at current levels, and the geographic mix of our revenues that can
 affect revenue growth rates and margins.
- The amount of revenues and expenses generated and incurred in currencies other than U.S. doilars, and our ability to manage the resulting risk through our foreign exchange risk management program.
- The amount and timing of operating costs and expenses and capital expenditures related to the maintenance and expansion
 of our businesses, operations, and infrastructure.
- Our focus on long-term goals over short-term results.
- The results of our investments in risky projects, including new business strategies and new products, services, technologies and acquisitions.



- Our ability to keep our websites operational at a reasonable cost and without service interruptions.
- Our ability to generate significant revenues from services in which we have invested considerable time and resources, such as Google Wallet.

Because our business is changing and evolving, our historical operating results may not be useful to you in predicting our future operating results. In addition, advertising spending has historically been cyclical in nature, reflecting overall economic conditions, as well as budgeting and buying patterns. Also, user traffic tends to be seasonal. Our rapid growth has tended to mask the cyclicality and seasonality of our business. As our growth rate has slowed, the cyclicality and seasonality in our business has become more pronounced and caused our operating results to fluctuate.

If we were to lose the services of Larry, Sergey, Eric, or other key personnel, we may not be able to execute our business strategy.

Our future success depends in a large part upon the continued service of key members of our senior management team. In particular, Larry Page and Sergey Brin are critical to the overall management of Google and the development of our technology. Along with our Executive Chairman Eric E. Schmidt, they also play a key role in maintaining our culture and setting our strategic direction. All of our executive officers and key employees are at-will employees, and we do not maintain any key-person life insurance policies. The loss of key personnel could seriously harm our business.

We rely on highly skilled personnel and, if we are unable to retain or motivate key personnel, hire qualified personnel, or maintain our corporate culture, we may not be able to grow effectively.

Our performance largely depends on the talents and efforts of highly skilled individuals. Our future success depends on our continuing ability to identify, hire, develop, motivate, and retain highly skilled personnel for all areas of our organization. Competition in our industry for qualified employees is intense, and certain of our competitors have directly targeted our employees. In addition, our compensation arrangements, such as our equity award programs, may not always be successful in attracting new employees and retaining and motivating our existing employees. Our continued ability to compete effectively depends on our ability to attract new employees and to retain and motivate our existing employees.

In addition, we believe that our corporate culture fosters innovation, creativity, and teamwork. As our organization grows, and are required to implement more complex organizational management structures, we may find it increasingly difficult to maintain the beneficial aspects of our corporate culture. This could negatively impact our future success.

Our business depends on continued and unimpeded access to the Internet by us and our users. Internet access providers may be able to block, degrade, or charge for access to certain of our products and services, which could lead to additional expenses and the loss of users and advertisers.

Our products and services depend on the ability of our users to access the internet, and certain of our products require significant bandwidth to work effectively. Currently, this access is provided by companies that have significant market power in the broadband and internet access marketplace, including incumbent telephone companies, cable companies, mobile communications companies, and government-owned service providers. Some of these providers have taken, or have stated that they may take, measures that could degrade, disrupt, or increase the cost of user access to certain of our products by restricting or prohibiting the use of their infrastructure to support or facilitate our offerings, or by charging increased fees to us or our users to provide our offerings. Such interference could result in a loss of existing users and advertisers, and increased costs, and could impair our ability to attract new users and advertisers, thereby harming our revenues and growth.

New technologies could block our ads, which would harm our business.

Technologies have been developed (including by us) that can block the display of our ads and that provide tools to users to opt out of our advertising products. Most of our revenues are derived from fees paid to us by advertisers in connection with the display of ads on web pages for our users. As a result, such technologies and tools could adversely affect our operating results.

We are exposed to fluctuations in the market values of our investment portfolio.

Given the global nature of our business, we have investments both domestically and internationally. Credit ratings and pricing of these investments can be negatively impacted by liquidity, credit deterioration or losses, financial results, or other factors. As a result, the value or liquidity of our cash equivalents and marketable securities could decline and result in a material impairment, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.



We may have exposure to greater than anticipated tax liabilities.

Our future income taxes could be adversely affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in jurisdictions that have lower statutory tax rates and higher than anticipated in jurisdictions that have higher statutory tax rates, by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, as a result of gains on our foreign exchange risk management program, or changes in tax laws, regulations, or accounting principles, as well as certain discrete items. We are subject to regular review and audit by both domestic and foreign tax authorities. Any adverse outcome of such a review or audit could have a negative effect on our operating results and financial condition. In addition, the determination of our worldwide provision for income taxes and other tax liabilities requires significant judgment, and there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Although we believe our estimates are reasonable, the ultimate tax outcome may differ from the amounts recorded in our financial statements and may materially affect our financial results in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Stock

The trading price for our Class A common stock may continue to be volatile, and if the shares of the new class of nonvoting Class C capital stock are distributed as expected, the trading price of that class may also be volatile and may affect the trading price for the Class A common stock.

The trading price of our Class A common stock has at times experienced substantial price volatility and may continue to be volatile. For example, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the closing price of our Class A common stock ranged from \$559.05 per share to \$768.05 per share. The trading price of our Class A common stock may fluctuate widely in response to various factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include, among others:

- Quarterly variations in our results of operations or those of our competitors.
- Announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, new products, significant contracts, commercial relationships, or capital commitments.
- Recommendations by securities analysts or changes in earnings estimates.
- Announcements about our earnings that are not in line with analyst expectations, the risk of which is enhanced because It is our policy not to give guidance on earnings.
- Announcements by our competitors of their earnings that are not in line with analyst expectations.
- Commentary by industry and market professionals about our products, strategies, and other matters affecting our business and results, regardless of its accuracy.
- The volume of shares of Class A common stock available for public sale.
- Sales of Class A common stock by us or by our stockholders (including sales by our directors, executive officers, and other employees).
- Short sales, hedging, and other derivative transactions on shares of our Class A common stock (including derivative transactions under our Transferable Stock Option program).

In addition, we have announced the intention of our board of directors to consider a distribution of shares of our non-voting Class C capital stock as a dividend to our holders of Class A and Class B common stock, pending resolution of litigation involving the authorization of that class.

Although we plan to list the Class C capital stock on The Nasdag Stock Market, we cannot predict whether, or to what extent, a liquid trading market will develop for the Class C capital stock. If it does not or if the Class C capital stock is not attractive to targets as an acquisition currency or to our employees as an incentive, we may not achieve our objectives in creating this new class. As in the case of the Class A common stock, the trading price for the Class C capital stock may also be volatile and affected by the factors noted above, as well as by the difference in voting rights as between the Class A common stock and the Class C capital stock, the volume of Class C capital stock available for public sale and sales by us and our stockholders of Class C capital stock, including by institutional investors that may be unwilling, unable or choose not to hold non-voting shares they receive as part of the stock dividend, if it is declared and paid. Whether or not the Class C capital stock is included in stock indices in the future may also affect the trading prices of the Class A common stock and the Class C capital stock.

In addition, the stock market in general, and the market for technology companies in particular, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. These broad market and industry factors may harm the market price of our Class A common stock, and, if issued, our Class C capital Stock, regardless of our actual operating performance.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors



The concentration of our stock ownership limits our stockholders' ability to influence corporate matters.

Our Class 8 common stock has 10 votes per share and our Class A common stock has one vote per share. As of December 31, 2012, Larry, Sergey, and Eric beneficially owned approximately 92% of our outstanding Class B common stock, representing approximately 65% of the voting power of our outstanding capital stock. Larry, Sergey, and Eric therefore have significant influence over management and affairs and over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or our assets, for the foreseeable future, in addition, because our Class C capital stock carries no voting rights (except as required by applicable law), the issuance of the Class C capital stock, including in future stock-based acquisition transactions and to fund employee equity incentive programs, could prolong the duration of Larry and Sergey's current relative ownership of our voting power and their ability to elect all of our directors and to determine the outcome of most matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders. Together with Eric, they would also continue to be able to control any required stockholder vote with respect to certain change in control transactions involving Google (including an acquisition of Google by another company).

This concentrated control limits or severely restricts our stockholders' ability to influence corporate matters and, as a result, we may take actions that our stockholders do not view as beneficial. As a result, the market price of our Class A common stock and, if issued, our Class C capital stock could be adversely affected.

Provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law could discourage a takeover that stockholders may consider favorable.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. These provisions include the following:

- Our certificate of incorporation provides for a dual class common stock structure. As a result of this structure, Larry, Sergey, and Eric have significant influence over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or our assets. This concentrated control could discourage others from initiating any potential merger, takeover, or other change of control transaction that other stockholders may view as beneficial. As noted above, the issuance of the Class C capital stock could have the effect of prolonging the influence of Larry, Sergey, and Eric.
- Our board of directors has the right to elect directors to fill a vacancy created by the expansion of the Board of Directors
 or the resignation, death, or removal of a director, which prevents stockholders from being able to fill vacancies on our
 board of directors.
- Our stockholders may not act by written consent. As a result, a holder, or holders, controlling a majority of our capital stock would not be able to take certain actions without holding a stockholders' meeting.
- Our certificate of incorporation prohibits cumulative voting in the election of directors. This limits the ability of minority stockholders to elect director candidates.
- Stockholders must provide advance notice to nominate individuals for election to the Board of Directors or to propose
 matters that can be acted upon at a stockholders meeting. These provisions may discourage or deter a potential acquirer
 from conducting a solicitation of proxies to elect the acquirer's own slate of directors or otherwise attempting to obtain
 control of our company.
- Our board of directors may issue, without stockholder approval, shares of undesignated preferred stock. The ability to issue
 undesignated preferred stock makes it possible for our board of directors to issue preferred stock with voting or other
 rights or preferences that could impede the success of any attempt to acquire us.

As a Delaware corporation, we are also subject to certain Delaware anti-takeover provisions. Under Delaware law, a corporation may not engage in a business combination with any holder of 15% or more of its outstanding voting stock unless the holder has held the stock for three years or, among other things, the Board of Directors has approved the transaction. Our board of directors could rely on Delaware law to prevent or delay an acquisition of us.



ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

ITEM 2. Properties

Our headquarters are located in Mountain View, California, where we own approximately 3.5 million square feet of office and building space and approximately seven acres of developable land to accommodate anticipated future growth. We also own a 2.3 million square feet office building in New York, New York and 665,000 square feet of office and building space in Paris, France and Dublin, Ireland. We also operate and own data centers in the U.S., Europe, and Asia pursuant to various lease agreements and co-location arrangements.

In addition, we lease approximately 3.8 million square feet of office space and approximately 61 acres of undeveloped land in and near our headquarters in Mountain View, California. We also lease additional research and development, and sales and support offices throughout the United States and maintain leased facilities internationally in countries around the world. Larger leased sites include properties located in Dublin, Ireland; Zurich, Switzerland; London, UK; Hyderabad, India; San Francisco, CA; San Bruno. CA; Parls, France; Hamburg, Germany; Tel Aviv, Israel; Sao Paulo, Brazil; Ann Arbor, MI; Bothell, WA; Cambridge, MA; Chicago, IL; Kirkland, WA; Venice, CA; Pittsburgh, PA; Seattle, WA; Sydney, Australia; Beijing, China; Shanghai, China; Bangalore, India; Gurgaon, India; Tokyo, Japan; and Singapore.

Motorola also operates manufacturing facilities, research and development, administrative and sales offices in various U.S. locations and in many foreign countries. Motorola owns eight facilities (manufacturing, sales, service and offices), five of which are located in the Americas Region (U.S., Canada, Mexico, Central America and South America) and three of which are located in other countries. As of December 31, 2012, Motorola leased 97 facilities, 31 of which are located in the Americas Region and 66 of which are located in other countries. Motorola Mobility owns three major facilities for the manufacturing and distribution of its products. These facilities are located in Tianjin, China; Hsln Tien, Taiwan; and Jaguariuna, Brazil. As previously reported, Motorola is executing a significant consolidation of its portfolio, which will reduce the number of facilities worldwide, including manufacturing, sales and marketing, and research and development locations.

We believe our existing facilities, both owned and leased are in good condition and sultable for the conduct of our business. Motorola generally considers the productive capacity of the plants operated by each of its business segments to be adequate and sufficient for the requirements of each business group. The extent of utilization of such manufacturing facilities varies from plant to plant and from time to time during the year.

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

For a description of our material pending legal proceedings, please see Note 11 "Commitments and Contingencies—Legal Matters" of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our Class A common stock has been listed on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "GOOG" since August 19, 2004. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our stock. The following table sets forth for the indicated periods the high and low sales prices per share for our Class A common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market.

Fiscal Year 2012 Quarters Ended:	High	Low
March 31, 2012	\$670.25	\$56455
June 30, 2012	653.14	556.52
September 30, 2012	764 89	562 09
December 31, 2012	774,38	636.00
Fiscal Year 2011 Quarters Ended:	High	Low
March 31, 201 i	\$642 96	
	V 0 12 30	\$551.28
7 7 7 7 7	595.19	
June 30, 2011 September 30, 2011		\$551 28 473.02 490 86

Our Class B common stock is neither listed nor traded.

Holders of Record

As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately, 2,689 stockholders of record of our Class A common stock, and the closing price of our Class A common stock was \$707.38 per share as reported by the Nasdaq Global Select Market. Because many of our shares of Class A common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we are unable to estimate the total number of stockholders represented by these record holders. As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately 79 stockholders of record of our Class B common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividend on our common stock. We intend to retain any future earnings and do not expect to pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.



RDG Internet Composite

Stock Performance Graph

■ Google Inc. ·

This performance graph shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or incorporated by reference into any filing of Google under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filling.


The following graph compares the 5-year cumulative total return to shareholders on Google Inc.'s common stock relative to the cumulative total returns of the S&P 500 index, the RDG internet Composite index, and the NASDAQ Composite index. An investment of \$100 (with reinvestment of all dividends) is assumed to have been made in the company's common stock and in each index on December 31, 2007 and its relative performance is tracked through December 31, 2012. The returns shown are based on historical results and are not intended to suggest future performance.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN* Among Google Inc., the S&P 500 Index, the NASDAQ Composite index, and the RDG Internet Composite Index \$160 \$140 \$120 \$100 \$80 \$60 \$40 \$20 12/07 9/10 12/10 3/11 6/11 3/08 6/08 9/08 12/08 6/09 9/09 12/09 3/10 6/10 12/11 3/12 3/09 6/12

NASDAQ Composite

S&P 500

^{* \$100} invested on December 31, 2007 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31. Copyright© 2013 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.



Results of Google's Transferable Stock Option (TSO) Program

Under our TSO program, eligible employees are able to sell vested stock options to participating financial institutions in an online auction as an alternative to exercising options in the traditional method and then selling the underlying shares. The following table provides information with respect to sales by our employees of TSOs during the three months ended December 31, 2012:

	Aggregate Amounts			Weighted-Average Per Share Amounts		
	Number of Shares Underlying TSOs Sold	Sale Price of TSOs Sold	TSO Premium ⁽²⁾	Exercise Price of TSOs Sold	Sale Price of TSOs Sold	TSO Premium®
Period ^(t)		(in thou	sands)		<u> </u>	
October 1 – 31	49,772	\$15,307	\$ 514	\$381.19	\$007.55	\$1034
November 1 – 30	190,351	66,001	1,153	338,77	346.73	6.06
December 1 – 31	0	0	0	Q	0	C
Total (except weighted-average per share amounts)	240,123	581,308	\$1,667	\$347.56	\$338.61	\$ 6.95

⁽¹⁾ The TSO program is generally active during regular trading hours for the Nasdaq Global Select Market when our trading window is open. However, we have the right to suspend the TSO program at any time for any reason, including for maintenance and other technical reasons.

Our TSO program allows participation by executive officers (other than Larry Page, Sergey Brin, and Eric E. Schmidt). The following table provides information with respect to sales by our executive officers of TSOs during the three months ended December 31, 2012:

	Aggreg:	Aggregate Amounts			
	Number of Shares Underlying TSOs Sold	Sale Price of TSOs Sold	TSO Premium		
Executive Officer		(in thousands)			
Nikash Arora	2,843	\$ 999	\$ 3		
Patrick Pichette	9,291	\$2,441			
Total	12,134	\$3,440	\$180		

⁽²⁾ TSO premium is calculated as the difference between (a) the sale price of the TSO and (b) the intrinsic value of the TSO, which we define as the excess, if any, of the price of our Class A common stock at the time of the sale over the exercise price of the TSO.



Selected Financial Data ITEM 6.

You should read the following selected consolidated financial data in conjunction with Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The consolidated statements of income data for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011, and 2012 and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2011, and 2012 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements appearing in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated statements of income data for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, and the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2008, 2009, and 2010, are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in any future period.

	Year Ended December 31,				
(in millions, except per share amounts)	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Consolidated Statements of Income Data					
Revenues	\$21 796	\$23,6 51	\$29,321	\$37,905	\$50,175
Income from operations	6632	8.312	10,381	11,742	12,750
Net income from continuing operations	4,227	6,520	8,505	9,737	10,788
Net loss from discontinued operations	Ü	G	0	Q	(51)
Net income	4227	6,520	8,505	9,737	10,737
Net income (loss) per share of Class A and Class B common stock—basic					
Continuing operations	\$ 13.46	\$ 20.62	\$ 26.69	\$ 30.17	\$ 32.97
Discontinued operations	0	g	0	ð	\$ (0.16)
Net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock—basic	\$ 13.46	\$ 20.62	\$ 26.69	\$ 30.17	\$ 32.81
Net income (loss) per share of Class A and Class B common stock—diluted					
Continuing operations	\$ 13.31	\$ 20.41	\$ 26,31	\$ 29.76	\$ 32.46
Disconuni led operations	0	ŋ	0	0	\$ (0.15)
Net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock—diluted	\$ 13.31	\$ 20,41	\$ 26.31	\$ 29.76	\$ 32.31

(in millions)		As of December 31,				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data						
Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities	\$15.846	\$24,485	\$34,975	\$44,626	\$48,088	
Total assets	31,768	46, 4 97	57 <u>.</u> 851	72,574	93,798	
Total long-term liabilities	1,227	1,746	1,614	5,516	7,746	
Total stockholders' equity	28 239	36,004	46,2∔1	58,145	71,715	



ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with our consolidated financial statements and related notes included under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

Google is a global technology leader focused on improving the ways people connect with information. We aspire to build products and provide services that improve the lives of billions of people globally. Our mission is to organize the world's information and make it universally accessible and useful. Our innovations in web search and advertising have made our website a top internet property and our brand one of the most recognized in the world.

Our Motorola business is comprised of two operating segments. The Mobile segment is focused on mobile wireless devices and related products and services. The Home segment is focused on technologies and devices that provide video entertainment services to consumers by enabling subscribers to access a variety of interactive digital television services.

We generate revenue primarily by delivering relevant, cost-effective online advertising. Businesses use our AdWords program to promote their products and services with targeted advertising. In addition, the third parties that comprise the Google Network use our AdSense program to deliver relevant ads that generate revenues and enhance the user experience. We also generate revenues from Motorola by selling hardware products.

In December 2012, we entered into an agreement with Arris and certain other persons providing for the disposition of our Motorola Home segment. The transaction is expected to close in 2013.

Trends in Our Businesses

Advertising transactions continue to shift from offline to online as the digital economy evolves. This has contributed to the rapid growth of our business since inception, resulting in substantially increased revenues, and we expect that our business will continue to grow. However, our revenue growth rate has generally declined over time, and it could do so in the future as a result of a number of factors, including increasing competition, our investments in new business strategies, products, services, and technologies, changes in our product mix, query growth rates and how users make queries, challenges in maintaining our growth rate as our revenues increase to higher levels, and the evolution of the online advertising market, including the increasing variety of online platforms for advertising, and other markets in which we participate.

Mobile search queries and mobile commerce are growing dramatically around the world, and consumers are using multiple devices to access information. Over time these trends have resulted in changes in our product mix, including a significant increase in mobile search queries and a deceleration in the growth of desktop queries. We expect that our revenue growth rate will continue to be affected by evolving consumer preferences, as well as by advertising trends, the acceptance by mobile users of our products and services, and our ability to create a seamless experience for both users and advertisers in a multi-screen environment. In addition, if there is a further general economic downturn, this may result in fewer commercial queries by our users and may cause advertisers to reduce the amount they spend on online advertising, including the amount they are willing to pay for each click or impression, which could negatively affect the growth rate of our revenues. We plan to continue to invest aggressively in our core areas of strategic focus.

The main focus of our advertising programs is to provide relevant and useful advertising to our users, reflecting our commitment to constantly improve their overall web experience. As a result, we expect to continue to take steps to improve the relevance of the ads displayed on our websites and our Google Network Members' websites. These steps include not displaying ads that generate low click-through rates or that send users to irrelevant or otherwise low quality websites, updating our advertising policies and ensuring their compliance, and terminating our relationships with those Google Network Members whose websites do not meet our quality requirements. We may also continue to take steps to reduce the number of accidental clicks by our users. These steps could negatively affect the growth rate of our revenues.

Both seasonal fluctuations in internet usage and traditional retail seasonality have affected, and are likely to continue to affect, our business. Internet usage generally slows during the summer months, and commercial queries typically increase significantly in the fourth quarter of each year. These seasonal trends have caused, and will likely continue to cause, fluctuations in our quarterly results, including fluctuations in sequential revenues, as well as aggregate paid click and average cost-per-click growth rates.



The operating margin we realize on revenues generated from ads placed on our Google Network Members' websites through our AdSense program is significantly lower than the operating margin we realize from revenues generated from ads placed on our websites because most of the advertiser fees from acs served on Google Network Members' websites are shared with our Google Network Members. For the past five years, growth in advertising revenues from our websites has generally exceeded that from our Google Network Members' websites. This trend has had a positive impact on our operating margins, and we expect that this will continue for the foreseeable future, although the relative rate of growth in revenues from our websites compared to the rate of growth in revenues from our Google Network Members' websites may vary over time. Also, the margins on advertising revenues from mobile devices and other newer advertising formats are generally lower than those from desktop computers and tablets. We expect this trend to continue to pressure our margins, particularly if we fall to realize the opportunities we anticipate with the transition to a dynamic multi-screen environment.

We conduct our Motorola business in highly competitive markets, facing both new and established competitors. The markets for many of our products are characterized by rapidly changing technologies, frequent new product introductions, changing consumer trends, short product life cycles, consumer loyalty and evolving industry standards. Market disruptions caused by new technologies, the entry of new competitors, consolidations among our customers and competitors, changes in regulatory requirements, changes in economic conditions, supply chain interruptions or other factors, can introduce volatility into our businesses. Meeting all of these challenges requires consistent operational planning and execution and investment in technology, resulting in innovative products that meet the needs of our customers around the world.

From an overall business perspective, we continue to Invest aggressively in our systems, data centers, corporate facilities, information technology infrastructure, and employees. We increased our hiring in 2012, and we may continue to do so and to provide competitive compensation programs for our employees. Our full-time employee headcount was 32,467 at December 31, 2011 and 53,861 at December 31, 2012, which includes 16,317 headcount from Motorola. Acquisitions will also remain an important component of our strategy and use of capital, and we expect our current pace of acquisitions to continue. We expect our cost of revenues will increase in dollars and may increase as a percentage of revenues in future periods, primarily as a result of forecasted increases in traffic acquisition costs, manufacturing and inventory-related costs, data center costs, content acquisition costs, credit card and other transaction fees, and other costs. In particular, traffic acquisition costs as a percentage of advertising revenues may increase in the future if we are unable to continue to improve the monetization or generation of revenues from traffic on our websites and our Google Network Members' websites.

As we expand our advertising programs and other products to International markets, we continue to Increase our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency to U.S. dollar exchange rates. We have a foreign exchange risk management program that is designed to reduce our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. However, this program will not fully offset the effect of fluctuations on our revenues and earnings.



Results of Operations

We completed our acquisition of Motorola on May 22, 2012 (the acquisition date). The operating results of Motorola were included In our Consolidated Statements of Income from the acquisition date through December 31, 2012. In December 2012, we entered into an agreement for the disposition of the Motorola Home segment and the related financial results are presented as net loss from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Subsequent to the acquisition in May 2012, we initiated a restructuring plan in our Motorola business. See Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion of this restructuring plan and the associated restructuring charges. We continue to evaluate our plans and further restructuring actions may occur, which may cause us to incur additional restructuring charges, some of which may be significant.

The following table presents our historical operating results as a percentage of revenues for the periods indicated:

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>			
	2010	2011	2012	
Consolidated Statements of Income Data:				
Revenues:				
Google (howerthing and other)	100 0%	100 0%	9:8%	
Motorola Mobile (hardware and other)	0		8.2	
Total revenues	100.0%	100 Q%	100 0%	
Costs and expenses:	•			
Cost of revenues—Google (advertising and other)	35.5	348	342	
Cost of revenues—Motorola Mobile (hardware and other)	0	. 0	6.9	
Research and development	128	136	135	
Sales and marketing	9.5	12.1	12.2	
General and administrative	68	13	78	
Charge related to the resolution of Department of Justice investigation	. 0	1.3	0	
Total rosts and expenses	54.6	69 0	746	
Income from operations	35.4	31.0	25.4	
Inturest and other income, net	14	15	13	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	36.8	32.5	26.7	
Provision for income taxes	78	68	52	
Net income from continuing operations	29.0	25.7	21,5	
Net loss from discontinued operations	ŋ	Q.	(0.1)	
Net income	29.0%	25.7%	21,4%	

Revenues

The following table presents our revenues, by revenue source, for the periods presented (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2011	2012
Google.			
Advertising revenues:			
Gongle websites	\$19, 444	\$26,145	\$31,221
Google Network Members' websites	8,792	10,386	12,465
Yoral advertising revenues	28,236	36,531	43,686
Other revenues	1,085	1,374	2,353
Total Gongle revenues (advertising and other)	\$ 19,321	\$37,905	\$46,039
Motorola Mobile:			
Total Motorola Mobile revenues (hardware and other)		<u>0</u>	4,136
Total revenues	\$29,321	\$37,905	\$50,175



The following table presents our revenues, by business, as a percentage of total revenues for the periods presented:

		Year Ended December 31,			
	25	, -	2010	2011	2012
Google (advertising and other)			100%	100%	92%
Motorola Mobile (hardware and other)			. 0%	0%	8%
Total revenues			100%	100%	100%

The following table presents our Google revenues, by revenue source, as a percentage of total Google revenues for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012	
Advertising revenue		· · · · ·		
Google websites	66%	69%	68%	
Google Nativork Members' websites	30%	27%	27%	
Total advertising revenues	96%	96%	95%	
Google websites as % of advertising revenues	· 69%	72%	71%	
Google Network Members' websites as % of advertising revenues	31%	28%	29%	
Other revenues	4 %	476	5%	

Our revenues increased \$12,270 million from 2011 to 2012. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in advertising revenues generated by Google websites and Google Network Members' websites and, to a lesser extent, an increase in other revenues driven by hardware product sales. The Increase in advertising revenues for Google websites and Google Network Members' websites resulted primarily from an increase in the number of pald clicks through our advertising programs, partially offset by a decrease in the average cost-per-click paid by our advertisers. The increase in the number of paid clicks generated through our advertising programs was due to an increase in aggregate traffic including mobile queries, certain monetization Improvements including new ad formats, the continued global expansion of our products, advertisers, and user base, as well as an increase in the number of Google Network Members. The decrease in the average cost-per-click paid by our advertisers was driven by various factors, such as the general strengthening of the U.S dollar compared to certain foreign currencies (primarily the Euro), the revenue shift mix between Google websites and Google Network Members' websites, the changes in platform mix due to traffic growth in mobile devices, where the average cost-per-click is typically lower compared to desktop computers and tablets, and the changes in geographical mix due to traffic growth in emerging markets, where the average cost-per-click is typically lower compared to more mature markets.

In addition, the increase in our revenues from 2011 to 2012 resulted from the inclusion of revenues from our Motorola Mobile business of \$4,136 million.

Our revenues increased \$8,584 million from 2010 to 2011. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in advertising revenues generated by Google websites and Google Network Members' websites. The increase in advertising revenues for Google websites and Google Network Members' websites resulted primarily from an increase in the number of paid clicks through our advertising programs and, to a lesser extent, an increase in the average cost-per-click paid by our advertisers. The increase in the number of paid clicks generated through our advertising programs was due to an increase in aggregate traffic, certain monetization improvements including new ad formats, and the continued global expansion of our products, and our advertiser and user base, as well as an increase in the number of Google Network Members. The increase in the average cost-per-click paid by our advertisers was primarily driven by the increased spending from advertisers and a general weakening of the U.S dollar compared to foreign currencies (primarily the Euro, Japanese yen, and British pound), partially offset by the changes in geographical mix due to traffic growth in emerging markets, where the average cost-per-click is typically lower, compared to more mature markets. In addition, the increase in advertising revenues for Google Network Members' websites from 2010 to 2011 was partially offset by the loss of a search partnership and, to a lesser extent, by a search quality improvement made during the first quarter of 2011.

Improvements in our ability to ultimately monetize increased traffic primarily relate to enhancing the end user experience, including providing end users with add that are more relevant to their search queries or to the content on the Google Network Members' websites they visit. For Instance, these improvements include increasing site links to be full size links with the URL (uniform resource locator), moving a portion of the first line of the ad to the heading to better promote the content of the ad, providing an option to preview the ad, and moving the ad's URL to a separate line below the heading for greater page format consistency.



Aggregate paid clicks on Google websites and Google Network Members' websites increased approximately 34% from 2011 to 2012 and approximately 25% from 2010 to 2011. Average cost-per-click on Google websites and Google Network Members' websites decreased approximately 12% from 2011 to 2012 and increased approximately 3% from 2010 to 2011. The rate of change in aggregate paid clicks and average cost-per-click, and their correlation with the rate of change in revenues, has fluctuated and may fluctuate in the future because of various factors, including the revenue growth rates on our websites compared to those of our Google Network Members, advertiser competition for keywords, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, seasonality, the fees advertisers are willing to pay based on how they manage their advertising costs, changes in advertising quality or formats, and general economic conditions. In addition, traffic growth in emerging markets compared to more mature markets and across various advertising verticals and channels, including mobile devices, also contributes to these fluctuations. Changes in aggregate paid clicks and average cost-per-click may not be indicative of our performance or advertiser experiences in any specific geographic market, vertical, or industry.

We believe that the increase in the number of paid clicks on Google websites and Google Network Members' websites is substantially the result of our commitment to improving the relevance and quality of both our search results and the advertisements displayed, which we believe results in a better user experience, which in turn results in more searches, advertisers, and Google Network Members and other partners.

Revenues by Geography

The following table presents our Google domestic and international revenues as a percentage of Google revenues, determined based on the billing addresses of our customers for our Google business:

		Year End	Year Ended December 31,			
		2010	2011	2012		
United States	U.	48%	46%	46%		
United Kingdom		11%	11%	11%		
Result in eworld		41%	43%	43%		

The following table presents our consolidated domestic and international revenues as a percentage of consolidated revenues, determined based on the billing addresses of our customers for our Googie business, and shipping addresses of our customers for our Motorola Mobile business:

		Year End	Year Ended December 31,			
		2010	2011	2012		
United States	40	48%	46%	47%		
United Kingdom		11%	1196	10%		
Rest or the world		41%_	43%	43%		

The growth in revenues from the United States as a percentage of consolidated revenues from 2011 to 2012 was primarily as a result of the inclusion of Motorola Mobile revenues which were primarily generated in the United States.

The general strengthening of the U.S. dollar relative to certain foreign currencies (primarily the Euro) from 2011 to 2012 had an unfavorable impact on our international revenues. Had foreign exchange rates remained constant in these periods, our revenues from the United Kingdom would have been \$68 million or 1,4% higher and our revenues from the rest of the world would have been approximately \$1,211 million or 5.6% higher in 2012. This is before consideration of hedging gains of \$18 million and \$199 million recognized to revenues from the United Kingdom and the rest of the world in 2012.

The general weakening of the U.S. dollar relative to certain foreign currencies (primarily the Euro, Japanese yen, and British pound) from 2010 to 2011 had a favorable impact on our international revenues. Had foreign exchange rates remained constant in these periods, our revenues from the United Kingdom would have been \$129 million, or 3.2%, lower and our revenues from the rest of the world would have been approximately \$834 million, or 5.1%, lower in 2011. This is before consideration of hedging gains of \$9 million and \$34 million recognized to revenues from the United Kingdom and the rest of the world in 2011.

Although we expect to continue to make investments in international markets, these investments may not result in an increase in our international revenues as a percentage of total revenues in 2013 or thereafter. See Note 15 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information about geographic areas.



Costs and Expenses

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues consists primarily of traffic acquisition costs. Traffic acquisition costs consist of amounts ultimately paid to our Google Network Members under AdSense arrangements and to certain other partners (our distribution partners) who distribute our toolbar and other products (collectively referred to as access points) or otherwise direct search queries to our website (collectively referred to as distribution arrangements). These amounts are primarily based on the revenue share and fixed fee arrangements with our Google Network Members and distribution partners.

Certain distribution arrangements require us to pay our partners based on a fee per access point delivered and not exclusively or at all—based on revenue share. These fees are non-refundable. Further, these arrangements are terminable at will, although under the terms of certain contracts we or our distribution partners may be subject to penalties in the event of early termination. We recogn ze fees under these arrangements over the estimated useful lives of the access points (approximately two years) to the extent we can reasonably estimate those lives and they are longer than one year, or based on any contractual revenue share, if greater. Otherwise, the fees are charged to expense as incurred. The estimated useful life of the access points is based on the historical average period of time they generate traffic and revenues.

Cost of revenues also includes the expenses associated with the operation of our data centers, including depreciation, labor. energy, and bandwidth costs, credit card and other transaction fees related to processing customer transactions, amortization of acquired intangible assets, as well as content acquisition costs. We have entered into arrangements with certain content providers under which we distribute or license their video and other content. In a number of these arrangements, we display ads on the pages of our websites from which the content is viewed and share most of the fees these ads generate with the content providers. We also license content on the pages of our web sites from which the content is sold and share most of the fees these sales generate with content providers. To the extent we are obligated to make guaranteed minimum revenue share payments to our content providers, we recognize as content acquisition costs the contractual revenue share amount or on a straight-line basis, whichever is greater, over the terms of the agreements.


In addition, cost of revenues includes manufacturing and inventory-related costs from our Motorola Mobile business.

The following tables present our cost of revenues and cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues by business, and our traffic acquisition costs, and traffic acquisition costs as a percentage of advertising revenues in the Google business, for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

Year Ended December 31,			
2010	2011	2012	
\$10,417	\$ 13, 188	\$17,176	
0	0	3,458	
35 5%	34 0%	[©] 373%	
0	0	83,6%	
· Year	Ended December 31	I,	
2010	2011	2012	
\$6,162	\$7294	\$ 8,791	
1,155	1,517	2,165	
\$7,317	\$8,811	\$10,956	
25,9%	24.1%	25.1%	
	2010 \$10,417 0 35.5% 0 Year 2010 \$6,162 1,155 \$7,317	2010 2011 \$10,417 \$13,188 0 0 35.5% 34.8% 0 0 Year Ended December 3* 2010 2011 \$15,152 \$7.294 1,155 1,517 \$7,317 \$8,811	

Cost of revenues increased \$7,446 million from 2011 to 2012. The increase was primarily related to the inclusion of manufacturing and inventory-related costs from our Motorola Mobile business of \$3,458 million. Additionally, there was an increase in traffic acquisition costs of \$2,145 million resulting from more advertiser fees generated through our AdSense program, more traffic directed to our websites, as well as more distribution fees paid. The remaining increase was primarily driven by increase in data center costs, hardware product costs and content acquisition costs. The increase in traffic acquisition costs as a percentage of advertising revenues was primarily the result of a greater increase in traffic acquisition costs related to distribution arrangements compared to the increase in advertising revenues generated by Google websites. The increase in Google cost of revenues as a percentage of Google revenues was also driven by an increase in hardware product costs.

Cost of revenues increased \$2,771 million from 2010 to 2011. The increase was primarily related to an increase in traffic acquisition costs of \$1,132 million resulting from more advertiser fees generated through our AdSense program. The increase was also related to an increase in traffic acquisition costs of \$362 million from our distribution arrangements as a result of more traffic directed to our websites, as well as more distribution fees paid. The decrease in traffic acquisition costs as a percentage of advertising revenues was primarily due to an increase in the proportion of advertising revenues from our websites compared to our Google Network



Members' vebsites, more revenues realized from Google Network Members to whom we pay less revenue share, and, to a lesser extent, expiration of an AdSense arrangement under which we paid guaranteed minimum revenue share. In addition, there was an increase in data center costs of \$784 million, primarily resulting from the depreciation of additional information technology assets and data center buildings and an increase in labor, energy, and bandwidth costs, and an increase in content acquisition costs of \$236 million, primarily related to content displayed on YouTube, partially offset by a decrease in mobile phone costs.

We expect cost of revenues will increase in dollar amount and may increase as a percentage of total revenues in 2013 and in future periods, primarily as a result of increases in traffic acquisition costs, data center costs, manufacturing and inventory-related costs, content acquisition costs, credit card and other transaction fees, and other costs. Traffic acquisition costs as a percentage of advertising revenues may fluctuate in the future based on a number of factors, including the following:

- The relative growth rates of revenues from our websites and from our Google Network Members' websites.
- Whether we are able to enter into more AdSense arrangements that provide for lower revenue share obligations or whether
 increased competition for arrangements with existing and potential Google Network Members results in less favorable
 revenue share arrangements.
- Whether we are able to continue to improve the monetization of traffic on our websites and our Google Network Members'
 websites.
- The relative growth rates of expenses associated with distribution arrangements and the related revenues generated, including whether we share with certain existing and new distribution partners, including mobile distribution partners, proportionately more of the aggregate advertising fees that we earn from paid clicks derived from search queries these partners direct to our websites.

Research and Development

The following table presents our research and development expenses, and research and development expenses as a percentage of our revenues for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012	
Research and development expenses	\$3,762	\$5162	\$6 793	
Research and development expenses as a percentage of total revenues	12.8%	13.6%	13,5%	

Research and development expenses consist primarily of compensation and related costs for personnel responsible for the research and development of new and existing products and services. We expense research and development costs as incurred.

Research and development expenses increased \$1,631 million from 2011 to 2012, which includes \$710 million related to Motorola Mobile. The remaining increase of \$921 million was primarily due to an increase in labor and facilities-related costs of \$359 million, largely as a result of a 15% increase in research and development headcount, an increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$213 million, an increase in depreciation and equipment-related expenses of \$147 million, and an increase in professional services expense of \$66 million.

Research and development expenses increased \$1,400 million from 2010 to 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in labor and facilities-related costs of \$875 million, largely as a result of a 23% increase in research and development headcount, including headcount from acquisitions, as well as an increase in employee base salaries of approximately 10%. In addition, there was an increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$200 million.

We expect that research and development expenses will increase in dollar amount and may increase as a percentage of total revenues in 2013 and future periods because we expect to continue to invest in building the necessary employee and system infrastructure required to support the development of new, and improve existing, products and services.

Sales and Marketing

The following table presents our sales and marketing expenses, and sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of total revenues for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012	
Sales and marketing expenses	12.799	\$4,589	\$6143	
Sales and marketing expenses as a percentage of total revenues	9.5%	12.1%	12,2%	



Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of compensation and related costs for personnel engaged in customer service, sales, and sales support functions, as well as advertising and promotional expenditures.

Sales and marketing expenses increased \$1,554 million from 2011 to 2012, which includes \$678 million related to Motorola Mobile. The remaining increase of \$876 million was primarily due to an increase in labor and facilities-related costs of \$390 million, largely as a result of a 14% increase in sales and marketing headcount, as well as an increase in advertising and promotional expenses of \$283 million. In addition, there was an increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$87 million.

Sales and marketing expenses increased \$1,790 million from 2010 to 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in labor and facilities-related costs of \$787 million, largely as a result of a 36% increase in sales and marketing headcount, including headcount from acquisitions, as well as an increase in employee base salaries of approximately 10%. In addition, there was an increase in advertising and promotional expenses of \$700 million.

We expect that sales and marketing expenses will increase in dollar amount and may increase as a percentage of total revenues in 2013 and future periods, as we expand our business globally, increase advertising and promotional expenditures in connection with new and existing products, and increase the level of service we provide to our advertisers, Google Network Members, and other partners.

General and Administrative

The following table presents our general and administrative expenses, and general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012	
General and administrative expenses	\$1,962	\$2,724	\$3,845	
General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues	6. <u>8%</u>	7.2%	7.8%	

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of compensation and related costs for personnel and facilities, and include costs related to our facilities, finance, human resources, information technology, and legal organizations, and fees for professional services. Professional services are principally comprised of outside legal, audit, information technology consulting, and outsourcing services. General and administrative expenses also include amortization of certain acquired intangible assets.

General and administrative expenses increased \$1,121 million from 2011 to 2012, which includes \$364 million related to Motorola Mobile. The remaining increase of \$757 million was primarily due to an increase in amortization of acquired intangible assets of \$274 million, an increase in professional services expense of \$147 million, the majority of which was related to legal costs, an increase in labor and facilities-related costs of \$122 million, primarily as a result of a 11% increase in general and administrative headcount, as well as an increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$89 million.

General and administrative expenses increased \$762 million from 2010 to 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase in labor and facilities-related costs of \$350 million, primarily as a result of a 37% increase in general and administrative headcount and an increase in employee base salaries of approximately 10%, as well as an increase in expense related to professional services of \$260 million, the majority of which were related to consulting services and legal costs. In addition, there was an increase in stock-pased compensation of \$116 million.

As we expand our business and incur additional expenses, we expect general and administrative expenses will increase in dollar amount and may increase as a percentage of total revenues in 2013 and future periods.

Charge Related to the Resolution of Department of Justice Investigation

In connection with a resolution of an investigation by the United States Department of Justice into the use of Google advertising by certain advertisers, we accrued \$500 million during the first quarter of 2011, which was paid in August 2011 upon final resolution of that matter.



Stock-Based Compensation

The following table presents our stock-based compensation, and stock-based compensation as a percentage of revenues for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

	<u> Year</u>	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2011	2012	
Stock-base 1 compensation	\$1,376	\$1,974	\$2,649	
Stock-based compensation as a percentage of total revenues	4,7%	5.2%	5.3%	

Stock-based compensation increased \$675 million from 2011 to 2012. This increase was primarily due to additional stock awards issued to existing and new employees, awards issued in connection with the acquisition of Motorola, and acceleration of certain awards resulting from Motorola restructuring. Additionally, stock-based compensation expense for the Motorola Home segment was included in net loss from discontinued operations.

Stock-based compensation increased \$598 million from 2010 to 2011. This increase was largely due to additional stock awards issued to existing and new employees.

We estimate stock-based compensation to be approximately \$2.5 billion in 2013 and \$2.7 billion thereafter. This estimate does not include expenses to be recognized related to employee stock awards that are granted after December 31, 2012 or non-employee stock awards that have been or may be granted. In addition, to the extent forfeiture rates are different from what we have anticipated, stock-based compensation related to these awards will be different from our expectations.

Interest and Other Income, Net

interest and other income, net, increased \$42 million from 2011 to 2012. This increase was primarily driven by a gain on divestiture of business of \$188 million in 2012, an impairment charge related to equity investments of \$110 million in 2011, partially offset by an increase in foreign currency exchange loss of \$152 million and a decrease in interest income of \$99 million.

interest and other income, net Increased \$169 million from 2010 to 2011. This increase was primarily driven by an increase in interest income of \$233 million due to an increase in our cash and investment balances and higher yields, as well as an increase in net realized gains on sales of available-for-sale investments of \$69 million, partially offset by an increase in interest expense of \$53 million primarily related to our long-term debt program. In addition, we recorded an impairment charge of \$110 million related to certain equity investments during the year enced December 31, 2011.

The costs of our foreign exchange hedging activities that we recognized to interest and other income, net are primarily a function of the notional amount of the option and forward contracts and their related duration, the movement of the foreign exchange rates relative to the strike prices of the contracts, as well as the volatility of the foreign exchange rates.

As we expand our international business, we believe costs related to hedging activities under our foreign exchange risk management program may increase in dollar amount in 2013 and future periods.

Provision for Income Taxes

The following table presents our provision for income taxes, and effective tax rate for the periods presented (dollars in millions):

	Year t	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012		
Frovision for income taxes	\$2,291	\$2,589	\$2,598		
Effective tax rate	21.2%	_ 21.0%	19.4%		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Our provision for income taxes increased from 2011 to 2012, primarily as a result of increases in federal income taxes, driven by higher taxable income year over year and expiration of the federal research and development credit, partially offset by proportionately more earnings realized in countries that have lower statutory tax rates. Our effective tax rate decreased from 2011 to 2012, primarily as a result of proportionately more earnings realized in countries that have lower statutory tax rates as well as a discrete item related to an investigation by the Department of justice recognized in 2011, which was not deductible for income tax purposes.

Our provision for income taxes increased from 2010 to 2011, primarily as a result of increases in federal income taxes, driven by higher taxable income year over year, partially offset by proportionately more earnings realized in countries that have lower statutory tax rates. Our effective tax rate decreased from 2010 to 2011, primarily as a result of proportionately more earnings



realized in countries that have lower statutory tax rates, a decrease in state income taxes, and an increase in federal research and development credits recognized in 2011, partially offset by recognition of a charge related to the resolution of an investigation by the Department of Justice which is not deductible for tax purposes.

The federal research and development credit expired on December 31, 2011. On January 2, 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law, Under this act, the federal research and development credit was retroactively extended for amounts paid or incurred after December 31, 2011 and before January 1, 2014. The effects of these changes in the tax law will result in a tax benefit which will be recognized in the first quarter of 2013, which is the quarter in which the law was enacted.

Our effective tax rate could fluctuate significantly on a quarterly basis and could be adversely affected to the extent earnings are lower than anticipated in countries that have lower statutory rates and higher than anticipated in countries that have higher statutory rates. Our effective tax rate could also fluctuate due to the net gains and losses recognized by legal entities on certain hedges and related hedged intercompany and other transactions under our foreign exchange risk management program, by changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets or liabilities, or by changes in tax laws, regulations, or accounting principles, as well as certain discrete items. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes.

See Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates below for additional information about our provision for income taxes.

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to our effective tax rate is set forth in Note 14 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Net Loss from Discontinued Operations

In December 2012, we entered into an agreement with Arris and certain other persons providing for the disposition of the Motorola Home business for total consideration of approximately \$2,35 billion in cash and common stock, subject to certain adjustments. The transaction is expected to close in 2013. As a result, the following financial information of Motorola Home was presented as net loss from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(to milions)	Year Ended December 31, 2012
Revenues	\$2,028
Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes	(22)
Provision for income lates	(29)
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$ (51)

Quarterly Results of Operations

You should read the following tables presenting our quarterly results of operations in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We have prepared the unaudited information on the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements. You should also keep in mind that our operating results for any quarter are not necessarily indicative of results for any future quarters or for a full year. Please note that previously reported quarters have been adjusted to show discontinued operations for the disposition of the Motorola Home business.

The following table presents our unaudited quarterly results of operations for the eight quarters ended December 31, 2012. This table includes all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, that we consider necessary for fair presentation of our consolidated financial position and operating results for the quarters presented. Both seasonal fluctuations in internet usage and traditional retail seasonality have affected, and are likely to continue to affect, our business. Internet usage generally slows during the summer months, and commercial queries typically increase significantly in the fourth quarter of each year. These seasonal trends have caused and will likely continue to cause, fluctuations in our quarterly results, including fluctuations in sequential revenue growth rates.



Quarter Ended Sep 30, 2012 Mar 31, Jun 30, Sep 30, Dec 31, Mar 31, Jun 30, Dec 31, 2011 2011 2011 2012 2012 2012 2011 (in millions, except per share amounts) (unaudited) Consolidated Statements of Income Data Revenues: \$10645 \$ 8,575 \$ 9,026 \$ 9,720 \$10584 \$:0,964 \$11,526 \$12.905 Google (acverusing and other) 843 1,778 Motorola Mobile (hardware and other) 0 0 0. a 1,514 9,720 10,584 10,645 1,907 13,304 14,418 8 575 9,026 Total rendues Costs and expenses: Cost of revenues—Google 3 379 3,702 3.789 3,984 1 440 1963 2,936 3,172 (advert sing and other) Cost of revenues---Motorola Mobile 0 0 693 1,515 1,250 (hardware and other) 0 0 0 1,44 i 1,538 1.879 1,435 1,23+ 1 404 1,298 Research and development 1 226 1,204 1,269 1,413 1,091 1,268 1,710 1,751 1,026 Sales and marketing 591 4-B 576 809 757 947 1 020 1,125 General and administrative Charge related to the resolution of 500 0 0 0 0 Department of Justice Investigation 7.077 7,256 10,564 11,025 6,145 6,662 8,570 6.279 Total costs and expenses 3,507 3,389 3,237 2,740 3,394 2,296 2,881 3,058 Income from operations 56 204 302 (16)156 253 65 152 Interest and other income (expense) net Income from continuing operations 3,489 3,545 3,490 3,360 2,805 3,546 3,085 before income taxes 2,392 580 53 734 655 657 647 639 594 Provision for income taxas \$ 2,729 \$ 2,705 \$ 2,890 \$ 2,833 \$ 2,158 \$ 2,907 \$ 1,798 \$ 2,505 Net income from continuing operations Nei income (ioss) from discontinued (2!)operations \$ 2,785 \$2,729 \$ 2,705 \$ 2,890 \$ 2,176 \$ 2,886 \$1,798 \$2,505 Net income Tieu income (loss) per stiare-basic \$ 5.59 \$ 7.77 \$ 8.44 \$ 8.34 \$ 8.88 \$ 8.68 \$ 6.59 \$ 8.83 Continuing operations (014) 005 (0.06)Discontinued operations G 0 8.54 \$ 8.77 \$ 5.59 \$ 7.77 \$ 8.44 \$ 8.34 \$ 8.88 \$ \$ 6.64 Net income per share—basic Nei income (ioss) per share—ci luted \$ 5.51 \$ 7.68 \$ 8.33 \$ 8.22 \$ 8.75 \$ 8.56 \$ 6.48 \$ 8.68 Continuing operations 0 0 0 (0 1-) ₿ **0**5 (0.05)Discontinued operations \$ 8.75 \$ 7.68 \$ 8.33 \$ 8.22 \$ 8,42 \$ 6.53 \$ 5.51 \$ 8.62 Net Income per share—diluted



The following table presents our unaudited quarterly results of operations as a percentage of revenues for the eight quarters ended December 31, 2012:

1)	Quarter Ended							
	Mar 31, 2011	Jun 30, 2011	Sep 30, 2011	Dec 31, 2011	Mar 31, 2012	jun 30, 2012	Sep 30, 2012	Dec 31, 2012
Revenues:								
Google (ac /ertising and other)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	92.9%	86,6%	89.5%
Fiotorpia Mobile (naidvare and other)	0	C	6	0	0	71	13 4	10 5
Total revenues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costs and expenses								
Cost of revenues—Google (advertising and other)	34.2	35.1	34.8	35.0	35.6	33.7	33.4	34.4
Cost of revenues —Motorola Mobile (hardware and other)	ō	ō,	0	Ð	Ċ	59	11.5	87
Research and development	14.3	13.7	14.4	12.3	13.5	13.0	14.1	13.4
Sales and marketing	120	12.1	124	120	119	12.0	129	12.3
General and administrative	6.9	7.2	6.9	7.6	7,2	8.0	7.7	7.8
Charge related to the resolution of Department of Justice investigation	58	· o	0	U	0	0	0	С
Total costs and expenses	73.2	68.1	68,5	66.9	68.2	72.6	79.4	76.5
Income from operations	268	319	315	33 1	31 8	2/4	70.5	23 5
Interest and other income (expense), net	1.1	2,3	3.1	(0.1)	1.5	2.1	0.5	11
Income from conunting operations before income talles	279	342	346	33.0	32 3	29 5	21 1	24 6
Provision for income taxes	6.9	6.4	6.5	7.4	6.2	5.5	4.9	4.4
Neu atomic from continuing operations	21 0%	27 8%	28 I%	25 3%	27 . %	24 0%	(6.2%	2ú 2%
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	(0.4%)	0.1%	(0.2%)
No income	21 0%	27 8%	28 1%	25 6%	27 196	23 6%	16.3%	20.0%

Liquidity and Capital Resources

in summary, our cash flows are as follows (in millions):

	Year	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 11,081	\$ 14 56 5	\$ 15,619		
Net cash used in investing activities	(10,680)	(19,041)	(13,056)		
Het cash provided by financing activities	3,050	807	(,229		

At December 31, 2012, we had \$48.1 billion of cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities. Cash equivalents and marketable securities are comprised of time deposits, money market and other funds, including cash collateral received related to our securities lending program, highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies, debt instruments issued by foreign governments, and municipalities in the U.S., corporate securities, mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities.

As of December 31, 2012, \$31.4 billion of the \$48.1 billion of cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities was held by our foreign subsidiaries. If these funds are needed for our operations in the U.S., we would be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. However, our intent is to permanently relevest these funds outside of the U.S. and our current plans do not demonstrate a need to repatriate them to fund our U.S. operations.

Our principal sources of liquidity are our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities, as well as the cash flow that we generate from our operations. At December 31, 2012, we had unused letters of credit for approximately \$89 million. We believe that our sources of funding will be sufficient to satisfy our currently anticipated cash requirements through at least the next 12 months. Cur liquidity could be negatively affected by a decrease in demand for our products and services. In addition, we may make acquisitions or license products and technologies complementary to our business and may need to raise additional capital through future debt or equity financing to provide for greater flexibility to fund any such acquisitions and licensing activities. Additional financing may not be available at all or on terms favorable to us.

We have a debt financing program of up to \$3.0 billion through the Issuance of commercial paper. Net proceeds from this program are used for general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2012, we had \$2.5 billion of commercial paper outstanding recorded as short-term debt, with a weighted-average interest rate of 0.2% that mature at various dates through 2013. Average commercial



paper bor owings during the year were \$2.2 billion and the maximum amount outstanding during the year was \$2.7 billion. In conjunction with this program, we have a \$3.0 billion revolving credit facility expiring in July 2016. The interest rate for the credit facility is determined based on a formula using certain market rates. As of December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with the financial covenant in the credit facility and no amounts were outstanding.

In May 2011, we issued \$3.0 billion of unsecured senior notes in three equal tranches, due in 2014, 2016, and 2021, with stated interest rates of 1.25%, 2.125%, and 3.625%. The net proceeds from the sale of the notes were used to repay a portion of our outstanding commercial paper and for general corporate purposes. As of December 31, 2012, the total carrying value and estimated fair value of these notes were \$3.0 billion and \$3.2 billion. The estimated fair value was based on quoted prices for our publicly-traded debt as of December 31, 2012. We are not subject to any financial covenants under the notes.

Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Cash provided by operating activities consist of net income adjusted for certain non-cash items, including amortization, depreciation, deferred income taxes, excess tax benefits from stock-based award activities, stock-based compensation expense, as well as the effect of changes in working capital and other activities.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2012 was \$16,619 million and consisted of net income of \$10,737 million, adjustments for non-cash items of \$5,172 million, a gain on divestiture of business of \$188 million and increase in cash from changes in working capital and other activities of \$898 million. Adjustments for non-cash items primarily consisted of \$2,692 million of stock-based compensation expense, \$1,988 million of depreciation and amortization expense on property and equipment, \$974 million of amortization of intangible and other assets, and \$188 million of excess tax benefits from stock-based award activities, partially offset by \$266 million of deferred income taxes. In addition, the increase in cash from changes in working capital activities primarily consisted of an increase in income taxes, net, of \$1,492 million including additional tax obligations accrued, partially offset by an increase in the amount of estimated income taxes we paid during the year, an increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$762 million, a decrease in inventories of \$301 million, an increase accrued revenue share of \$299 million, and an increase in deferred revenue of \$163 million. These changes were partially offset by an increase in prepaid revenue share, expenses, and other assets of \$833 million including prepayments for certain content arrangements, an increase of accounts receivable of \$787 million due to growth in fees billed to our customers, and a decrease in accounts payable of \$499 million due to the timing of invoice processing and payments.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2011 was \$14,565 million and consisted of net income of \$9,737 million, adjustments for non-cash items of \$4,198 million, and increase in cash from changes in working capital and other activities of \$630 million. Adjustments for non-cash items primarily consisted of \$1,974 million of stock-based compensation expense, \$1,396 million of depreciation and amortization expense of property and equipment, \$455 million of amortization of intangible and other assets, \$343 million of deferred income taxes, and \$110 million related to impairment of equity investments. In addition, the increase in cash from changes in working capital activities primarily consisted of an increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$795 million, an et increase in income taxes payable and deferred income taxes of \$731 million, an increase in accrued revenue share of \$259 million, an increase of \$162 million in deferred revenue, and an increase of \$101 million in accounts payable. These increases were partially offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$1,156 million due to the growth in fees billed to our advertisers, and an increase in prepaid revenue share, expenses and other assets of \$262 million. The increase in income taxes payable and deferred income taxes reflected primarily additional tax obligations accrued, partially offset by estimated income taxes paid during 2011. In addition, we paid \$500 million related to the resolution of a Department of Justice investigation during the year.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2010 was \$11,081 million, and consisted of net income of \$8,505 million, adjustments for non-cash items of \$2,675 million, and decrease in cash from changes in working capital and other activities of \$99 million. Adjustments for non-cash items primarily consisted of \$1,376 million of stock-based compensation expense, \$1,067 million of depreciation and amortization expense on property and equipment, and \$329 million of amortization of intangible and other assets, partially offset by \$94 million of excess tax benefits from stock-based award activities. In addition, the decrease in cash from changes in working capital activities primarily consisted of an increase of \$1,129 million in accounts receivable due to the growth in fees billed to our advertisers and an increase of \$414 million in prepald revenue share, expenses and other assets. These increases were partially offset by an increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$745 million, an increase in accrued revenue share of \$214 million, an increase in deferred revenue of \$111 million, and a net increase in income tax payable and deferred income taxes of \$102 million, which includes the same \$94 million of excess tax benefits from stock-based award activities included under adjustments for non-cash items. The increase in accrued expense and other liabilities, accounts payable, accrued revenue share, and deferred revenues are primarily a result of the growth in our business and headcount. The increase in net income taxes payable and deferred income taxes was primarily a result of additional tax obligations accrued, partially offset by the release of certain tax reserves as a result of the settlement of our tax audits for our 2005 and 2006 tax years.

As we excland our business internationally, we have offered payment terms to certain advertisers that are standard in their locales but longer than terms we would generally offer to our domestic advertisers. In addition, we continue to evaluate our Motorola restructuring plan, and may incur additional charges, some of which may be significant. This may increase our working capital requirements and may have a negative effect on cash provided by our operating activities.



Cash Used in Investing Activities

Cash used in Investing activities in 2012 of \$13,056 million was primarily attributable to cash used in acquisitions and other investments of \$11,264 million, including \$9,518 million net cash paid in connection with the acquisition of Motorola, and capital expenditures of \$3,273 million related primarily to our facilities, data centers, and related equipment. These decreases were partially offset by net maturities and sales of marketable securities of \$1,770 million. Also, In connection with our securities lending program, we returned cash collateral of \$334 million. See Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further Information about our securities lending program.

Cash used in investing activities in 2011 of \$19,041 million was primarily attributable to net purchases of marketable securities of \$12,926 million, capital expenditures of \$3,438 million related principally to our facilities, data centers, and related equipment, and cash used in acquisitions and other Investments of \$2,328 million, including \$676 million paid in connection with the acquisition of ITA Software, Inc. Also, in connection with our securities lending program, we returned \$354 million of cash collateral.

Cash used in Investing activities in 2010 of \$10,680 million was primarily attributable to net purchases of marketable securities of \$6,886 million, capital expenditures of \$4,018 million of which \$1.8 billion was for the purchase of an office building in New York City in December 2010, and remaining amounts related principally to our data centers and related equipment, and cash consideration used in acquisitions and other investments of \$1,067 million. Also, in connection with our securities lending program, we received \$2,361 million of cash collateral which was invested in reverse repurchase agreements.

In order to manage expected increases in internet traffic, advertising transactions, and new products and services, and to support our overall global business expansion, we expect to make significant investments in our systems, data centers, corporate facilities, information technology infrastructure, and employees in 2013 and thereafter. However, the amount of our capital expenditures has fluctuated and may continue to fluctuate on a quarterly basis.

In addition, we expect to spend a significant amount of cash on acquisitions and other investments from time to time. These acquisitions generally enhance the breadth and depth of our expertise in engineering and other functional areas, our technologies, and our product offerings.

In December 2012, we signed an agreement for the disposition of Motorola Home business for total consideration of approximately \$2,35 billion in cash and stock subject to certain adjustments. We expect the transaction to close in 2013.

Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Cash provided by financing activities in 2012 of \$1,229 million was primarily driven by net proceeds of \$1,328 million from shortterm debt issued under our commercial paper program and excess tax benefits from stock-based award activities of \$188 million. This was partially offset by net payments for stock-based award activities of \$287 million.

Cash provided by financing activities in 2011 of \$807 million was primarily driven by net proceeds of \$726 million of debt issued and excess tax benefits from stock-based award activities of \$86 million.

Cash provided by financing activities in 2010 of \$3,050 million was primarily driven by \$3,463 million of net cash proceeds from the Issuance of commercial paper and a promissory note. This was partially offset by \$801 million in stock repurchases in connection with our acquisitions of AdMob, Inc. and On2 Technologies, Inc., as well as net proceeds from stock-based award activities of \$294 million, and excess tax benefits from stock-based award activities of \$94 million.

Contractual Obligations as of December 31, 2012

	Payments due by period					
(in milions)	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years	
Operating lease obligations, net of Jublease income amounts	\$3,619	\$ 466	\$ 870	\$ 688	\$1,595	
Purchase coligations	2,123	942	943	119	119	
Long-Lerm debt obagations	3,401	/0	1,121	τ,083	1,127	
Other long-term liabilities reflected on our balance sheet	236	41	119	40	36	
Total controqual congations	\$9,379	¥i 519	\$3,053	\$1,930	¥2,877	

The above table does not include future rental income of \$649 million related to the leases that we assumed in connection with our building purchases.



Operating Leases

We have entered into various non-cancelable operating lease agreements for certain of our offices, land, and data centers throughout the world with original lease periods expiring primarily between 2013 and 2063. We are committed to pay a portion of the related operating expenses under certain of these lease agreements. These operating expenses are not included in the above table. Certain of these leases have free or escalating rent payment provisions. We recognize rent expense under such leases on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Certain leases have adjustments for market provisions.

Purchase Obligations

Purchase obligations represent non-cancelable contractual obligations at December 31, 2012. These contracts are primarily related to distribution arrangements, video and other content licensing revenue sharing arrangements, data center operations and facility build-outs, as well as purchase of inventory.

Long-term Debt Obligations

Long-term debt obligations represent principal and interest payments to be made over the life of our unsecured senior notes issued in May 2011. Please see Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further details.

Other Long-Term Liabilities

Other long-term flabilities consist of cash obligations, primarily the legal settlement with the Authors Guild and the Association of American Publishers (AAP), asset retirement obligations, and milestone and royalty payments owed in connection with certain acquisitions and licensing agreements.

In addition to the amounts above, we had long-term taxes payable of \$2.1 billion as of December 31, 2012 related to tax positions for which the timing of the ultimate resolution is uncertain. At this time, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond 12 months due to uncertainties in the timing of tax audit outcomes. As a result, this amount is not included in the above table.

Off-Balance Sheet Entities

At December 31, 2012, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC, that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in our financial condition, revenues, or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources that is material to investors.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (U.S. GAAP), in doing so, we have to make estimates and assumptions that affect our reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues. and expenses, as well as related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. In some cases, we could reasonably have used different accounting policies and estimates. In some cases, changes in the accounting estimates are reasonably likely to occur from period to period. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from our estimates. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our financial condition or results of operations will be affected. We base our estimates on past experience and other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, and we evaluate these estimates on an ongoing basis. We refer to accounting estimates of this type as critical accounting policies and estimates, which we discuss further below. We have reviewed our critical accounting policies and estimates with the audit committee of our board of directors.

Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in evaluating our uncertain tax positions and determining our provision for income taxes.

Although we believe we have adequately reserved for our uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than



the amounts recorded, such differences will impact the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate, as well as the related net interest.

Our effective tax rates have differed from the statutory rate primarily due to the tax impact of foreign operations, state taxes, certain benefits realized related to stock-based award activities, and research and experimentation tax credits. The effective tax rates were 21.2%, 21.0%, and 19.4% for 2010, 2011, and 2012. Our future effective tax rates could be adversely affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries that have lower statutory rates and higher than anticipated in countries that have higher statutory rates, the net gains and losses recognized by legal entitles on certain hedges and related hedged intercompany and other transactions under our foreign exchange risk management program, changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets or liabilities, or changes in tax laws, regulations, or accounting principles, as well as certain discrete items. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the IRS and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes.

Loss Contingencies

We are regularly subject to claims, suits, government investigations, and other proceedings involving competition and antitrust. intellectual property, privacy, tax, labor and employment, commercial disputes, content generated by our users, goods and services offered by advertisers or publishers using our platforms, and other matters. Certain of these matters include speculative claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts of damages. We record a liability when we believe that it is both probable that a loss has been incurred, and the amount can be reasonably estimated. We evaluate, on a monthly basis, developments in our legal matters that could affect the amount of liability that has been previously accrued, and make adjustments as appropriate. Significant judgment is required to determine both likelihood of there being and the estimated amount of a loss related to such matters. Until the final resolution of such matters, there may be an exposure to loss in excess of the amount recorded, and such amounts could be material. Should any of our estimates and assumptions change or prove to have been incorrect, it could have a material impact on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. See Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding contingencies.

Business Combinations

We allocate the fair value of purchase consideration to the tangible assets acquired, liabilities assumed and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the fair value of purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. When determining the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, management makes significant estimates and assumptions, especially with respect to intangible assets.

Critical estimates in valuing certain intangible assets include but are not limited to future expected cash flows from customer relationships and acquired patents and developed technology; and discount rates. Management's estimates of fair value are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable and, as a result, actual results may differ from estimates.


Other estimates associated with the accounting for acquisitions may change as additional information becomes available regarding the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as more fully discussed in Note 6 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Impairment of Marketable and Non-Marketable Securities

We periodically review our marketable and non-marketable securities for impairment. If we conclude that any of these investments are impaired, we determine whether such impairment is other-than-temporary. Factors we consider to make such determination Include the duration and severity of the impairment, the reason for the decline in value and the potential recovery period and our intent to sell. For marketable debt securities, we also consider whether (1) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, and (2) the amortized cost basis cannot be recovered as a result of credit losses. If any impairment is considered other-than-temporary, we will write down the asset to its fair value and record the corresponding charge as interest and other income, net.

Prior period reclassification

Prior period balance related to inventories has been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.



ITEM 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in currency exchange rates and interest rates.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Economic Exposure

We transact business in various foreign currencies and have significant international revenues, as well as costs denominated in foreign currencies. This exposes us to the risk of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We purchase foreign exchange option contracts to reduce the volatility of cash flows related to forecasted revenues denominated in certain foreign currencies. The objective of the foreign exchange contracts is to better ensure that the U.S. dollar-equivalent cash flows are not adversely affected by changes in the U.S. dollar/foreign currency exchange rates. These contracts are designated as cash flow hedges. The gain on the effective portion of a cash flow hedge is initially reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and subsequently reclassified into revenues when the hedged revenues are recorded or as interest and other income, net, if the hedged transaction becomes probable of not occurring. The notional principal of these contracts was approximately \$6.5 billion and \$9.5 billion as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. These foreign exchange contracts have maturities of 36 months or less.

We considered the historical trends in currency exchange rates and determined that it was reasonably possible that changes in exchange rates of 20% for our foreign currencies instruments could be experienced in the near term. If the U.S. dollar weakened by 20%, the amount recorded in AOCI before tax effect would have been approximately \$132 million and \$9 million lower at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, and the total amount of expense recorded as interest and other income, net, would have been approximately \$138 million and \$140 million higher in the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. If the U.S. dollar strengthened by 20%, the amount recorded in accumulated AOCI before tax effect would have been approximately \$1.2 billion and \$1.7 billion higher at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, and the total amount of expense recorded as interest and other income, net, would have been approximately \$202 million and \$159 million higher in the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012.

Transaction Exposure

Our exposure to foreign currency transaction gains and losses is the result of certain net receivables due from our foreign subsidiaries and customers being denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the subsidiary, primarily the Euro and the British pound. Our foreign subsidiaries conduct their businesses in local currency. We have entered into foreign exchange contracts to offset the foreign exchange risk on certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the local currency of the subsidiary. The notional principal of foreign exchange contracts outstanding was \$3.7 billion and \$6.6 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012.

We considered the historical trends in currency exchange rates and determined that it was reasonably possible that adverse changes in exchange rates of 20% for all currencies could be experienced in the near term. These changes would have resulted in an adverse impact on income before income taxes of approximately \$27 million and \$9 million at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. The adverse impact at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 is after consideration of the offsetting effect of approximately \$503 million and \$731 million from foreign exchange contracts in place for the months of December 2011 and December 2012. These reasonably possible adverse changes in exchange rates of 20% were applied to total monetary assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the local currencies at the balance sheet dates to compute the adverse impact these changes would have had on our income before income taxes in the near term.

Interest Rate Risk

We invest our excess cash primarily in U.S. government and its agency securities, money market and other funds, corporate debt securities, mortgage-backed securities, debt instruments issued by foreign governments, municipal securities, time deposits, and asset backed securities. By policy, we limit the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer.

Investments in both fixed rate and floating rate interest earning securities carry a degree of interest rate risk. Fixed rate securities may have their fair market value adversely impacted due to a rise in interest rates, while floating rate securities may produce less income than predicted if interest rates fall. Due in part to these factors, our income from investments may decrease in the future. However, we use certain interest rate derivative contracts to hedge interest rate risk of our fixed income securities.



During the second quarter of 2012, we began to hedge the variability of forecasted interest payments using forward-starting interest swaps. The total notional amount of these swaps was \$1.0 billion as of December 31, 2012, with terms calling for us to receive interest at a variable rate and to pay interest at a fixed rate. These forward-starting interest swaps effectively fix the benchmark interest rate on an anticipated debt issuance of \$1.0 billion in 2014, and they will be terminated upon issuance of the debt.

When entering into forward-starting interest rate swaps, we are subject to market risk with respect to changes in the underlying benchmark interest rate that impacts the fair value of the forward-starting interest swaps. We manage market risk by matching the terms of the swaps with the critical terms of the expected debt issuance.

We considered the historical volatility of short-term interest rates and determined that it was reasonably possible that an adverse change of '00 basis points could be experienced in the near term. A hypothetical 1.00% (100 basis points) increase in interest rates would have resulted in a decrease in the fair values of our marketable securities of approximately \$934 million and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011 and 2012, after taking into consideration the offsetting effect from interest rate derivative contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 2012. A hypothetical 1.00% (100 basis points) decrease in interest rates would have resulted in a decrease in the fair values of our forward-starting interest swaps of approximately \$107 million at December 31, 2012.



ITEM 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Google Inc.

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	4
Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	4
Consolidated Statements of Income	
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	,

The supplementary financial information required by this item 8 is included in item 7 under the caption "Quarterly Results of Operations."



Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Google Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Google Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)2. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Google Inc. at December 31, 2011 and 2012, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Google Inc.'s Internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated January 29, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP San Jose, California January 29, 2013

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Google Inc.

Contents

We have audited Google Inc.'s Internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Google Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of Internal control over financial reporting Included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Google Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Google Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012 of Google Inc. and our report dated January 29, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP San Jose, California January 29, 2013



Google Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in millions, except share and par value amounts which are reflected In thousands, and par value per share amounts)	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2012
ASSETS		2012
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,983	\$14,778
Marketable securities	34,643	33,310
Total cash equivalents, and marketable recurres (nationing securities loaned of \$2,778 and \$3,160)	44,626	48 083
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$133 and \$581	5,427	7,885
Inventorics	35	505
Receivable under reverse repurchase agreements	745	700
Deferred income taxes net	215	1 144
Prepaid revenue share, expenses and other assets	1,710	2,132
Total current assats	57,758	60,454
Prepaid revenue share, expenses and other assets, non-current	499	2,011
Non-marketable equity securities	790	1,469
Property and equipment, net	9,603	11,854
Intengible assets, net	1,578	
Goodwill	7,346	7,473
Total assets	\$/2,574	10,537
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	4/2,3/4	593,798
Corrent Habilities		
Accounts payable	.	
Short-term debt	\$ 588	\$ 2,012
Accrued compensation and benefits	1.218	2,549
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	1,818	2,239
Accrued revenue share	1,370	3.258
Securities lending payable	1,168	1,471
Deferred revenue	2,007	1,673
	547	895
inconie ដេខេ payable, nei Total current liabilities	197	240
	8,913	14,337
Long-term debt	2,986	2,988
Deferred revenue, non-current	44	100
Income taxes payable non-current	1.693	2,046
Deferred income taxes, net, non-current	287	1,872
Other long-lerm hapilities	506	740
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity		
Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share, 100,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	0	0
Class A and Class B common stock and additional paid+in capital, \$0.001 par value per thane 9,000,000 shares authorized (Class A 6,000,000, Class B 3,000,000) and 12,000,000 shares authorized (Class A 9,000,000). 324 895 (Class A 257,553. Class B 67,342) and par value of \$325 (Class A \$258, Class B \$67) and 329,979 (Class A 267,448, Class B 62,531) and par value of \$330 (Class A \$267, Class B \$63) shares issued and outstanding	20.25	
Class C capital stock, \$0.001 par value per share, 3,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding	20,264	22,835
Accumulated other comprehensive income	0	0
Retained earnings	276	538
Total storthoiders' equity	37,605	48,342
	58,145	71,715
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$72,574	\$93,798



Google Inc. Consolidated Statements of Income

	Year Ended December 3		1,	
(In millions, except per share amounts)	2010	2011	2012	
Revenues				
Google (advertising and other)	\$29,321	\$37,905	\$46,039	
Motorola Mobile (hard-vare and other)		0	4,136	
Total reverues	\$29,321	\$37,905	\$50,175	
Costs and expenses				
Cost of revenues—Google (advertising and other) ⁽¹⁾	\$10,417	\$13,188	\$17,176	
Cost of revenues—Motorola Mobile (hardwere and other)	0	G	3,458	
Research and development ⁽¹⁾	3,762	5,162	6,793	
Sales and markating ^{ca}	2,799	4,589	6 143	
General and administrative ⁿ	1,962	2,724	3,845	
Charge related to the resolution of Department of justice investigation	0	500	0	
Total costs and expenses	18,940	26,163	37,415	
Income from operations	10,381	11,742	12 760	
Interest and other income, net	415	· 584	626	
Income from continuing operations before income takes	10,796	12,326	13,386	
Provision for income taxes ·	2,291	2,589	2,598	
wet income from continuing operations	\$ 8,505	1 9,737	\$10,788	
Net loss from discontinued operations	0	0	(51)	
Net income	\$ 8,505	\$ 9,737	\$10,737	
Net Income (loss) per share of Class A and Class B common stock—basic:				
Continuing operations	\$ 26 69	\$ 3017	\$ 32.97	
Discontinued operations	0.00	0.00	(0.16)	
Net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock—basic	\$ 26 69	\$ 3017	\$ 32.81	
Net income (loss) per share of Class A and Class B common stock—diluted:		<u>-</u>		
Centinuing operations	\$ 26.31	\$ 29.76	\$ 32.46	
Discontinued operations	0.00	0.00	(0.15)	
Neutricome per share of Class A and Class B common stock—d luted	\$ 26 3 I	\$ 2976	\$ 32 31	
(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense as follows:				
Cost of nevenues—Google (advertising and other)	\$ 67	\$ 249	\$ 359	
Cost of revenues—Motorola Mobile (hardware and other)	0	0	14	
Research and development	861	1,061	N1,325	
Sales and marketing	261	361	498	
General and administrative	187	303	453	
	\$ 1,376	\$ 1.974	\$ 2,649	



Google Inc. Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(in millions)	Year En	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012		
Net income	\$6,505	\$9,737	\$10,737		
Other comprehensive income:					
Change in foreign currency translation adjustment	(124)	(107)	75		
Available for-sale investments:					
Change in her unirealized gains	232	348	493		
Less: reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net income	(151)	(115)	. (215		
Net change (net of tax effect of \$52, \$54, \$68)	S 1	233	277		
Cash flow hedges:					
Change in unrealized gains	19 6	39	47		
Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	(120)	(27)	(137		
Ne. change (net of tax effect of \$52, \$2, \$53)	76	12	(90)		
Other comprehensive income	33	138	262		
Comprehensive income	\$8,538	\$9 875	\$10,999		

Google Inc. Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

	Class A and Class B (and Additional Pa		Accumulated Other		Total
(In millions, except for share amounts which are reflected in thousands)	Shares	Amount	Comprehensive Income	Retained Earnings	Stockholders' Equity
Balance at January 1, 2010	317772	\$15,817	\$105	\$20,082	\$36,004
Common stock Issued	5,126	1,412	0	0	1,412
Common stock repurchased	(1597)	(82)	ō	(719)	(901)
Stock-based compensation expense		1,376	0	0	1,376
Stock-based comparisation tax benefits		72	G	n	72
Tax withholding related to vesting of restricted stock units		(360)	0	. n	
Net income		(500,	0	•	(360)
Other comprehensive income		. 0	33	8,505	8,505
Balance at Detember 31, 2010	321.30	18,235	138	0	33
Common stock issued	3,594	621	0	27,868	46 241
Stock-based compensation expense	J JJ-	1,974	0	0	621
Stock-based compensation tax benefits		1,574	0	Ú	1,974
Tax withholding related to resting of restricted		50	U	0	60
scock units		(626)	0	o	(626)
Net Income		0	0	9,737	9,737
Other comprehensive income		n	138	0	138
Balance at December 31, 2011	324,895	20,264	276	37,605	58,145
Common stock issued	5,084	736	0	0	736
Stock-based compensation expense		2,692	0	n	2,692
Stock-based compensation tax benefits		166	Ó	ñ	156
Tax withholding related to vesting of restricted stock units		(1,023)	0	0	
Net income		(1,023)	ō	10,737	(1,023)
Other comprehensive income			262	10,737	10,737
Balance at December 31, 2012	329,979	\$22,835	\$538	\$48.342	262 \$71,715

277



Google Inc. **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

	Year E	nded December :	31,
(in millions)	2010	2011	2012
Operating activities			
Net income	\$ 8,505	\$ 9 ,737	\$ 10,737
Adjustments			
Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment	1,067	1,396	1,988
Amortization of mangible and other assers	329	55⊿	974
Stock-based compensation expense	1,376	1,974	2,692
Excess aix benefits from stock-based award activities	(94)	(66)	(188)
Deferred income taxes	9	343	(266)
Impairment of equity intestments	Q	110	(200) ()
Gain on divestiture of business	0	0	(188)
Other	(12)	. 5	(28)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisitions:	•••	•	(20)
Accounts receivable	(1,129)	(1,156)	(787)
Income taxes, net	102	731	1,492
Inventories	0	(30)	301
Prepald revenue share, expenses and other assets	(414)	(232)	
Accounts payable	277	101	(833)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	745	795	(499)
Accrued revenue share	214		762
Deferred revenue	111 ·	259	299
Net tash provided by operating activities	14,081	162	163
Investing activities	11,001	14,565	16,519
Purchases of property and equipment	(4,018)	/2 -20\	
Purchases of marketable securities		(3,478)	(3,2/3)
Maturities and Sales of marketable securities	(43,985)	(61,672)	(33,410)
Investments in non-marketable equity securities	37,099	48,746	35,180
Cash collateral related to securities lending	(320)	(428)	(696)
	2,361	(354)	(334)
Investments in reverse repurchase agreements	(750)	5	45
Acquisitions, not of cash acquired and proceeds received from divestiture, and proceeds of intangible and other assets	(1,067)	44.002	
Net cash used in investing activities	(10,680)	(1,900)	(10,568)
Financing activities	(10,000)	(19,041)	(13,056)
Net proceeds (payments) from stock-based award activities	294		
Excess tax trensfits from stock-based award activities	294 94	(5)	(287)
Repurchase of common stock in connection with acquisitions		86	188
Proceeds from issuance of debt. net of uosus	(801)	0	0
Repayment of debt	5,246	10,905	15,109
Net cash provided by finanting activities	(1,783)	(10,179)	(14,781)
	3,050	807	1,229
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(19)	22	3
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	3,432	(3,647)	4 795
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	10,198	13,630	9,983
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 13,630	\$ 9,983	\$ 14,778
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 0	\$ 40	\$ 74
Cash paid for taxes	\$ 2,175	\$ 1,471	\$ 2,034
Non-cash financing activity			
Fair value of stock-based awards assumed in connection with acquisitions	<u>\$</u> 750	\$ 0	\$ 41



Google Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTE 1. Google Inc. and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations

We were incorporated in California in September 1998. We were re-Incorporated in the State of Delaware in August 2003. We generate revenues primarily by delivering relevant, cost-effective online advertising in our Google segment. In addition, as a result of our acquisition of Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc. (Motorola) on May 22, 2012, we generate revenues from sales of mobile devices in our Motorola Mobile (Mobile) segment and digital set-top boxes in our Motorola Home (Home) segment. In December 2012, we entered into an agreement to dispose Home, and the related financial results are presented as net loss from discontinued operations on the Consolidated Statements of Income. Assets and liabilities of Motorola Home are not presented as held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheets because they are not material.

Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Google Inc. and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformlty with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the accounts receivable and sales allowances, fair values of financial instruments, intangible assets and goodwill, useful lives of intangible assets and property and equipment, fair values of stock-based awards, inventory valuations income taxes, and contingent liabilities, among others. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities.

Revenue Recognition

The following table presents our revenues by revenue source (in millions):

Year Ended December 31,			
2010	2011	2012	
\$ 19,44.1	\$26,145	s31.22	
8,792	10,386	12,465	
23,226	36,531	43,686	
1,085	1,374	2,353	
·			
	. 0	4,136	
\$ 29,321	\$37,905	150-175	
	\$ 19,444 8,792 23,236 1,085	\$19,444 \$26,145 8,792 10,386 28,236 36,531 1,085 1,374	

We recognize revenues when the services or products have been provided or delivered, the fees we charge are fixed or determinable, we and our advertisers or other customers understand the specific nature and terms of the agreed upon transactions, and collectability is reasonably assured.

Google

Google AdWords is our auction-based advertising program that enables advertisers to place text-based and display ads on our websites and our Google Network Members' websites. Display advertising comprises the videos, text, images, and other interactive ads that run across the web on computers and mobile devices, including smart phones and handheld computers such as netbooks



and tablets. Most of our customers pay us on a cost-per-click basis, which means that an advertiser pays us only when a user clicks on one of its ads. We also offer advertising on a cost-per-impression basis that enables advertisers to pay us based on the number of times their ads display on our websites and our Google Network Members' websites as specified by the advertisers.

Google AdSense refers to the online programs through which we distribute our advertisers' AdWords ads for display on our Google Network Members' websites.

We recognize as revenues the fees charged to advertisers each time a user clicks on one of the ads that appears next to the search results or content on our websites or our Google Network Members' websites. For those advertisers using our cost-perimpression pricing, we recognize as revenues the fees charged to advertisers each time their ads are displayed on our websites or our Google Network Members' websites. We report our Google AdSense revenues on a gross basis principally because we are the primary obligor to our advertisers.

We record deferred revenues upon invoicing or when cash payments are received in advance of our performance in the underlying agreement on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sneets.

Motorola

For hardware product sales, where we sell directly to end customers or through distribution channels; revenue recognition generally occurs when products have been shipped, risk of loss has transferred to the customer, objective evidence exists that customer acceptance provisions have been met, no significant obligations remain and allowances for discounts, price protection, returns and customer incentives can be reasonably and reliably estimated. Recorded revenues are reduced by these allowances. Where these allowances cannot be reasonably and reliably estimated, we recognize revenue at the time the product sells through the distribution channel to the end customer.

For arrangements that include multiple deliverables, primarily for products that contain software essential to the hardware products' functionality and services, we allocate revenue to each unit of accounting based on their relative selling prices. In such circumstances, we use a hierarchy to determine the selling prices to be used for allocating revenue: (i) vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value (VSOE), (ii) third-party evidence of selling price, and (iii) best estimate of the selling price (ESP). VSOE generally exists only when we sell the deliverable separately and is the price actually charged by us for that deliverable. ESPs reflect our best estimates of what the selling prices of elements would be if they were sold regularly on a stand-alone basis.

Revenues from Home are included in net loss from discontinued operations.

Cost of Revenues

Google

Cost of revenues consists primarily of traffic acquisition costs. Traffic acquisition costs consist of amounts ultimately paid to our Google Network members under AdSense arrangements and to certain other partners (our distribution partners) who distribute our toolbar and other products (collectively referred to as access points) or otherwise direct search queries to our website (collectively referred to as distribution arrangements). These amounts are primarily based on the revenue share and fixed fee arrangements with our Google Network Members and distribution partners.

Certain distribution arrangements require us to pay our partners based on a fee per access point delivered and not exclusively or at all—based on revenue share. These fees are non-refundable, Further, these arrangements are terminable at will, although under the terms of certain contracts we or our distribution partners may be subject to penalties in the event of early termination. We recognize fees under these arrangements over the estimated useful lives of the access points (approximately two years) to the extent we can reasonably estimate those lives and they are longer than one year, or based on any contractual revenue share, if greater. Otherwise, the fees are charged to expense as incurred. The estimated useful life of the access points is based on the historical average period of time they generate traffic and revenues. Further, we review the access points for impairment by distribution partner, type, and geography, and we have not made any impairment to date.

Cost of revenues also includes the expenses associated with the operation of our data centers, including depreciation, labor, energy, and bandwidth costs, credit card and other transaction fees related to processing customer transactions, amortization of accuired intangible assets, as well as content acquisition costs. We have entered into arrangements with certain content providers under which we distribute or license their video and other content. In a number of these arrangements, we display ads on the pages of our web sites from which the content is viewed and share most of the fees these ads generate with the content providers. To the extent we are obligated to make guaranteed minimum revenue share payments to our content providers, we recognize as content acquisition costs the contractual revenue share amount or the minimum guarantee on a straight-line basis, whichever is greater, over the terms of the agreements.

Prepaid revenue share and distribution fees are included in prepaid revenue share, expenses, and other assets on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Moterola

Cost of revenues from our Motorola business related to delivered hardware, including estimated warranty costs, are recognized at the time of sale. Cost of revenues from Home are included in net loss from discontinued operations.

281

Stock-based Compensation

We have elected to use the Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM) option pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options on the dates of grant. Restricted stock units (RSUs) are measured based on the fair market values of the underlying stock on the dates of grant. Shares are issued on the vesting dates net of the minimum statutory tax withholding requirements to be paid by us on behalf of our employees. As a result, the actual number of shares issued will be fewer than the actual number of RSUs outstanding. Furthermore, we record the liability for withholding amounts to be pald by us as a reduction to additional pald-in capital when paid. Also, we recognize stock-based compensation using the straight-line method.

We include as part of cash flows from financing activities the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the tax-effected compensation of the related stock-based awards for options exercised and RSUs vested during the period. During the years ended December 31, 2010, December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2012, the amount of cash received from the exercise of stock options was \$656 million, \$621 million, and \$736 million, and the total direct tax benefit realized, including the excess tax benefit, from stock-based award activities was \$355 million, \$451 million, and \$747 million. We have elected to account for the indirect effects of stock-based awards—primarily the research and development tax credit—through the Consolidated Statements of Income.

For the years ended December 31, 2010, December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2012, we recognized stock-based compensation expense and related tax benefits of \$1,376 million and \$314 million, \$1,974 million and \$413 million, and \$2,649 million and \$591 million. Additionally, net loss from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 includes stock-based compensation expense and related tax benefits of \$43 million and \$11 million.

Certain Risks and Concentrations

Our revenues are primarily derived from online advertising, the market for which is highly competitive and rapidly changing. In addition, our revenues are generated from a multitude of vertical market segments in countries around the world. Significant changes in this industry or changes in customer buying or advertiser spending behavior could adversely affect our operating results. In addition, for our Motorola business, nearly all of our Motorola products (other than some prototypes) are manufactured outside the U.S., primarily in China, Taiwan and Brazil.

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash equivalents, marketable securities, foreign exchange contracts, and accounts receivable. Cash equivalents and marketable securities consist primarily of time deposits, money market and other funds, including cash collateral received related to our securities lending program, highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies, debt instruments issued by foreign governments and municipalities in the U.S., corporate securities, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed securities. Foreign exchange contracts are transacted with various financial institutions with high credit standing. Accounts receivable are typically unsecured and are derived from revenues earned from customers located around the world. In 2010, 2011, and 2012, we generated approximately 48%, 46%, and 47% of our revenues from customers based in the U.S., with the majority of customers outside of the U.S. located in Europe and Japan. Many of our Google Network Members are in the internet Industry. We perform ongoing evaluations to determine customer credit and we limit the amount of credit we extend, but generally we do not require collateral from our customers. We maintain reserves for estimated credit losses and these losses have generally been within our expectations.

No individual customer or groups of affiliated customers represented more than 10% of our revenues in 2010, 2011, and 2012,

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our financial assets and financial liabilities that include cash equivalents, marketable securities, and foreign currency and interest rate derivative contracts are measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. We measure certain other assets including our non-marketable equity securities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. Our other current financial assets and our other current financial liabilities have fair values that approximate their carrying value and are therefore not recorded at fair value.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Securities

We invest our excess cash primarily in time deposits, money market and other funds, including cash collateral received related to our securities lending program, highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government and its agencies, debt instruments issued by foreign governments and municipalities in the U.S., corporate securities, mortgage-backed securities, and asset-backed securities.

We classify all highly liquid investments with stated maturities of three months or less from date of purchase as cash equivalents and all highly liquid investments with stated maturities of greater than three months as marketable securities.



We determine the appropriate classification of our investments in marketable securities at the time of purchase and reevaluate such designation at each balance sheet date. We have classified and accounted for our marketable securities as available-forsale. We may or may not hold securities with stated maturities greater than 12 months until maturity. After consideration of our risk versus reward objectives, as well as our liquidity requirements, we may sell these securities prior to their stated maturities. As we view these securities as available to support current operations, we classify securities with maturities beyond 12 months as current assets under the caption marketable securities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. We carry these securities at fair value, and report the unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, as a component of stockholders' equity, except for unrealized losses determined to be other-than-temporary, which we record as interest and other income, net. We determine any realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities on a specific identification method, and we record such gains and losses as a component of interest and other income, net.

Non-Marketable Equity Securities

We have accounted for non-marketable equity securities either under the equity or cost method. Investments through which we exercise significant influence but do not have control over the investee are accounted for under the equity method, investments through which we are not able to exercise significant influence over the investee are accounted for under the cost method.

Impairment of Marketable and Non-Marketable Securitles

We periodically review our marketable and non-marketable securities for impairment. If we conclude that any of these investments are impaired, we determine whether such impairment is other-than-temporary. Factors we consider to make such determination include the duration and severity of the impairment, the reason for the decline in value and the potential recovery period and our intent to sell. For marketable debt securities, we also consider whether (1) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, and (2) the amortized cost basis cannot be recovered as a result of credit losses. If any impairment is considered other-than-temporary, we will write down the asset to its fair value and record the corresponding charge as interest and other income, net.

Accounts Receivable

We record accounts receivable at the invoiced amount and we do not charge interest. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts to reserve for potentially uncollectible receivables. We review the accounts receivable by amounts due by customers which are past due to identify specific customers with known disputes or collectability Issues. In determining the amount of the reserve, we make judgments about the creditworthiness of significant customers based on ongoing credit evaluations. We also maintain a sales allowance to reserve for potential credits issued to customers. We determine the amount of the reserve based on historical credits issued.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, computed using the first-in, first-out method.

Property and Equipment

We account for property and equipment at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally two to five years. We depreciate buildings over periods up to 25 years. We amortize leasehold improvements over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Construction in progress is related to the construction or development of property (including land) and equipment that have not yet been placed in service for our intended use. Depreciation for equipment commences once it is placed in service and depreciation for buildings and leasehold improvements commences once they are ready for our intended use. Land is not depreciated.

Software Development Costs

We expense software development costs, including costs to develop software products or the software component of products to be marketed to external users, before technological feasibility of such products is reached. We have determined that technological feasibility was reached shortly before the release of those products and as a result, the development costs incurred after the establishment of technological feasibility and before the release of those products were not material, and accordingly, were expensed as incurred. Software development costs also include costs to develop software programs to be used solely to meet our internal needs. The costs we incurred during the application development stage for these software programs were not material in the years presented.

Business Combinations

We include the results of operations of the businesses that we acquire as of the respective dates of acquisition. We allocate the fair value of the purchase price of our acquisitions to the tangible assets acquired, and liabilities assumed and intangible assets acquired, based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the fair value of purchase price over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill.



Long-Lived Assets including Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets

We review property and equipment, long-term prepayments and intangible assets, excluding goodwill, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. We measure recoverability of these assets by comparing the carrying amounts to the future undiscounted cash flows the assets are expected to generate. If property and equipment and Intangible assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized equals the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair market value. We have made no material adjustments to our long-lived assets in any of the years presented. In addition, we test our goodwill for impairment at least annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that this asset may be impaired. We found no goodwill impairment in any of the years presented.

Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives. We amortize our acquired intangible assets on a straight-line basis with definite lives over periods ranging from one to 12 years.

Income Taxes

We recognize income taxes under the liability method. We recognize deferred income taxes for differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities at enacted statutory tax rates in effect for the years in which differences are expected to reverse. We recognize the effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Foreign Currency

Generally, the functional currency of our international subsidiaries is the local currency. We translate the financial statements of these subsidiaries to U.S. dollars using month-end rates of exchange for assets and liabilities, and average rates of exchange for revenues, costs, and expenses. We record translation gains and losses in accumulated other comprehensive income as a component of stockholders' equity. We recorded \$124 million of net translation losses in 2010, \$107 million of net translation losses in 2011, and \$75 million of net translation gains in 2012. We record net gains and losses resulting from foreign exchange transactions as a component of foreign currency exchange losses in interest and other income, net. These gains and losses are net of those recognized on foreign exchange contracts. We recorded \$29 million of net losses in 2010, \$38 million of net losses in 2011, and \$78 million of net losses in 2012.

Advertising and Promotional Expenses

We expense advertising and promotional costs in the period in which they are incurred. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012, advertising and promotional expenses totaled approximately \$772 million, \$1,544 million, and \$2,332 million.

Prior Period Reclassification

Prior period balance related to inventories has been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

NOTE 2. Net Income Per Share of Class A and Class B Common Stock

We compute net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock using the two-class method. Basic net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares and the effect of potentially dilutive securities outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive securities consist of stock options, warrants issued under the TSO program, and restricted stock units. The dilutive effect of outstanding stock options, warrants, and restricted stock units is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the treasury stock method. The computation of the diluted net income per share of Class B common stock does not assume the conversion of those shares.

The rights, including the liquidation and dividend rights, of the holders of our Class A and Class B common stock are identical, except with respect to voting. Further, there are a number of safeguards built into our certificate of incorporation, as well as Delaware law, which preclude our board of directors from declaring or paying unequal per share dividends on our Class A and Class B common stock. Specifically, Delaware law provides that amendments to our certificate of incorporation which would have the effect of adversely altering the rights, powers, or preferences of a given class of stock (in this case the right of our Class A common stock to receive an equal dividend to any declared on our Class B common stock) must be approved by the class of stock adversely affected by the proposed amendment. In addition, our certificate of incorporation provides that before any such amendment may be put to a stockholder vote, it must be approved by the unanimous consent of our board of directors. As a result, the undistributed earnings for each year are allocated based on the contractual participation rights of the Class A and Class B common shares as if the earnings for the year had been distributed. As the liquidation and dividend rights are identical, the undistributed earnings are allocated on a proportionate basis. Further, as we assume the conversion of Class B common stock in the computation of the diluted net income per share of Class A common stock, the undistributed earnings are equal to net income for that computation,



The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock (in millions, except share amounts which are reflected in thousands and per share amounts):

_	Year Ended De				cember 31,							
_	2010		2011			2012						
		Class A	Cla	ISS B		Class A	Cla	ss B		lass A	Cli	ass B
Sasic net income (loss) per share							•					
Numerator												
Allocation of undistributed earnings—continuing operations	\$	6 369	5 ()	936	8	7,658	\$ 2,	079	\$	8,641	\$	<u>2</u> ,147
Allocation of undistributed		•						_				
earnings—discontinued operations		0		. 0		0		0	_	(41)		(10
Total Denominator	4	6,569	N 1	,936	\$	7 658	1 Z	,07 9	\$	8,600	\$	2,137
Weighted-average												
common shares cutstanding	2	46,168	72	534	- 2	53.862	68	<u>9</u> 16	20	52,078	ñ	5,135
Number of shares used							-		**	12,070		J, 1J.
in per share computation	2	46,168	72	,534		53,862	68	<u>,9</u> 16	20	52,078	6	5,135
Basic necincome (loss) per share							·			,		
Continuing operations	\$	26.69	\$ 2	6.69	\$	30.17	\$ 3	0.17	\$	32.97	\$	32.97
Discontinued operations		0		0		0		0		(D 16)		(0.16
Basic net income per share	\$	26.69	\$ 2	6.69	\$	30.17	\$ 3	<u>0.</u> 17	\$	32,81	\$	32.81
Diluted necincome per share												
Numerator												
Allocation of undistributed earnings for basic computation—continuing operations	\$	6,509	\$ 1	936	5	7,658	\$ 2	079	5	8,641	\$	2,147
Reallocation of undistributed earnings as a result of conversion of Class B to Class A shares		1,936				2.070						
Reallocation of undistributed earnings		סכפ,ו		0		2,079		0		2,147		0
to Class B snares		0		(26)		0		(27)		0		(31
Allocation of undistributed earnings—continuing operations	\$	8,5 05 .	\$ 1	,910	\$	9,737	\$ 2	,052	. \$	10,788	. \$	2,116
Alloration of undistributed earnings for basic computation—discontinued operations	\$	0	\$	o	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(41)	\$	(10
Reallocation of undistributed earnings as a result of conversion of Class B						•						
to Class A shares		0		0		0		0		(10)		С
Reallocation of undistributed earnings to Class 9 shares		ú		0		ŋ		0		0		o
Allocation of undistributed	_	·		<u> </u>						<u> </u>		
earnings—discontinued operations	\$	C	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	(51)	\$	(10
Denominator												
Number of shares used in basic												
computation	2	46,168	72	2,534		253,862	68	,916	2	62,078	, 6	5,135
Weighted-average effect of dilutive securities Add:												
Conversion of Class B to Class A common												
shares outstanding		72,534		Ü		68,916		C		65,135		C
Employee stock options, including warrants issued under Transferable Stock Option program		3,410		.71		2,958		46		2211		_
Restricted stock unics		1,139		ับ		1 478		46 0		2,944		34
Number of shares used		1,133				7/0				2.148		0
in per share computation.	3	323,251	72	2,605		327,214	68	,962	3	32,305	6	5,169
Diluted net income (loss) per share.								_	<u>-</u> -	-1		_,.05
Continuing operations		26.31	2	26.31		29.76	. 2	9.76		32.45		32.46
Discontinued operations		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		(0 15)		(1) 15
Diluted net income per share	\$	26.31	\$ 2	26.31	\$	29.76		9.76	\$	32.31	\$	32.31

The net income per share amounts are the same for Class A and Class B common stock because the holders of each class are legally entitled to equal per share distributions whether through dividends or in ilquidation.

NOTE 3. **Financial Instruments**

Fair Value Measurements

We measure our cash equivalents, marketable securities, and foreign currency and interest rate derivative contracts at fair value. Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. A three-tier fair value hierarchy is established as a basis for considering such assumptions and for Inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value;

285

Level 1—Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2—include other inputs that are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant inputs are observable in the market or can be derived from observable market data. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using market-based observable inputs including interest rate curves, foreign exchange rates, and credit ratings.

Level 3—Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activities.

The fair value hierarchy also requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

Based on the fair value hierarchy, we classify our cash equivalents and marketable securities within Level 1 or Level 2. This is because we value our cash equivalents and marketable securities using quoted market prices or alternative pricing sources and models utilizing market observable inputs. We classify our foreign currency and interest rate derivative contracts primarily within Level 2 as the valuation inputs are based on quoted prices and market observable data of similar instruments.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities

The following tables summarize our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities measured at adjusted cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair value by significant investment categories as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 (in millions):

	As of December 31, 2011					
	Adjusted Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Marketable Securities
Cash	\$ 4,712	\$ ປ	\$ 0	\$ 4.712	\$4,712	\$ 0
Level 1:					·	•
Money maillet and other turids	3,202	Ŭ	0	3,202	3,202	0
U.S. government notes	11,475	104	0	11,579	0	11,579
Marketable equity secunturs	228	79	0	307	ā	307
	14,905	183	0	15,088	3,202	11,886
Level 2					-•	,000
Time deposits	1,029	0	0	1,029	534	495
Money market and other funds ⁽¹⁾	1,260	0	0	1,260	1,260	Ü
U.S. government agencies	6,486	15	0	6,501	275	6,226
Foreign government bonds	1,608	32	(11)	1 629	G	1,529
Municipal securities	-1,775	19	0	1,794	Ö	1,794
Corporate deci securities	6,073	187	(98)	6,112	0	b.112
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	6,359	147	(5)	6,501	. 0	6,501
	24,540	400	(114)	24,825	2,069	22,757
Total .	\$44,157	\$583	\$(114)	\$44,526	\$9,983	\$ 34,643



	As of December 31, 2012					
	Adjusted Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Cash and Cash Equivalents	Marketable Securities
Cash	\$ 8,056	\$ 0	\$ U	\$ 3,066	\$ 8,066	\$ C
Levei 1:						
Money market and other funds	5 221	Ū	0	5,221	5,221	. 0
U.S. government notes	10,853	77	(1)	10,929	0	10,929
Marketable equity securities	. 12	- 28	. 0	100	. 0	100
	16,086	165	(1)	16,250	5,221	11,029
Level 2						
Time deposits	984	0	0	984	562	422
Money marker and other funds:"	929	0	Ú	929	929	0
U.S. government agencies	1,882	20	0	1,902	0	1,902
Foreign government bonds	1,996	81	(3)	2,074	0	2,074
Municipal securities	2,249	23	(6)	2,266	0	2,266
Corporate debt securities	7,200	414	(14)	7,600	9	7.600
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	7,039	136	(6)	7,169	0	7,169
Asset-backed securities	847	Tr.	0	848	0	348
	23,126	675	(29)	23,772	1,491	22,281
Total	\$ 47,278	\$840	\$(30)	≱48,08 2	\$14,778	\$33,310

⁽¹⁾ The balances at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 were cash collateral received in connection with our securities lending program, which was invested in reverse repurchase agreements maturing within three months. See below for further discussion on this

We determine realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities on a specific identification method. We recognized gross realized gains of \$381 million and \$383 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. We recognized gross realized losses of \$127 million and \$101 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, in 2011, we also recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$88 million related to our investment in Clearwire Corporation, We reflect these gains and losses as a component of interest and other income, net, in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of our investments in marketable securities, excluding marketable equity securities, designated as available-for-sale and classified by the contractual maturity date of the securities (in millions):

	As of December 31, 2012
Due in Tyear	\$ 4,708
Due in 1 year through 5 years	12,310
Due in 5 years through 10 years	7.296
Due after 19 years	8,896
Total	\$33.210

The following tables present gross unrealized losses and fair values for those investments that were in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position (in millions):

			As of Decemb	er 31, 2011			
	Less than 1	2 Months	12 Months or	Greater	Total		
	Fair Value (Inrealized Loss	Fair Value Uni	ealized Loss	Fair Value Ur	nrealized Loss	
Foreign government bonds	⋾ 302	\$ (11)	\$ 5	\$ 0	\$ 308	\$ (11)	
Corporate debt securities	2,160	(97)	17	(1)	2,177	(98)	
Agency residential mortgage-backed securities	7:6	(3)	19	(2)	/35	i5i	
Total	\$3,178	\$(111)	\$42	\$ (3)	\$3,220	\$(114)	



As of December 31, 2012 Less than 12 Months 12 Months or Greater Total Fair Value Unrealized Loss Fair Value Unrealized Loss Fair Value Unrealized Loss U.S. government notes \$ 542 5 (1) \$ G \$ 0 \$ 342 \$ (1) 509 Foreign government bonds (2) 12 (1)521 (3) Municipal securities 685 €, 9 0 695 (6) Corporate debt securities 820 (10)81 (4)901 (14)Agenty residential mortgage-backed securities Ò 300 1.300 (6) Total \$4,157 \$(25) \$102 \$(5) \$4,259 \$(30)

Securities Lending Program

From time to time, we enter into securities lending agreements with financial institutions to enhance investment income. We loan selected securities which are secured by collateral in the form of cash or securities. Cash collateral is invested in reverse repurchase agreements. We classify loaned securities as cash equivalents or marketable securities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. We record the cash collateral as an asset with a corresponding liability. We classify reverse repurchase agreements maturing within three months as cash equivalents and those longer than three months as receivable under reverse repurchase agreements on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. For lending agreements collateralized by securities, we do not record an asset or liability as we are not permitted to sell or repledge the associated collateral.

Derivative Financial Instruments

We enter into foreign currency contracts with financial institutions to reduce the risk that our cash flows and earnings will be adversely affected by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. We use certain interest rate derivative contracts to hedge interest rate exposures on our fixed income securities and our anticipated debt issuance. Our program is not designated for trading or speculative purposes.

We enter into master netting arrangements, which reduce credit risk by permitting net settlement of transactions with the same company. To further reduce credit risk, we enter into collateral security arrangements that provide for collateral to be received when the net fair value of certain financial instruments fluctuates from contractually established thresholds. We present our derivative assets and derivative liabilities at their gross fair values. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, we received cash collateral related to the derivative instruments under our collateral security arrangements of \$113 million and \$43 million, which are recorded as accrued expenses and other current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets,

We recognize derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. We record changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or icsses) of the derivatives in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income as interest and other income, net, as part of revenues, or to accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Cash Flow Hedges

We use options designated as cash flow hedges to hedge certain forecasted revenue transactions denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. The notional principal of these contracts was approximately \$6.5 billion and \$9.5 billion as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. These foreign exchange contracts have maturities of 36 months or less.

During the second quarter of 2012, we began to hedge the variability of forecasted interest payments on an anticipated debt issuance using forward-starting interest swaps. The total notional amount of these forward-starting interest swaps was \$1.0 billion as of December 31, 2012 with terms calling for us to receive interest at a variable rate and to pay interest at a fixed rate. These forward-starting interest swaps effectively fix the benchmark interest rate on an anticipated debt issuance of \$1.0 billion in 2014, and they will be terminated upon issuance of the debt.

We initially report any gain or loss on the effective portion of a cash flow hedge as a component of AOCI and subsequently reclassify to revenues or interest expense when the hedged transactions are recorded. If the hedged transactions become probable of not occurring, the corresponding amounts in AOCI would be reclassified to interest and other income, net. Further, we exclude the change in the time value of the options from our assessment of hedge effectiveness. We record the premium paid or time value of an option on the date of purchase as an asset. Thereafter, we recognize any change to this time value in interest and other income, net.

As of December 31, 2012, the effective portion of our cash flow hedges before tax effect was \$11 million, \$10 million of which is expected to be reclassified from AOCI to revenues within the next 12 months.



Fair Value Hedges

We use forward contracts designated as fair value hedges to hedge foreign currency risks for our investments denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Gains and losses on these contracts are recognized in interest and other income, net, along with the offsetting losses and gains of the related hedged items. We exclude changes in the time value for forward contracts from the assessment of hedge effectiveness and recognize them in interest and other income, net. The notional principal of these contracts was \$1.0 billion and \$1.1 billion as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012.

Other Derivatives

Other derivatives not designated as hedging instruments consist of forward and option contracts that we use to hedge intercompany transactions and other monetary assets or liabilities denominated in currencies other than the local currency of a subsidiary. We recognize gains and losses on these contracts as well as the related costs in interest and other income, net, along with the gains and losses of the related hedged items. The notional principal of foreign exchange contracts outstanding was \$3.7 billion and \$6.6 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012.

We also use exchange-traded interest rate futures contracts and "To Be Announced" (TBA) forward purchase commitments of mortgage-packed assets to hedge interest rate risks on certain fixed income securities. The TBA contracts meet the definition of derivative instruments in cases where physical delivery of the assets is not taken at the earliest available delivery date. Our interest rate futures and TBA contracts (together interest rate contracts) are not designated as hedging instruments. We recognize gains and losses on these contracts as well as the related costs in interest and other income, net. The gains and losses are generally economically offset by unrealized gains and losses in the underlying available-for-sale securities, which are recorded as a component of AOCI until the securities are sold or other-than-temporarily impaired, at which time the amounts are moved from AOCI into interest and other income, net. The total notional amounts of interest rate contracts outstanding were \$100 million and \$25 million at December 31, 2011 and December, 31, 2012.

The fair values of our outstanding derivative instruments were as follows (in millions):

	As of December 31, 2011				
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value of Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Fair Value of Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Total Fair Value	
Derivative Assets Level 2:					
Foreign evenlange contracts	Prepaid revenue share, expenses and other assets, current and non-current	\$333	\$ 4	<u>\$337</u>	
Derivative Liabilities: Level 2					
Foreign exchange contracts	Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 5	\$ 1	\$ 6	
	As of December 31, 2012				
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value of Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments	Fair Value of Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Total Fair Value	
Derivative Assets Level 2:					
Foreign exchange contracts	Prepaid revenue share, expenses and other assets, current and non-current	\$164	\$13	\$177	
Interest rate contracts	Prepaid revenue share, expenses and other assets, current and non-current	1	0	1	
Total		. \$165	\$13	\$ 173	
Derivative Liabilities: Levei 2					
Foreign exchange contracts	Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 7	



The effect of derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships on income and other comprehensive income is summarized below (in millions):

		Gains Recognized in OCI on Derivatives Before Tax Effect (Effective Portion) Year Ended December 31,		
Derivatives in Cash Flow	Year Ende			
Hedging Relationship	2010	2011	2012	
Foreign exchange contracts	\$331	\$54	\$73	
Interest rate contracts	0_	0	1	
Total	\$.331	\$54	\$74	

		Gains Reclassified (Effect)	from AOCI into inc ye Portion)	ome	
Derivatives in Cash Flow		Year Ended December 31,			
Hedging Relationship	Location	2010	2011	2012	
Foreign exchange contracts	Re-renues	\$203	¥4 3	\$217	

		(Arnount Excluded from Ineffection		
Derivatives in Cash Flow		Year Ended December 31,		
Hedging Relationship	Location	2010	2011	2012
Foreign exchange contracts	Interest and other income net	\$(320)	\$(323)	\$(447)

(1) Gains (osses) related to the ineffective portion of the hedges were not material in all periods presented.

The effect of derivative instruments in fair value hedging relationships on income is summarized below (in millions):

		Gains (Losses) Recognize	d in income on De	rivatives ⁽²⁾
Derivatives in Fair Value		Year Ended		
Hedging Relationship	Location	2010	2011	2012
Foi eigri exifiange contracts	Interest and other income, nec	\$(35)	\$ (2)	\$(31)
Hedged item	Interest and other income, net	29	(12)	23
Total		5 (6)	\$(14)	\$ (8)

(2) Losses related to the amount excluded from effectiveness testing of the hedges were \$6 million, \$14 million, and \$8 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2012.

The effect of derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments on income is summarized below (in millions);

	_	Gains (Losses) Recogniz	ed in Income on De	rivatives
Derivatives Not Designated		Year Ended		
As Hedging instruments	Location	2010	2011	2012
Foreign exchange contracts	Interest and othe income, net	\$(40)	\$ 29	\$(67)
Interest rate contracts	Interest and other income, net	<u> </u>	(19)	(6)
Total		\$(40)	\$ 10	\$(73)

NOTE 4. Debt

Short-Term Debt

We have a debt financing program of up to \$3.0 billion through the issuance of commercial paper. Net proceeds from this program are used for general corporate purposes. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, we had \$750 million and \$2.5 billion of outstanding commercial paper recorded as short-term debt with weighted-average interest rates of 0.1% and 0.2%. In conjunction with this program, we have a \$3.0 billion revolving credit facility expiring in July 2016. The interest rate for the credit facility is determined based on a formula using certain market rates. At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, we were in compliance with the financial covenant in the credit facility, and no amounts were outstanding under the credit facility at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012.

Additionally, as of December 31, 2011, we had a \$468 million secured promissory note outstanding recorded as short-term debt. with an interest rate of 1.0% that matured and was paid in December 2012.

The estimated fair value of the short-term debt approximated its carrying value at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012,



Long-Term Debt

In May 2011, we issued \$3.0 billion of unsecured senior notes in three tranches as described in the table below (collectively, the Notes) (in millions):

Years ending	Outstanding Balance as of December 31, 2011	Outstanding Balance as of December 31, 2012
1 25% Notes due on May 19 2014	\$1,060	\$1,000
2.125% Notes due on May 19, 2016	. 1,000	1,000
5 625% Notes due on May 19, 2021	1 000	1,000
Unamortized discount for the Notes above	(14)	(12)
Total	\$2,986	\$2,388

The effective interest yields of the 2014, 2016, and 2021 Notes were 1.258%, 2.241%, and 3.734%, respectively. Interest on the Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 19 and November 19 of each year. We may redeem the Notes at any time in whole or from time to time in part at specified redemption prices. We are not subject to any financial covenants under the Notes. We used the net proceeds from the issuance of the Notes for general corporate purposes. The total estimated fair value of the Notes was approximately \$3.2 billion at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. The fair value of the Notes was determined based on observable market prices of identical instruments in markets that are not active and was categorized accordingly as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

At December 31, 2012, future principal payments for the Notes were as follows (in millions):

Years ending	
2013	\$ 0
2014	1,000
2015	0
2016	1,000
Thereafter	1,000
Total	\$3,000

NOTE 5. **Balance Sheet Components**

Inventories

inventories consisted of the following (in millions):

	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2012
Raw meterials and work in process	\$ 0	\$ 77
Finished goods	35	428
Iriventoi les	\$35	\$503

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in millions):

	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2012
Information technology assets	\$ 506G	\$ 7,717
Land and buildings	5,228	6,257
Construction in progress	2 128	2,240
Leasehold improvements	919	1,409
Furniture and fixtures	65	74
Total	14,400	17,697
Lers accumulated depreciation and amortization	4797	5,843
Property and equipment, net	\$ 9,603	\$11,854



Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income were as follows (in millions):

51 52	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31,
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$ (148)	\$ (73)
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investments, net of taxes	327	604
Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges, net of caxes	97	7
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 276	\$ 538

NOTE 6. Acquisitions

On May 22, 2012, we completed our acquisition of Motorola, a provider of Innovative technologies, products and services that enable a range of mobile and wireline digital communication, information and entertainment experiences. The acquisition is expected to protect and advance our Android ecosystem and enhance competition in mobile computing. Under the transaction, we acquired all outstanding common shares of Motorola for \$40 per share and all vested Motorola stock options and restricted stock units, for a total purchase price of approximately \$12.4 billion in cash. In addition, we assumed \$401 million of unvested Motorola stock options and restricted stock units, which will be recorded as stock-based compensation expense over the remaining service periods. Transaction costs were approximately \$50 million, which were recorded as general and administrative expense as incurred.

The fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed was based upon a preliminary valuation and our estimates and assumptions are subject to change within the measurement period. The primary areas of the purchase price that are not yet finalized are related to certain legal matters, income taxes, and residual goodwili. Of the \$12.4 billion total purchase price, \$2.9 billion was cash acquired, \$5.5 billion was attributed to patents and developed technology, \$2.5 billion to goodwill, \$0.7 billion to customer relationships, and \$0.8 billion to other net assets acquired.

The goodwill of \$2.5 billion is primarily attributed to the synergies expected to arise after the acquisition. Goodwill is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

Supplemental information on an unaudited pro forma basis, as if the Motorola acquisition had been consummated on January 1, 2011, is presented as follows (in millions, except per share amounts):

		Year Ended Dece	mber 31,
		2011	2012
Revanues ¹	91	\$47,294	\$ 53,656
Net income		\$ 8,792	\$10,583
Net income per share of Class A and Class B common stock—druced		\$ 26.53	.\$ 31.82


(1) Excludes Home.

These proforma results are based on estimates and assumptions, which we believe are reasonable. They are not necessarily indicative of our consolidated results of operations in future periods or the results that actually would have been realized had we been a combined company during the periods presented. The proforma results include adjustments primarily related to amortization of acquired intangible assets, severance and benefit arrangements in connection with the acquisition, and stockbased compensation expenses for assumed unvested stock options and restricted stock units.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, we completed 52 other acquisitions and purchases of intangible assets for a total cash consideration of approximately \$1,171 million, of which \$733 million was attributed to goodwill, \$462 million to acquired intangible assets, and \$24 million to net liabilities assumed. These acquisitions generally enhance the breadth and depth of our expertise in engineering and other functional areas, our technologies, and our product offerings. The amount of goodwill expected to be deductible for tax purposes is approximately \$29 million.

Pro forma results of operations for these acquisitions have not been presented because they are not material to the consolidated results of operations, either individually or in the aggregate.

For all acquisitions completed during the year ended December 31, 2012, patents and developed technology have a weightedaverage useful life of 8.9 years, customer relationships have a weighted-average useful life of 7.4 years and trade names and other have a weighted-average useful life of 9.0 years.



NOTE 7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2012 were as follows (in millions):

Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 7,346
Goodwill acquired	3,230
Goodwill adjustment	(25)
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$10,537

Amounts of goodwill allocated to the Mobile and Home segments were not material. See Note 15 for further discussion of segment information.

Information regarding our acquisition-related intangible assets is as follows (in millions):

	As of	As of December 31, 2011			
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount		
Patents and developed rechnology	\$1,451	\$ 698	\$ 753		
Customer relationships	1,288	573	715		
Trade names and other	359	249	110		
Total	\$3,098	\$1,520	\$1,578		

	As of December 31, 2012			
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value	
Patents and developed on hoology	\$7,310	\$1,323	\$5,987	
Customer relationships	2,061	847	1,214	
Trade names and other	576	304	272	
Total	\$9,947	\$2,474	\$7,473	

Patents and developed technology, customer relationships, and trade names and other have weighted-average useful lives from the date of purchase of 8.1 years, 6.6 years, and 5.8 years. Amortization expense of acquisition-related intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011, and 2012 was \$314 million, \$441 million, and \$884 million. For the year ended December 31, 2012, net loss from discontinued operations included \$70 million of amortization expense related to Home intangible assets.

As of December 31, 2012, expected amortization expense for acquisition-related intangible assets for each of the next five years and thereafter was as follows (in millions):

2013	\$ 138
2014	1,115
2015	956
2016	879
2017	821
Thereafter	2,514
	\$7,473



NOTE 8. **Discontinued Operations**

In December 2012, we entered into an agreement with Arris Group, Inc. and certain other persons providing for the disposition of the Motorola Home business for total consideration of approximately \$2.35 billion in cash and common stock, subject to certain adjustments. The transaction is expected to close in 2013. Financial results of the Motorola Home business are presented as net loss from discontinued operations on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table presents financial results of the Motorola Home business for the period from May 22, 2012 to December 31, 2012 (in millions):

Revenues	\$ 2,028
Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes	(22)
Provision for income cases	(29)
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$ (51)

NOTE 9. **Restructuring Charges**

Subsequent to our acquisition of Motorola in May 2012, we initiated a restructuring plan primarily in our Mobile segment to reduce workforce, reorganize management structure, close, consolidate and dispose certain facilities, as well as simplify our mobile product portfolio. These changes are designed to return Motorola's Mobile segment to profitability. For the year ended December 31, 2012, activities related to restructuring charges were summarized as below (In millions):

- Minney	Severance and Related	Other Charges	Total	
Balance as of December 31, 2011	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ C	
Charges ⁽¹⁾	572	59	631	
Cash payments	(189)	(8)	(197)	
Non-cash Items [©]	(145)	(36)	(181)	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 238	≸ 15	\$ 253	

- (1) includes restructuring charges related to Home of \$55 million.
- (2) Non-cash items were primarily related to RSUs, stock options and asset Impairments.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, restructuring charges were included in costs and expenses as follows (in millions):

	Year Ende	Year Ended December 31, 2012			
	Severance and Related	Other Charges	Total		
Cost of revenues—Motorola Mobile	\$ 83	\$41	\$129		
Research and development	195	5	200		
foles and marketing	123	8	131		
General and administrative	114	2	116		
Total charges	\$520	\$56	\$576		

Restructuring charges related to Home of \$55 million were included in net loss from discontinued operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

We continue to evaluate our plans and further restructuring actions may occur which may cause us to incur additional restructuring charges, some of which may be significant.



NOTE 10. Interest and Other Income, Net

The components of interest and other income, net were as follows (in millions):

Year Ended December 31,		
2010	2011	2012
\$ 579	\$6.2	\$ 713
(5)	(58)	(84)
185	754	282
0	(110)	0
(355)	(379)	(531)
0	0	188
11	65	58
\$ 415	\$ 584	\$ 626
	2010 \$ 579 (5) 185 0 (355) 0	2010 2011 \$ 579 \$ \$ 12 (5) (58) 185 754 0 (110) (355) (379) 0 0 11 65

NOTE 11. Commitments and Contingencies

Operating Leases

We have entered into various non-cancelable operating lease agreements for certain of our offices, land, and data centers throughout the world with original lease periods expiring primarily between 2013 and 2063. We are committed to pay a portion of the actual operating expenses under certain of these lease agreements. These operating expenses are not included in the table below. Certain of these arrangements have free or escalating rent payment provisions. We recognize rent expense under such arrangements on a straight-line basis.

At December 31, 2012, future minimum payments under non-cancelable operating leases, net of sublease income amounts, were as follows over each of the next five years and thereafter (in millions):

	Operating Leases	Sub-lease Income	Net Operating Leases
2013	\$ 4 <u>92</u>	\$26	\$ 466
2014	475	22	453
2015	434	17	417
2016	374	12	362
2017	333	7	326
Thereafter	1,596	1	1,595
Total minimum payments	\$3,704	\$85	\$3,619

Certain leases have adjustments for market provisions. Amounts in the above table represent our best estimates of future payments to be made under these leases. In addition, the above table does not include future rental income of \$649 million related to the leases that we assumed in connection with our building purchases. Rent expense under operating leases, including co-location arrangements, was \$293 million, \$380 million, and \$448 million in 2010, 2011, and 2012.

Purchase Obligations

At December 31, 2012, we had \$2.1 billion of other non-cancelable contractual obligations, primarily related to certain of our distribution arrangements, video and other content licensing revenue sharing arrangements, as well as data center operations and facility build-outs.

Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2012, we had unused letters of credit for \$89 million, of which \$45 million related to our Mobile segment.

Indemnifications

In the normal course of business to facilitate transactions in our services and products, we indemnify certain parties, including advertisers. Google Network Members, and lessors with respect to certain matters. We have agreed to hold certain parties harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations or covenants, or out of intellectual property infringement or other claims made against certain parties. Several of these agreements limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with our officers and directors, and our bylaws contain similar indemnification obligations to our agents.



It is not possible to make a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Additionally, we have a limited history of prior indemnification claims and the payments we have made under such agreements have not had a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows, or financial position. However, to the extent that valid indemnification claims arise in the future, future payments by us could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows in a particular period. As of December 31, 2012, we did not have any material indemnification claims that were probable or reasonably possible.

Legal Matters

Antitrust Investigations

In June 2011, we received a Civil Investigative Demand (CID) from the U.S. Federal Trade Commission's (FTC) Bureau of Competition and a subpoena from the FTC's Bureau of Consumer Protection relating to a review by the FTC of our business practices, including search and advertising. In June 2012, we also received a CID and a subpoena duces tecum from the FTC's Bureau of Competition seeking documents and information broadly related to Motorola's licensing practices for standards-essential patents and use of standards-essential patents in litigation. In January 2013, the FTC closed its investigations into our business practices, including search and advertising. In connection with the closing of the investigation, we have voluntarily agreed to make certain product changes. In addition, we and Motorola have entered into a consent order with the FTC setting forth certain guidelines on our use of standards-essential patents in litigation.

State attorneys general from the states of Texas, Ohio, and Mississippi have issued similar CIDs relating to our business practices. We are cooperating with the state attorneys general and are responding to their information requests on an ongoing basis.

The European Commission's (EC) Directorate General for Competition has also opened an investigation into various antitrust-related complaints against us. Since February 2010, we have received a number of notifications from the EC about antitrust complaints filed against us. On November 30, 2010, the EC formally opened proceedings against us. We believe we have adequately responded to all of the allegations made against us. We continue to cooperate with the EC and are pursuing a potential resolution that would avoid a finding of infringement and a fine. The EC has also opened an investigation into Motorola's licensing practices for standards-essential patents and use of standards-essential patents in litigation on the basis of complaints brought by Microsoft and Apple. We are cooperating with the EC and responding to the information requests on an ongoing basis.

The Comision Nacional de Defensa de la Competencia in Argentina, the Competition Commission of India, and the Korea Fair Trade Commission in South Korea have also opened investigations into certain business practices.

EPA Investigation

In February 2009, we learned of a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) investigation into an alleged release of refrigerant at one of our smaller data center facilities, which we acquired from DoubleClick, and the accuracy of related statements and records. We are cooperating with the EPA and have provided documents and other materials.

Patent and Intellectual Property Claims

We have had patent, copyright, and trademark infringement lawsuits filed against us claiming that certain of our products, services, and technologies, including Android, Google Search, Google AdWords, Google AdSense, Google Books, Google News, Google Image Search, Google Chrome, Google Talk, Google Voice Motorola devices and YouTube, infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Adverse results in these lawsuits may include awards of substantial monetary damages, costly royalty or licensing agreements, or orders preventing us from offering certain features, functionalities, products, or services, and may also cause us to change our business practices, and require development of non-infringing products or technologies, which could result in a loss of revenues for us and otherwise harm our business. In addition, the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) has increasingly, become an important forum to litigate intellectual property disputes because an ultimate loss for a company or its suppliers in an ITC action could result in a prohibition on importing infringing products into the U.S. Since the U.S. is an important market, a prohibition on importation could have an adverse effect on us, including preventing us from importing many important products into the U.S. or necessitating workarounds that may limit certain features of our products.

Furthermore, many of our agreements with our customers and partners require us to indemnify them for certain intellectual property infringement claims against them, which would increase our costs as a result of defending such claims, and may require that we pay significant damages if there were an adverse ruling in any such claims. Our customers and partners may discontinue the use of our products, services, and technologies, as a result of injunctions or otherwise, which could result in loss of revenues and adversely impact our business.

In December 2012, we announced that Motorola Mobility had entered into an agreement with Arris Group, Inc. and certain other persons providing for the disposition of Motorola's Home pusiness for total consideration of approximately \$2.35 billion, subject to certain adjustments. Under the agreement, we have agreed to indemnify Arris Group for potential liability from certain intellectual property infringement litigation, including, among others, a patent infringement claim brought by TiVo relating to certain digital video recording equipment sold by Motorola Mobility.



Other

We are also regularly subject to claims, suits, government investigations, and other proceedings involving competition and antitrust (such as the pending investigations by the FTC and the EC described above), intellectual property, privacy, tax, labor and employment, commercial disputes, content generated by our users, goods and services offered by advertisers or publishers using our platforms, personal injury, consumer protection, and other matters. Such claims, suits, government investigations, and other proceedings could result in fines, civil or criminal penalties, or other adverse consequences.

Certain of our outstanding legal matters include speculative claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts of damages. We record a liability when we believe that it is both probable that a loss has been incurred, and the amount can be reasonably estimated. We evaluate, on a monthly basis, developments in our legal matters that could affect the amount of liability that has been previously accrued, and make adjustments as appropriate. Significant judgment is required to determine both likelihood of there being and the estimated amount of a loss related to such matters.

With respect to our outstanding legal matters, based on our current knowledge, we believe that the amount or range of reasonably possible loss will not, either individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. However, the outcome of such legal matters is inherently unpredictable and subject to significant uncertainties.

We expense legal fees in the period in which they are incurred.

Income Taxes

We are under audit by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and various other tax authorities. We have reserved for potential adjustments to our provision for income taxes that may result from examinations by, or any negotiated agreements with, these tax authorities, and we believe that the final outcome of these examinations or agreements will not have a material effect on our results of operations. If events occur which indicate payment of these amounts is unnecessary, the reversal of the liabilities would result in the recognition of tax benefits in the period we determine the liabilities are no longer necessary. If our estimates of the federal, state, and foreign income tax ilabilities are less than the ultimate assessment, a further charge to expense would result.

NOTE 12. Stockholders' Equity

Convertible Preferred Stock

Our board of directors has authorized 100,000,000 shares of convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, issuable in series. At December 31, 2011 and 2012, there were no shares issued or outstanding.

Class A and Class B Common Stock

Our board of directors has authorized two classes of common stock, Class A and Class B. At December 31, 2012, there were 9,000,000,000 and 3,000,000,000 shares authorized and there were 267,448,281 and 62,530,474 shares outstanding of Class A and Class B common stock, \$0.001 par value. The rights of the holders of Class A and Class B common stock are identical, except with respect to voting. Each share of Class A common stock is entitled to one vote per share. Each share of Class B common stock is entitled to 10 votes per share. Shares of Class B common stock may be converted at any time at the option of the stockholder and automatically convert upon sale or transfer to Class A common stock. We refer to Class A and Class B common stock as common stock throughout the notes to these financial statements, unless otherwise noted.

Stock Dividend

in April 2012, our board of directors approved amendments to our certificate of incorporation that would, among other things, create a new class of non-voting capital stock (Class C capital stock). The amendments authorized 3 billion shares of Class C capital stock and also increased the authorized shares of Class A common stock from 6 billion to 9 billion. The amendments are reflected in our Fourth Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (New Charter), the adoption of which was approved by stockholders at our 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on June 21, 2012. We have announced the intention of our board of directors to consider a distribution of shares of the Class C capital stock as a dividend to our holders of Class A and Class B common stock (Dividend). The Class C capital stock will have no voting rights, except as required by applicable law. Except as expressly provided in the New Charter, shares of Class C capital stock will have the same rights and privileges and rank equally, share ratably and be identical in all other respects to the shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock as to all matters.

The par value per share of our shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock will remain unchanged at \$0.001 per share after the Dividend. On the effective date of the Dividend, there will be a transfer between retained earnings and common stock and the amount transferred will be equal to the \$0.001 par value of the Class C capital stock that is issued. We will give retroactive effect to prior period share and per share amounts in our consolidated financial statements for the effect of the Dividend, such that prior periods are comparable to current period presentation.



Stock Plans

We maintain the 1998 Stock Plan, the 2000 Stock Pian, the 2003 Stock Plan (No. 2), the 2003 Stock Plan (No. 3), the 2004 Stock Plan, and plans assumed through acquisitions, all of which are collectively referred to as the "Stock Plans." Under our Stock Plans, incentive and non-qualified stock options or rights to purchase common stock may be granted to eligible participants. Options are generally granted for a term of 10 years. Under the Stock Plans, we have also issued RSUs. An RSU award is an agreement to issue shares of our stock at the time the award vests. Except for options granted pursuant to our stock option exchange program completed in March 2009 (the Exchange), options granted and RSUs issued to participants under the Stock Plans generally vest over four years contingent upon employment or service with us on the vesting date.

At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012, there were 21,794,492 and 15,833,050 shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our Stock Plans.

We estimated the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the BSM option pricing model. Our assumptions about stock-price volatility have been based exclusively on the implied volatilities of publicly traded options to buy our stock with contractual terms closest to the expected life of options granted to our employees. We estimate the expected term based upon the historical exercise behavior of our employees. The risk-free Interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The following table presents the weighted-average assumptions used to estimate the fair values of the stock options granted in the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2010	2011	2012	
Risy-free interest rate	1 99%	23%	1.0%	
Expected volatility	35%	33%	29%	
Expected life (in years)	5.4	59	52	
Dividend yeld	0	0	0	
Weighted-average estimated fair value or options granted during the year	\$216.43	\$210.07	\$194.27	

The following table summarizes the activities for our options for the year ended December 31, 2012:

	Options Outstanding				
	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)		
Salance at December 51, 2011	9,807,252	\$ 357 92			
Granted ²²	1,392,191	\$580.45			
E erosed	(2.409,331)	\$ 305 3 1			
Forfelted/canceled	(238,717)	\$460.45	•		
Balance at December 31, 2012	8,551,395	\$405.9B	52	\$2,516	
Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2012	6,023,559	\$351.44	4.1	\$2,099	
Vested and exercisable as of December 31, 2012 and expected to vest thereafter ³⁸	£,215,732	\$ 400 72	52	\$2,461	

⁽¹⁾ The aggregate Intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying awards and the closing stock price of \$707.38 of our Class A common stock on December 31, 2012.

⁽²⁾ Includes options granted in connection with the acquisition of Motorola.

⁽³⁾ Options expected to vest reflect an estimated forfeiture rate.



The following table summarizes additional information regarding outstanding, exercisable, and exercisable and vested stock options at December 31, 2012:

		Options Outstan	Options Exercisable Options Exercisable and Vested				
Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Remaining Life (in years)	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
\$0.30-\$94.80	116,852	1 7	\$ 37.03	116,852	\$ 57.03	(13,209	\$ 35.41
\$117.84-\$198.41	248,831	2.0	\$178.65	248,831	\$ 178.65	248,831	\$178.65
\$20195-5-9886	282 547	2.4	\$275 51	284,552	\$ 275 51	282,352	\$ 275 51
\$300.97-\$399.00	3,998,815	3.8	\$309.39	3,642,248	\$ 309 57	3,642,248	\$309.57
\$401 78-\$199 07	993,591	5,9	÷442 95	766,098	\$ 441 55	766 098	\$441 55
\$501,27-\$595.35	1,803,839	6.9	\$536.31	848,574	\$ 529.82	848,574	\$529.82
¥601 17-\$699 35	1 089,126	89	\$629 41	120,757	\$61476	120.757	\$614 78
\$710.84-\$762.5	17,694	9.7	\$762.27	1,290	\$ 759,30	1,290	\$759.30
\$0.30-\$762.5	8,551,395	52	\$ 405 98	6,027,202	\$351.28	6,023 559	\$351.44

The above tables include approximately 1.6 million warrants held by selected financial institutions that were options purchased from employees under our TSO program, with a weighted-average exercise price of \$363.66 and a weighted-average remaining life of 1.3 years.

During 2012, the number of shares underlying TSOs sold to selected financial institutions under the TSO program was 1,226,983 at a total value of \$365 million, or an average of \$297.28 per share, including an average premium of \$9.35 per share. The premium is calculated as the difference between (a) the sale price of the TSO and (b) the intrinsic value of the TSO, which we define as the excess, If any, of the price of our Class A common stock at the time of the sale over the exercise price of the TSO.

The total grant date fair value of stock options vested during 2010, 2011, and 2012 was \$690 million, \$561 million, and \$489 million, The aggregate intrinsic value of all options and warrants exercised during 2010, 2011, and 2012 was \$794 million, \$674 million, and \$827 million. These amounts do not include the aggregate sales price of options sold under our TSO program.

As of December 31, 2012, there was \$386 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding employee stock options. This amount is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.2 years. To the extent the actual forfeiture rate is different from what we have estimated, stock-based compensation related to these awards will be different from our expectations.

The following table summarizes the activities for our unvested RSUs for the year ended December 31, 2012:

	Unvested Restri	cted Stock Units
	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Unwested all December 31, 2011	3,822,548	\$521 27
Granted ^(t)	6,704,261	\$603.57
Vesied	(3,884,811)	\$530 15
Forfeited/canceled	(647,171)	\$543.04
Unvested at December 31, 2012	10,994,927	\$566.32
Expected to vest after December 31, 2012 ⁽²⁾	9,547,995	\$566.32

⁽¹⁾ Includes RSUs granted in connection with the acquisition of Motorola.

As of December 31, 2012, there was \$4.8 billion of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested employee RSUs. This amount is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years. To the extent the actual forfeiture rate is different from what we have estimated, stock-based compensation related to these awards will be different from our expectations.

NOTE 13. 401(k) Plans

We have two 401(k) Savings Plans (401(k) Plans) that qualify as deferred salary arrangements under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Under these 401(k) Plans, matching contributions are based upon the amount of the employees' contributions subject to certain limitations. We contributed approximately \$100 million, \$136 million, and \$180 million during 2010, 2011, and 2012,

⁽²⁾ RSUs expected to vest reflect an estimated forfeiture rate.



NOTE 14. Income Taxes

Income from continuing operations before income taxes included income from domestic operations of \$4,948 million, \$4,693 million, and \$5,311 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, and income from foreign operations of \$5,848 million, \$7,633 million, and \$8,075 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012. Substantially all of the income from foreign operations was earned by an Irish subsidiary.

The provision for income taxes consists of the following (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2011	2012
Current			
Federal	\$1,657	\$1,724	\$2,342
Steve	458	274	271
Foreign	167	248	358
Total	2,282	2,246	2,871
Deferred:			
Federal	(25)	452	(323)
State	47	(109)	(19)
Foreign	(13)	(0)	74
Total	9	343	(273)
Provision for income taxes	\$2,291	\$2,589	¥2,598

The reconciliation of federal statutory income tax rate to our effective income tax rate is as follows (in millions):

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2011	2012
Expected prevision at federal statutory tay race (35%)	\$ 3 779	\$ 4,311	\$ 4,685
State taxes, net of federal benefit	322	122	99
Stock-hased compensation expense	79	05	52
Change in valuation allowance	(34)	27	1,921
Foreign rate differential	(1,769)	(2,001)	(2 200)
Federal research credit	(84)	(140)	O
Tax exernet incerest	(12)	(15)	(7)
Non-deductible legal settlement	0	175	ő
Basis difference in investment in mome business	Ü	0	(1,360)
Other permanent differences	10	(3)	` 8
Provision for income taxes	\$ 2 291	\$ 2,539	\$ 2,598

We have not provided U.S. Income taxes and foreign withholding taxes on the undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 because we intend to permanently reinvest such earnings outside the U.S. If these foreign earnings were to be repatriated in the future, the related U.S. tax liability may be reduced by any foreign income taxes previously paid on these earnings. As of December 31, 2012, the cumulative amount of earnings upon which U.S. income taxes have not been provided is approximately \$33.3 billion. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liability related to these earnings is not practicable.



Deferred Tax Assets

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in millions):

	As of December	er 31,
	2011	2012
Deferred lax ussets		
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 288	\$ 311
State taxes	138	134
Capital loss carryforward	285	236
Settlement with the Authors Guild and AAP	35	28
Vacation accruals	52	67
Deferred rent	43	50
Accruals and reserves not currently deductible	268	688
Acquired net operating losses	156	503
Tax credit	55	274
Easis difference in investment in Home business	0	2,043
Other	11	128
Total deferred tall assets	1,331	4,514
Valuation allowance	(333)	(2,629
Total deferred tax assets net of varietion allowance	998	1,885
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depregation and amortization	(479)	(761)
Identified intangibles	(398)	(1,496
Unrealized gains on investments and other	(90)	(105
Other prepaids	(70)	(118
Other	(33)	(133
Total deferred tax liabilities	(1,070)	(2,613
Net deferred to: liabilities	\$ (72)	\$ (728

As of December 31, 2012, our federal, state and foreign net operating loss carryforwards for income tax purposes were approximately \$1,048 million, \$333 million and \$384 million. If not utilized, the federal net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2017 and the state net operating loss carryforwards will begin to expire in 2013. The foreign net operating loss can be carried forward. indefinitely, however it is more likely than not that it will not be realized, therefore we have recorded a full valuation allowance. The net operating loss carryforwards are subject to various annual limitations under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code and similar limitations under the tax laws of the foreign jurisdictions.

As of December 31, 2012, our California research and development credit carryforwards for income tax purposes were approximately \$146 million that can be carried over indefinitely. We believe it is more likely than not that a portion of the state tax credit will not be realized. Therefore, we have recorded a valuation allowance on the state tax credit carryforward in the amount of \$130 million. We will reassess the valuation allowance quarterly and if future evidence allows for a partial or full release of the valuation allowance, a tax benefit will be recorded accordingly.

As of December 31, 2012, our federal and state capital loss carryforwards for income tax purposes were approximately \$483 million and \$612 million. We also have deferred tax assets for impairment losses that, if recognized, will be capital in nature. We believe that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets for capital losses and impairment losses will not be realized. Therefore, we have recorded a valuation allowance on both our federal and state deferred tax assets for these items in the amount of \$205 million. We will reassess the valuation allowance quarterly and If future evidence allows for a partial or full release of the valuation allowance, a tax benefit will be recorded accordingly.

In December 2012, we entered into an agreement with Arris Group Inc. (Arris) for the disposition of the Motorola Home business. A deferred tax asset was established for the book to tax easis difference in our investment in the Motorola Home Business upon signing the agreement. When the disposition event actually occurs in the foreseeable future, some or all of the basis difference in the Home business will become a basis difference in Google's investment in Arris. Since any future losses to be recognized upon sale of the Home business or Arris Shares will be capital losses and Google already has an excess capital loss carryforward, a full valuation allowance was recorded against this deferred tax asset. We will reassess the valuation allowance quarterly and if future evidence allows for a partial or full release of the valuation allowance, a tax benefit will be recorded accordingly.



Uncertain Tax Positions

The following table summarizes the activity related to our gross unrecognized tax benefits from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012 (in millions):

Balance as of January 1, 2010	\$1,138
Increases related to prior year tax positions	37
Decreases related to prior year tax positions	(197)
Decreases related to settlement with tax authorities	(47)
Decreases as a result of a lapse of applicable statute of limituden	(97)
Increases related to current year tax positions	256
Balanne as of December 31, 2010	1.140
Increases related to prior year tax positions	77
Decreases related to prior year tax positions	(S)
Increases related to current year tax positions	361
Decreases related to settlement with tax authorities	(5)
Balance as of December 31, 2011	1,564
Increases related to prior year tax positions	43
Decreases related to prior year tax positions	(40)
Decreases related to settlement with tax authorities	(62)
Increases related to acquisition	17
Increases related to current year tax positions	411
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$1,933

Our total unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect our effective tax rate were \$951 million, \$1,350 million, and \$1,749 million as of December 31, 2010, 2011, and 2012.

As of December 31, 2011 and 2012, we had accrued \$129 million and \$139 million for payment of interest and penalties. Interest and penalties included in our provision for income taxes were not material in all the periods presented.

We and our subsidiaries are routinely examined by various taxing authorities. Although we file U.S. federal, U.S. state, and foreign tax returns, our two major tax jurisdictions are the U.S. and Ireland. During the three months ended December 31, 2007, the IRS completed its examination of our 2003 and 2004 tax years. We have filed an appeal with the IRS for certain issues related to this audit and settlements were reached in 2012 on all but one issue which we plan to litigate in court. As a result we released the related reserves in the three month ended December 31, 2012. The IRS is currently in examination of our 2007, 2008, and 2009 tax years. We expect the examination to be completed within the next 12 months, but we do not anticipate any significant impact to our unrecognized tax benefit balance as of December 31, 2012, related to our 2007, 2008, and 2009 tax years,

Our 2010, 2011 and 2012 tax years remain subject to examination by the IRS for U.S. federal tax purposes, and our 2006 through 2012 tax years remain subject to examination by the appropriate governmental agencies for Irlsh tax purposes. There are various other ongoing audits in various other jurisdictions that are not material to our financial statements.



NOTE 15. Information about Segments and Geographic Areas

Prior to the second quarter of 2012, our chief operating decision makers (i.e., the chief executive officer and his direct reports) reviewed financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues by geographic region for purposes of allocating resources and evaluating financial performance. As a result of our Motorola acquisition in the second quarter of 2012, our chief operating decision makers review financial information for the following three operating segments:

- Google—includes our advertising and other non-advertising businesses
- Mobile-includes our mobile devices business acquired from Motorola
- Home—includes our digital set-top box business acquired from Motorola

In December 2012, as a result of an agreement for the disposition of the Home segment, the Home segment is presented as discontinued operations and therefore is not included in the segment report.

Our chief operating decision makers do not evaluate operating segments using asset information.

The following table sets forth revenues and operating income (loss) by operating segment (in millions):

	Year En	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2011	2012	
Google				
Revenues	\$29,321	\$37,905	\$ 46,039	
licome from operations	11,757	14,216	16,308	
Mobile:				
Revenues	G	0	4,136	
Loss from operations		0	(393)	

A reconciliation of the total segment income from operations to the consolidated income from operations is as follows (in millions):

	Year En	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2011	2012	
Total segment income from operations	\$11,757	\$14,216	\$15,915	
Unaflocated Items	(1,376)	(2,474)	(3,155)	
Consolidated income from operations	\$10,381	\$11.742	\$ 12,760	

Unallocated items, including stock-based compensation expense, restructuring and other charges related to our Mobile segment, and a charge related to the resolution of a Department of Justice investigation, are not allocated to each segment because we do not include this information in our measurement of the performance of our operating segments.

Revenues by geography are based on the billing addresses of our customers for the Google segment and the ship-to-addresses of our customers for the Mobile segment. The following tables set forth revenues and long-lived assets by geographic area (in millions):

2010		
2010	2011	2012
\$14,056	\$17,560	\$23,502
3,329	4,057	4,872
11,936	16,288	21,801
\$29,321	\$37,005	\$50.175
	\$14,056 3,329 11,936	\$14,056 \$17,560 3,329 4,057 11,936 16,288

	As of Decem	As of December 31,	
	2011	2012	
Long lived astels ¹	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
United States	\$15,963	\$20,985	
International	3.853	12.350	
Total long-lived assets	\$19,816	\$33,344	

Includes Home segment.



ITEM 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

ITEM 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed at a reasonable assurance level and are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SECs rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012. Management reviewed the results of its assessment with our Audit Committee. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an Independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which is included in item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls and Procedures

In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. In addition, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and that management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the benefits of possible controls and procedures relative to their costs.

ITEM 9B. Other Information

None.



PART III

ITEM 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item will be included under the caption "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance" in our Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 (2013 Proxy Statement) and is incorporated herein by reference. The information required by this item regarding delinquent filers pursuant to item 405 of Regulation S-K will be included under the caption "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be included under the captions "Director Compensation," "Executive Compensation" and "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance—Corporate Governance and Board Matters—Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item will be included under the captions "Common Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item will be included under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance—Corporate Governance and Board Matters—Director Independence" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be included under the caption "independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the 2013 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

305



Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) We have filed the following documents as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	44
Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	46
Consolidated Statements of Income	47
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	48
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	49
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	50
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	51

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule II: Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions	Usage	Balance at End of Year
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Sales Credits		(in millions)		
Year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 79	\$ 200	\$(178)	\$101
Year ended December 31, 2011	\$101	\$ 214	\$(182)	\$133
Year ended Decamber 31, 2012	<u>\$133</u>	\$1,263	\$(815)	\$581

Note: Additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts are charged to expense. Additions to the allowance for sales credits are charged against revenues. For 2012, additions included the impact from the Motorola acquisition.

All other schedules have been omitted because they are not required, not applicable, or the required information is otherwise included.

3. Exhibits

See the Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.



Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: January 29, 2013

GOOGLE INC. /s/ LARRY PAGE Larry Page Chief Executive Officer

Power of Attorney

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Larry Page and Patrick Pichette, jointly and severally, his or her attorney-in-fact, with the power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his or her substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ LARRY PAGE	Chief Executive Officer, Co-Founder and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	January 29, 2013
Larry Page		
/s/ PATRICK P!CHETTE	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	January 29, 2013
Patrick Pichette		
/s/ ERIC E SCHMIDT	Sxecubve Chairman	January 29, 2013
Eric E Schmidt		
/s/ SERGEY BRIN Sergey Brin	_ Co-Founder and Director	January 29, 2013
/// L_JOHN DOERR	Director	January 29, 2013
L John Doerr		
/s/ DIANE B. GREENE Diane B. Greene	_ Director	January 29, 2013
/s/ JOHN L HENNESSY John L Hennessy	_ On cctor	January 29, 2013
/s/ ANN MATHER Ann Mather	_ Director	January 29, 2013
/ PAUL S CTEULINI Paul S. Otellini	_ Director	January 23, 2013
/s/ K. RAM SHRIRAM	Director	January 29, 2013
K. Ram Shriram		
A/SHIPLE M TEGRMAN	Director	January 29, 2013
Shirley M. Tilghman		

Exhibit Index

Exhibit		Incorporated by reference herein			
Number	. Description	<u>Form</u>	Date		
01	Form of Distribution Agreement, dated April 20, 2007, among Google Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and UBS Securities U.C (Distribution Agreement)	Current Report on Form & K (File No. 000-50726)	April 23. 2007		
1,01.1	Amendment No. 1 to the Distribution Agreement among Google Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. entered into as of July 20, 2007	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	August 9, 2007		
1012	Arriendment Agreement dated as of july 12, 2011, among Google Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, Ougroup Globat Markets Inc. Credit Suisse Management LLC, Credit Suisse Secur des (USA) LLC, UBS AGLondon Bratich, and UBS Securines LLC.	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50725)	July 12, 201 ;		
1.02	Form of Bidding Rules Agreement, dated April 20, 2007, among Google Inc., Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as Auction Manager and Bidder, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. as Warrant Agent and Bidder and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and UBS Securities LLC, as Bidders (Bidding Rules Agreement)	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	April 23, 2007		
1 02	Amendment No. 1 to the Bidding Rules Agreement among Google Inc. and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., as Bidder entered into as of July 20, 2007	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	August 9, 2007		
2.01	Agreement and Plan of Merger, by and among Google Inc., RB98 Inc., and Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc., dated as of August 15, 2011	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	August 18, 2011		
3 01	Fourth Amended and Restated Certificate of incorporation of Registrant	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	ji4y 24, 2012		
3.02	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	July 24, 2012		
401	Specimen Class A Common Stock ceruficate	Registration Statement on Form 5-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	August 18 2004		
4.02	Form of Warrant Agreement, dated April 20, 2007, among Google inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc. as Warrant Agent, and Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Credit Suisse Management LLC, and UBS AG, London Branch, as Warrantholders (Warrant Agreement)	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	April 23, 2007		
4 02	Amendment No. 1 to the Warrant Agreement among Google incland IP Morgan Securities Inc., as Warrantholder entered in iclass of July 20, 2007	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (file No. 000-50716)	Augus 9, 2007		
4.03	Indenture, dated as of May 19, 2011 between Google Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	May 19, 2011		
4 04	Form of 1 250% Note due 7014	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	May 19, 20 es		
4.05	Form of 2.125% Nate due 2016	Cürrent Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	May 19, 2011		
1 06	Form of 3 625% Mate due 2021	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	May 19, 2011		
4.07	♥ Deferred Compensation Plan	Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-175180)	June 28, 2011		
4071	▼ Amendment No 1 to the Deferred Compensation Plan	Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-50726)	January 26, 2013		
10.01	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered Into between Registrant, its affiliates and its directors and officers	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	july 12, 2004		
10 C2	♥ Google Ezecutive Bonus Plan	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	Ma: cn 28, 2007		
10.03	 Letter Agreement, dated August 16, 2005, between Shirley M, Tilghman and Google Inc. 	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	October 6, 2005		
10 04	▼ Offici Letter dafed June 6, 2008, between Paint Pichette and Google Inc.	Current Report on Form 6-K (File No. 00050716)	June 25, 2008		
10,05	Letter Agreement dated January 11, 2012, between Diane B. Greene and Google Inc.	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 00050726)	January 12, 2012		



Exhibit			Incorporated by reference	e herein		
Number		Description	Form	Date		
1006	٧	Agreement dated April 27, 2012, between Mikesh Arbra and Google Inc.	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 00050776)	april 30, 2012		
10.07	٧	1998 Stock Plan, as amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	August 9, 2006		
ו לממו	₹ 1998 Stock Plan—Form of stock option agreeniera		Registration Statement on Form 5-1 as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004		
80.0	٧	2000 Stock Plan, as amended -	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	August 9, 2006		
0 08 1	۳	2000 Stock Plan—Form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 323-114981)	April 23, 2004		
10.09	¥	2003 Stock Plan, as amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	May 10, 2007		
10 09 1	¥	2003 Stock Plan-Form of stock updon agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29 2004		
10.10	.*	2003 Stock Plan (No. 2), as amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	May 10, 2007		
10101	•	2003 Stock Plan (No. 2)—Form of stock option agreement	Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004		
10.11	¥	2003 Stock Plan (No. 3), as amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	May 10, 2007		
10 11 1	٧	2003 Stock Plan (No. 3)—Form of stock option agreement	Regultration Statement on Form 5-1, as amended (File No. 333-114984)	April 29, 2004		
10.12	*	2004 Stock Plan, as amended	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	June 7, 2011		
10 12 1	٧	2004 Stock Plan—Form of stock option agreement	Annuai Report on Form 10-k (File No. 000-50726)	March 30, 2005		
10.12.2	٧	2004 Stock Plan—Form of restricted stock unit agreement	Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-50726)	March 30, 2005		
10 12 3	٧	2004 Stock Plan - Amendment to stock option agreements	Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-1-)2243)	April 20, 2007		
10.12.4	٧	2004 Stock Plan—Form of stock option agreement (TSO Program)	Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-142243)	April 20, 2007		
1913	¥	Google Inc. 2012 Stock Plan	Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 333-00050726)	June 26 2012		
10.14	٧	 Google Inc. 2012 Incentive Compensation Plan for Employees and Consultants of Motorola Mobility 	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 333-00050726)	june 26, 2012		
ID 15	٩	Modulola Mobility Holdings, Inc. 2011 Incentive Compensation Plan	Registration Statement on Form 5.8 (File No. 333-181561)	May 24, 2012		
10.16	4	 AdMob, Inc. 2006 Stock Plan and UK Sub-Plan of the AdMob, Inc. 2006 Stock Plan 	Registration Statement on Form 5-8 filed (File No. 333-167411)	June 9, 2010		
10 17	1	Applied Temannes, Inc. 1999 Stock Option/Stock Insultice Plan as amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50726)	August S, 2006		
10.18	•	Click Holding Corp. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan	Registration Statement on Form S-8- (File No. 333-149956)	March 28, 2008		
10 13	1	Keyhule, Inc. 2000 Equity Incentive Plant as amended	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No 000-50726)	August 9, 2000		
10.20	•	Picasa, Inc. Employee Bonus Plan	Registration Statement on Form 5-8 (File No. 333-119378)	September 29, 2004		
10 21	•	VouTube Inc 2005 Slock Plan	Registration Statement on Form 5-8 (File No. 233-138848)	November 20, 2006		
10,22		Letter from Google Inc. to U.S. FTC	Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	January 3, 2013		
10.23		Agreement containing consent order regarding Molocola Mobility.	Current Pepart on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50726)	January 3 2013		
12		* Computation of Earnings to Fixed Charge Ratios	•			
21 01		Subsidiaries of the Registrant				
23.01		* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm				



Exhibit		incorporated by reference herein		
Number	Description	Form	Date	
2401	 Power of Attorney (incorporated by reference to the aignablie page of this Annual Report on Form 50-K) 	=		
31.01	 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 			
31 02	 Centrication of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(e), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Ottley Act of 2002 			
32.01	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxlev Act of 2002			
TOWNS	XBRL Instance Document			
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document			
101 CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Excension Calculation Linkbase Document			
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document			
101 LAB	XBRL Takonomy Extension Laber Linkbase Document			
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document			

Indicates management compensatory plan, contract, or arrangement.

^{*} Aled herewith.

[‡] Furnished herewith.



CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE ACT **EXHIBIT 31.01** RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Larry Page, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Google Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles:
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclusure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information: and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: lanuary 29, 2013

/s/ LARRY PAGE

Larry Page Chief Executive Officer . (Principal Executive Officer)



CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO EXCHANGE ACT **EXHIBIT 31.02** RULES 13a-14(a) AND 15d-14(a), AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Patrick Pichette, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Google Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material faci necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over-financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries. is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared:
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be cesigned under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (a) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 29, 2013

/s/ PATRICK PICHETTE

Patrick Pichette Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)



CERTIFICATIONS OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER **EXHIBIT 32.01** PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Larry Page, certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Google Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Google Inc.

Date: January 29, 2013

/s/ LARRY PAGE Name: **Larry Page** Title: Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

I, Patrick Pichette, certify pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Annual Report on Form 10-K of Google Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that Information contained in such Annual Report on Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Google Inc.

Date: January 29, 2013

/s/ PATRICK PICHETTE Name: **Patrick Pichette** Title: Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

The foregoing certifications are not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), and are not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Google Inc. under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS AS OF APRIL 2013

Dipoctors

Larry Page Chief European Officer and Co-Founder Google In

Fric E. Schmidt Obsculler Chairman of the Bound of Directors Grouple Inc.

Sergey Brit Co-francier Geogle In

L. John Doen General Furner Kleiner Fertins Carcield & Peers

Diane B. Greene Framer Chief Escioner Officer and President VMware, Inc.

John L. Hettnessy President Stanford University

Ann Matter Former Chief Financial Officer Prior

Faul S. Oiellini Chief Executive Officer and Particless Dust Corporation

K. Ram Shriesm Managing Partner Shoppale Guttern

Shaloy M. Trighman President Prior run University

Executive Otherry

Larry Page Chief Executive Others and Confemnion

Erc E Schwidt Executive Chairman of the Board of Discretes

Seeggy Brin Go Frinador

Nikesti Arva Semin Vice President and Orief Britania Officer

David C. Deminional Senior Vice Prevalent, Corporate Development, Chief Legal Offices, and Secretary

Parick Pictions Sensor Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

STOCKHOLDER INFORMATION

For further information about Google.

Investor Relations

Carrele Inc. 1600 Amphithenia: Parkway Marenan View, California (1831) injung@google.com

You may also teach us by crotting the investor alliability portion of our website at http://investor.poogle.com

If you wish to sective stockholder information colline, you can register at http://micsion.go.gle.com/morty.html

Google's sizek trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the ticker symbol "GOOK!".

Trunder Agent and Registrer Communisher Trust Company, N.A. P.O. Box 43678. Providence. Rhode Island 02940 (806) 208-8535 (281) 575-2879. http://www.computershipe.com

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Front & Young LLP

Google

Officer's Passers at Capacity and Capability

DUN & BRADSTREET REPORTS

Planetary Ventures, LLC - Offeror - D&B Report Full text attached

Google Inc - Project Sponsor - D&B Report Full text attached



Business Information Report



Report Printed: OCT 23 2013

PLANETARY VENTURES LLC 494 S Bernardo Ave Sunnyvale, CA 94086

This is a single location.

D-U-N-S Number:

D&B Viability Rating:

01-356-2646

Telephone:

UNKNOWN

D&B Rating:

DS OUIR

Year started:

2011

Employs:

UNDETERMINED

SIC:

9999

Line of business:

Undetermined

05/20/2012

Source(s) indicate the address shown above may no longer be used by this business.

D&B Rating:DS

SUMMARY AMERYSIS

The DS rating indicates that the information available does not permit D&B to classify the company within our rating key. For more information, see the D&B Rating Key.

A Summary Analysis is not available at this time.

*** Update available on request ***

VINITARY SATING ANALYSIS

STOVESTICATIVE SERVICES.

D&B has limited data on this business and this information is being provided to you immediately in the interest of speed. This report may not reflect the current status of this business.

This company will be stored in View My Reports/Alerts section of your account. D&B will alert you of additional information collected from the company and through external sources.

D&B can investigate this business and update the information based on the results of that investigation. To order an investigation, please call D&B Express at (800) 333-0505. The cost of this investigation is dependent on the speed in which you need to have a response.

The D&B Viability Rating uses D&B's proprietary analytics to compare the most predictive business risk indicators and deliver a highly reliable assessment of the probability that a company will no longer be in business within the next 12 months.

D&B VIABILITY RATING:00IR


D&B VIABILITY RATING COMPONENTS:

VIABILITY SCORE:

Viability Score is the first of four components within the D&B Viability Rating. It is a high-level risk indicator that assesses the probability that a company will no longer be in business within the next 12 months, compared to all US businesses within the D&B database. A business is no longer viable when it goes out of business, becomes dormant/ inactive or files for bankruptcy. The ranking ranges from 1 to 9 where 9 reflects the highest probability of becoming no longer viable and 1 reflects the lowest probability.

NOTE: The Viability Score is best used when ranking all businesses within your portfolio based on the probability of becoming no longer viable.

Viability Score: 0



Based on information in D&B's data files, the Viability Score for this business is 0. This means the company has been placed in a Special Category of either being OUT OF BUSINESS or UNABLE TO CONFIRM DESIGNATION. See DATA DEPTH INDICATOR for details.

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON:


Portfolio Comparison is the second of four components within the D&B Viability Rating. Portfolio Comparison is a more detailed risk indicator that assesses the viability of a company compared to similar businesses within the same model segment which are determined by the amount and type of data available. A business is no longer viable when it goes out of business, becomes dormant/ inactive or files for bankruptcy. Every business within the D&B database falls into 1 of the following 4 profile segments:

- 1. Available Financial Data.
- 2. Established Trade Payments.
- 3. Limited trade Payments.
- 4. Firmographics and Business Activity.

The Portfolio Comparison ranking ranges from 1 to 9 where 9 reflects the highest probability of becoming no longer viable and 1 reflects the lowest probability.

NOTE: The Portfolio Comparison is best used when analyzing the individual risk level of a company compared to businesses within the same profile segment.

Portfolio Comparison: 0



Based on information in D&B's data files, the Portfolio Comparison for this business is 0. This means the company has been placed in a Special Category of either being OUT OF BUSINESS or UNABLE TO CONFIRM DESIGNATION. See DATA DEPTH INDICATOR for details.

DATA DEPTH INDICATOR:

Data Depth Indicator is the third of four components within the D&B Vlability Rating. The Depth of Data Indicator presents the level of data available for a company. Data depth assists in the assessment of whether a company will no longer be viable and includes the following:

- 1. Financial Attributes.
- 2. Commercial Trading Activity.

318

3. Firmographics.

The level of data is represented by a letter on a scale of A - G where A reflects the greatest level of predictive data to make a highly reliable assessment of company viability, and G reflects a minimal level of data which can be considered descriptive. The more comprehensive the data, the more precise the overall D&B Viability Rating becomes. If a company has been placed in the Special Category, a letter ranging from H-M will be assigned to identify the specific reason.

Data Depth: I

Data Depth Indicator Details:

Unable to Confirm Designation - D&B is unable to confirm active operations at a specific location due to the business showing limited to no business activity and multiple signs of inactivity (e.g. disconnected phone, vacant address, no longer in a telephone directory etc.)

COMPANY PROFILE:

Company Profile is the fourth of four components within the D&B Vlability Rating. The Company Profile describes a company based on a combination of 4 categories:

- 1. Financial Data.
- 2. Trade Payments.
- 3. Company Size.
- 4. Years in Business.

A company is characterized by a letter which ranges from A - X; with each letter representing a combination of the 4 categories that make up the company's profile. For example, A describes a company with a comprehensive level data, which has been in business 5+ years, with 50+ employees or \$500K+ in Sales, while X reflects a company with a minimal data, in business < 5 years, with < 10 employees or < \$10K in Sales. Y and Z reflect a Branch and Subsidiary, respectively.

Company Profile: R

CHSCOMECSERVICE

Company Profile Details:

- Financial Data: Not Available
- Trade Payments : Available: 1-2 Trade
- Business Size: Small: Employees: <10 or Sales: <\$10K or

Missina

Years in Business:Young (Young: <5)

Need help? Cail Customer Service at (800) 932-0025, Monday Urough Friday, 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM Local Time.

The following information was reported 09/29/2011:

Business started 2011.

09/29/2011

Description:

Duns Support Record.

Employees:

UNDETERMINED.

Facilities:

Occupies premises in building.

SIC & WAITS

PAYMENT STREET

SIC:

NAICS:

Based on information in our file, D&B has assigned this company an extended 8-digit SIC. D&B's use of 8-digit SICs enables us to be more specific to a company's operations than if we use the standard 4-digit code.

999990 Unclassified Establishments

The 4-digit SIC numbers link to the description on the Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) Web site. Links open in a new browser window.

99992222 Duns Support Record, nonclassifiable establishment

D&B has not received a sufficient sample of payment experiences to establish a PAYDEX score.

The Payment Summary section reflects payment information in D&B's file as of the date of this report.

Below is an overview of the company's dollar-weighted payments, segmented by its suppliers' primary industries:

Totai	Total Dollar	Largest High	Within	Days Slow
Rcv'd		Credit	Terms	<31 31-60 61-90 90>
(#)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)	(%)

Top industries: Public finance	1	75,000	75,000	100	-	-	-
Other payment categories:							
Cash experiences	0	0	0				
Payment record unknown	0	0	0				
Unfavorable comments	0	0	0				
Placed for collections:							
With D&B	0	0					
Other	0	N/A					
Total in D&B's file	1	75,000	75,000				

The highest Now Owes on file is \$0

The highest Past Due on file is \$0

D&B receives over 600 million payment experiences each year. We enter these new and updated experiences into D&B Reports as this information is received.

Detailed Payment History

DAVMENT DETAILS.

Date Reported Paying Record High Credit Now Owes Past Due Selling Terms Last Sale (mm/yy) (\$) (\$) (\$) Within (months)

320

06/12

Ppt

75,000

1 mo

Each experience shown is from a separate supplier Updated trade experiences replace those previously reported.

HATRICING & F. DANNEL

D&B has researched this company and found no information available at this time.

PUBLICATIONS

A check of D&B's public records database Indicates that no filings were found for PLANETARY VENTURES LLC at 494 S Bernardo Ave, Sunnyvale CA.

D&B's extensive database of public record information is updated daily to ensure timely reporting of changes and additions. It includes business-related suits, liens, judgments, bankruptcies, UCC financing statements and business registrations from every state and the District of Columbia, as well as select filing types from Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

D&B collects public records through a combination of court reporters, third parties and direct electronic links with federal and local authorities. Its database of U.S. business-related filings is now the largest of its kind.



Business Information Report



Report Printed: OCT 23 2013 In Date

GOOGLE INC. GOOGLE.COM 1500 Amphitheatre Pkwy Mountain View, CA 94043

This is a headquarters location. Branch(es) or division(s) exist.

Web site:

www.google.com

Telephone:

650 253-0000

Chief

LARRY PAGE, CEO

executive:

Stock symbol: GOOG

Year started: 1998

Employs:

53,898 (250 here)

JUN 30 2013

Financial

statement

date:

Sales F:

\$50,175,000,000

Net worth F:

\$71,715,000,000

History:

CLEAR

Financing: Financial

SECURED GOOD

condition:

SIC:

4813

7375

Line of

Web search portals; internet navigational

business:

services, information retrieval

D-U-N-S Number:

06-090-2413

D&B Rating:

5A2

Financial strength:

5A is \$50 million and

over.

Composite credit

2 is good.

appraisal:

D&B Viability Rating:

D&B PAYDEX®:

DEB PAYDEX: 58

When weighted by dollar amount, payments to suppliers average 17 days beyond terms.

He b Bits

Based on up to 24 months of trade.

10/17/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on October 2, 2013, Google, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it has bought Flutter, DUNS 048117043, (Pasadena, CA). The deal could be worth around \$40 million.

10/11/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports²²WI Harper Group, DUNS 929304731, (San Francisco, CA) announced the acquisition of Flutter by Google, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA).

09/27/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on September 16, 2013, Google Inc, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it has acquired Bump Technologies Inc, DUNS 968237623, (Mountain View, CA) for an undisclosed amount.

09/26/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on August 31, 2013, Google Inc, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it has acquired WiMM Labs Inc, DUNS 040778472, (Los Altos, CA). Terms of the deal were undisclosed.

07/23/2013

ANNOUNCED PURCHASE OF ASSET: According to published reports, Google Inc. announced that it is taking 6.3% stake in the Himax Display Inc. subsidiary. Google has the option to buy up to a 14.8% stake later on. The deal is expected to close this quarter. The companies did not put a value on it.

07/19/2013

ANNOUNCED OFFICER CHANGE: According to published reports, Google Inc. announced that John Liu current China head will step down in mid-August.

06/21/2013

ANNOUNCED MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports, Google, Inc., DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it will acquire Waze Ltd. for \$1.3 billion.

06/12/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on May 22, 2013, Google Inc, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it has acquired Makani Power Inc, DUNS 789832917, (Alameda, CA).

05/02/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on April 19, 2013, Google Inc, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it has acquired Wavil inc, DUNS 002140075, (Seattle, WA).

04/26/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on April 12, 2013, Google Inc, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it has acquired Behavio, DUNS 078384482, (Sunnyvale, CA).

ANNOUNCED PURCHASE OF ASSET: According to published reports on April 17, 2013, Google Inc, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it will acquire a fiber-optic network in Provo, Utah owned by iProvo Network.

04/18/2013

SALE OF ASSET: According to published reports, ARRIS Group, Inc., DUNS 037625550, (Suwanee, GA) announced that it has completed its acquisition of the Motorola Home business from a subsidiary of Google Inc., DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA). ARRIS paid Google approximately \$2.2 billion in cash and Issued Google 10.6 million shares of its common stock in connection with the transaction.

03/26/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on March 15, 2013, Google Inc, DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) announced that it has acquired Talaria Technologies.

03/08/2013

WORK FORCE CHANGES: According to published reports, Google Inc. announced that Motorola has begun laying off about 1,200 employees, or more than 10% of its headcount in its Mobility hardware unit.

02/21/2013

MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports, ICG Group, Inc., DUNS 948899133, (Radnor, PA) announced that the acquisition of one of its consolidated companies, Channel Intelligence, Inc., DUNS 082184511, (Kissimmee, FL) by Google Inc., DUNS 060902413, (Mountain View, CA) was consummated February 20, 2013.

02/18/2013

ANNOUNCED MERGER/ACQUISITION: According to published reports on February 1, 2013, ICG Group, Inc., Radnor, FA announced that one of its consolidated companies, Channel Intelligence, Inc., Kissimmee, FL, has entered into a definitive agreement to be acquired by Google Inc., Mountain View, CA for \$125 million in cash. The transaction, which is subject to customary closing conditions, is expected to be completed in the first quarter of 2013.

ANNOUNCED SALE OF ASSET: On December 19, 2012, Google and Arris announced that Motorola Mobility had entered into an agreement (Motorola Agreement) with Arris and certain other persons providing for the disposition of the Home business for total consideration of approximately \$2.35 billion in cash and common stock, subject to

certain adjustments. The transaction is expected to close by the second quarter of 2013, subject to customary approvals and closing conditions.

D&B Rating:

5A2

Financial strength:

CHARLES ENALYSTS

5A indicates \$50 million and over.

Composite credit appraisal: 2 is good.

This credit rating was assigned because of D&B's assessment of the company's financial ratios and its cash flow. For more information, see the D&B Rating Key.

Below is an overview of the company's rating history since 05/19/99:

D&B Rating	Date Applied
5A2	04/30/04
	04/30/04
1R3	10/16/02
4A3	02/25/02
4A2	09/25/01
4A3	09/22/01
4A2	05/19/01
1R3	03/16/00
	05/19/99

The Summary Analysis section reflects information in D&B's file as of October 21, 2013.

WINDLEY BATTING ANALYSIS

The D&B Viability Rating uses D&B's proprietary analytics to compare the most predictive business risk indicators and deliver a highly reliable assessment of the probability that a company will no longer be in business within the next 12 months.

D&B VIABILITY RATING:13AA


D&B VIABILITY RATING COMPONENTS:

VIABILITY SCORE:

Viability Score is the first of four components within the D&B Viability Rating. It is a high-level risk indicator that assesses the probability that a company will no longer be in business within the next 12 months, compared to all US businesses within the D&B database. A business is no longer viable when it goes out of business, becomes dormant/ inactive or files for bankruptcy. The ranking ranges from 1 to 9 where 9 reflects the highest probability of becoming no longer viable and 1 reflects the lowest probability.

NOTE: The Viability Score is best used when ranking all businesses within your portfolio based on the probability of becoming no longer viable.

Viability Score: 1



Compared to ALL US Business within D&B Database:

- Level of risk: Low Risk
- Business ranked 1 have a probability of becoming no longer viable: 0.2%
- Percentage of business ranked 1: 0.3%
- Across all US business, the average probability of becoming no longer viable:
- 14%

PORTFOLIO COMPARISON:

Portfolio Comparison is the second of four components within the D&B Viability Rating. Portfolio Comparison is a more detailed risk indicator that assesses the viability of a company compared to similar businesses within the same model segment which are determined by the amount and type of data available. A business is no longer viable when it goes out of business, becomes dormant/ inactive or files for bankruptcy. Every business within the D&B database falls into 1 of the following 4 profile segments:

- 1. Available Financial Data.
- 2. Established Trade Payments.
- 3. Limited trade Payments.
- 4. Firmographics and Business Activity.

The Portfolio Comparison ranking ranges from 1 to 9 where 9 reflects the highest probability of becoming no longer viable and 1 reflects the lowest probability.

NOTE: The Portfolio Comparison is best used when analyzing the individual risk level of a company compared to businesses within the same profile segment.

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 High High Medium Local Region

Portfolio Comparison: 3

Compared to all Business within the same MODEL SEGMENT:

- Fiodel Segment: Available Financial Data
- · Level of risk: Low Risk
- Business ranked 3 within this model segment have a probability of becoming no longer viable:
 0.2%
- Percentage of business ranked 3 within this model segment: 15%
- Within this model segment, the average probability of becoming no longer viable: 0.6%

DATA DEPTH INDICATOR:

Data Depth Indicator is the third of four components within the D&B Viability Rating. The Depth of Data Indicator presents the level of data available for a company. Data depth assists in the assessment of whether a company will no longer be viable and includes the following:

- 1. Financial Attributes.
- 2. Commercial Trading Activity.
- 3. Firmographics.

The level of data is represented by a letter on a scale of A - G where A reflects the greatest level of predictive data to make a highly reliable assessment of company viability, and G reflects a minimal level of data which can be considered descriptive. The more comprehensive the data, the more precise the overall D&B Viability Rating becomes. If a company has been placed in the Special Category, a letter ranging from H-M will be assigned to identify the specific reason.

Data Depth: A

Data Depth Indicator Details:

- Rich Firmographics
- · Extensive Commercial Trading

Activity

· Comprehensive Financial Attributes

COMPANY PROFILE:

Company Profile is the fourth of four components within the D&B Viability Rating. The Company Profile describes a company based on a combination of 4 categories:

- 1. Financial Data.
- 2. Trade Payments.
- 3. Company Size.
- 4. Years in Business.

A company is characterized by a letter which ranges from \$2.7. With each letter representing a combination of the 4 categories that make up the company's profile. For example, A describes a company with a comprehensive level data, which has been in business 5+ years, with 50+ employees or \$500K+ in Sales, while X reflects a company with a minimal data, in business < 5 years, with < 10 employees or < \$10K in Sales. Y and Z reflect a Branch and Subsidiary, respectively.

Company Profile: A

Company Profile Details:

- Financial Data: Available
- Trade Payments : Available: 3+Trade
- Business Size: Large: Employees:50+ or Sales:
- \$500K+
- Years in Business:Established (Established: 5+)

Time.

Need help? Call Customer Service at (800) 932-0025, Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM Local

i iiii e i

The following Information was reported 10/10/2013:

Officer(s):

LARRY PAGE, CEO

PATRICK PICHETTE, SR V PRES-CFO

NIKESH ARORA, SR V PRES-CHIEF BUS OFFICER

DAVID C DRUMMOND, SR V PRES CORP DEV-CHIEF LEGAL OFFICER-SEC

ERIC E SCHMIDT, EXEC CHB

DIRECTOR(S):

THE OFFICER(S) and Sergey Brin, L John Doerr, Diane B Greene, John L Hennessy, Ann

Mather, Paul S Otellini, K Ram Shriram and Shirley M Tilghman.

Reincorporated in the State of Delaware on October 22, 2002.

Business started 1998.

The company was incorporated in California in September 1998.

The company's Class A common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "GOOG". The company's Class B common stock is neither listed nor traded. As of December 31, 2012, there were 2,689 stockholders of record of Class A common stock and 79 stockholders of record of Class B common stock. As of April 23, 2012, those shareholders identified by the company as beneficially owning 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Class A Common Stock were: Entities affiliated with Fidelity (7.3%) and Entities affiliated with BlackRock (5.1%). As of the same date, officers and directors as a group beneficially owned less than 1% of the total outstanding shares of Class A Common Stock.

As of April 23, 2012, those shareholders identified by the company as beneficially owning 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock were:

Larry Page (39.6%); Sergey Brin (39.0%); and Eric Schmidt (13.2%). As of the same date, officers and directors as a group beneficially owned 93.9% of the total outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock.

As of April 23, 2012, those shareholders identified by the company as beneficially owning 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Total Voting Power were:

Larry Page (28.4%); Sergey Brin (28.0%); and Eric Schmidt (9.5%). As of the same date, officers and directors as a group beneficially owned 67.5% of the total outstanding shares of Total Voting Power.

RECENT EVENTS:

On October 10, 2013, sources stated that Google Inc., Mountain View, CA, has completed the acquisition of Bump Technologies Inc., Mountain View, CA, on September 16, 2013. With the acquisition, Bump Technologies Inc. will now operate as a subsidiary of Google Inc. Terms of the transaction were not disclosed. Further details are unavailable.

On October 8, 2013, sources stated that Google Inc., Mountain View, CA, has acquired WiMM Labs Inc., Los Altos, CA, on August 31, 2013. With the acquisition, WiMM Labs Inc. will no longer be a legal operating entity and operations were integrated into Google Inc. Terms of the transaction were not disclosed. Further details are unavailable.

On June 18, 2013, sources stated that Google Inc., Mountain View, CA, has acquired Makani Power Inc., Alameda, CA, on May 23, 2013. With this acquisition, Makani Power Inc. will now operate as a subsidiary of Google Inc. Terms of the deal were not disclosed. Further details are unavailable.

On May 6, 2013, sources stated that Google, Inc., Mountain View, CA, has completed the acquisition of Wavil, Inc., Seattle, WA, on April 26, 2013. With the acquisition, Wavil, Inc. will now operate as a subsidiary of Google, Inc. Terms were undisclosed. Further details are unavailable.

On May 3, 2013, sources stated that Google Inc., Mountain View, CA. has acquired the team from Bevavio Inc., Sunnyvale, CA, on April 15, 2013. Further details are unavailable.

On April 29, 2013, sources stated that ARRIS Group Inc., Suwanee, GA, has acquired the Motorola Home business from a subsidiary of Google Inc., Mountain View, CA, on April 17, 2013. Repeated attempts to contact the management to confirm the recent transaction were unsuccessful. Further details are unavailable.

On March 15, 2013, the company acquired Talaria Technologies, Inc., Palo Alto, CA. The Talaria team will become part of the Google Cloud Platform team.

On February 25, 2013, the company acquired Channel Intelligence Inc., Kissimmee, FL, on February 20, 2013.

On September 17, 2012, the company acquired Nik Software Inc., San Diego, CA.

On August 13, 2012, the company acquired the travel guidebook brand Frommer's from publisher John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

On July 31, 2012, the company acquired Wildfire Interactive Inc., Redwood City, CA.

On June 6, 2012, the company acquired Quickoffice Inc., Plano, TX.

On June 1, 2012, the company acquired the technology, patents and "certain assets" of online trust seal provider KikScore from Interactive Security Group, LLC, Washington, D.C.

On May 24, 2012, the company acquired Mike & Maaike Inc., San Francisco, CA.

On May 22, 2012, the company acquired Motorola Mobility Holdings Inc., Libertyville, IL.

On April 2, 2012, the company acquired TXVia Inc., New York, NY.

On March 20, 2012, the company acquired Kevin Rose, Founder of Milk Inc., Silicon Valley, CA.

On March 1, 2012, the company sold its GoogleSharing sharing privacy service to Abine Inc., Cambridge, MA.

On December 13, 2011, the company acquired Clever Sense Inc., Mountain View CA.

On December 9, 2011, the company acquired Rightsflow Inc., New York, NY.

On October 11, 2011, the company acquired SocialGrapple.

On September 22, 2011, the company acquired Fridge.

On September 19, 2011, the company acquired Dally Deals GmbH (Daily Deals) for \$114 million in cash.

On September 8, 2011, the company acquired Zagat Survey LLC.

On September 2, 2011, the company acquired Zave Networks Inc.

On August 1, 2011, the company acquired The Dealmap.

On July 19, 2011, the company acquired TalkBin.

On July 11, 2011, the company acquired Punchd Labs Inc.

On June 18, 2011, the company acquired Sagety, L.C.

On May 24, 2011, the company acquired Sparkbuy.

327

On April 12, 2011, the company acquired ITA Software, Inc.

On March 15, 2011, the company acquired Fflick for \$10 million.

LARRY PAGE. Director since 1998. He is one of the company's founders and serves as its CEO since April 2011. From July 2001 to April 2011, he served as President, Products. In addition, from September 1998 to July 2001, he served as CEO, and from September 1998 to July 2002, as CFO. He holds a Master of Science degree in computer science from Stanford University and a Bachelor of Science degree in engineering, with a concentration in computer engineering, from the University of Michigan.

PATRICK PICHETTE. He has served as the company's Senior Vice President and CFO since August 2008. Prior to joining the company, from January 2001 to July 2008, he served as an executive officer of Bell Canada Enterprises Inc. as the President of Operations for Bell Canada, and previously as Executive Vice President, CFO, and Executive Vice President of Planning and Performance Management. Prior to joining Bell Canada Enterprises, from 1996 to 2000, he was a Principal at McKinsey & Company from 1994 to 1996, he served as the Vice President and CFO of Cail-Net Enterprises Inc. He holds a Master of Arts degree in philosophy, politics, and economics from Oxford University, where he attended as a Rhodes Scholar, and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration from Universite du Quebec a Montreal.

NIKESH ARORA. He has served as the company's Senior Vice President and Chief Business Officer since January 2011. Previously, he served as the company's President for Global Sales Operations & Business Development from April 2009 to December 2010, and as the company's President for International Operations prior to that. Prior to joining the company in December 2004, he served as the Chief Marketing Officer and a member of the management board at T-Mobile Europe. Prior to that, he worked for Deutsche Telekom, Putnam Investments and Fidelity Investments. He holds a Master of Business Administration degree from Northeastern University, a Masters degree from Boston College, and a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering from the Institute of Technology in Varanasi, India. He is also a chartered Financial Analyst.

DAVID C DRUMMOND. He has served as the company's Senior Vice President for Corporate Development since January 2006 and as Chief Legal Officer since December 2006. Previously, he served as the company's Vice President for Corporate Development and General Counsel since February 2002. Prior to joining the company from July 1999 to February 2002, he served as CFO of SmartForce. Prior to that, he was a Partner at the law firm of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati. He holds a Juris Doctor degree from Stanford University and a Bachelor of Arts degree in history from Santa Clara University.

ERIC E SCHMIDT. Director since 2001. He has served as the company's Executive CHB since April 2011. From July 2001 to April 2011, he served as the company's CEO. He was the CHB from March 2001 to April 2004, and again from April 2007 to April 2011. Prior to joining the company, from April 1997 to November 2001, he served as the CHB of Novell, Inc. and from April 1997 to July 2001, as the CEO of Novell. From 1983 to March 1997, he held various positions at Sun Microsystems, Inc., including CTO from February 1994 to March 1997, and President of Sun Technology Enterprises from February 1991 to February 1994. He holds a Doctoral degree and a Masters degree in computer science from the University of California at Berkeley, and a Bachelor of Science degree in electrical engineering from Princeton University.

SERGEY BRIN. Director since 1998. He is one of the company's founders. He served as the company's President, Technology.

L JOHN DOERR. Director since 1999. He is a General Partner of Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers.

DIANE B GREENE. Director since 2012. She Co-Founded VMware and served as its President and CEO.

JOHN L HENNESSY. Director since 2004. He is the President of Stanford University.

ANN MATHER. Director since 2005. She served as Executive Vice President and CFO of Pixar.

PAUL S OTELLINI. Director since 2004. He is the CEO and President of Intel Corporation.

K RAM SHRIRAM. Director since 1998. He is a Managing Partner of Sherpalo Ventures, LLC.

SHIRLEY M TILGHMAN. Director since 2005. She is the President of Princeton University.

CORPORATE FAMILY

Click below to buy a Business Information Report on that family member.

Subsidiaries (US):

Android, Inc.

Mountain View, CA

DUNS # 96-253-2110

* 14		
Channel Intelligence, Inc.	Kissimmee, ³⁷⁸ L	DUNS # 08-218-4511
Click Holding Corp.	New York, NY	DUNS # 60-217-3754
Docverse, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	DUNS # 96-192-9630
Doubleclick Inc.	New York, NY	DUNS # 93-888-4483
Google	Atlanta, GA	DUNS # 13-189-3617
Google International LLC	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 62-260-4416
Google International LLC	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 80-030-4540
Google Payment Corp.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 96-231-0855
Google Special Services Inc.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 07-843-9708
Invite Media Inc.	Philadeiphia, PA	DUNS # 80-118-5179
Ita Software, LLC	Cambridge, MA	DUNS # 12-574-6177
Last Software, Inc.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 01-620-0111
Makani Power, Inc.	Alameda, CA	DUNS # 78-983-2917
Metaweb Technologies, Inc.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 62-419-0612
Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc.	Libertyville, IL	DUNS # 96-342-0638
Nik Software Inc	San Marcos, CA	DUNS # 02-036-2948
On2 Technologies, Inc.	Clifton Park, NY	DUNS # 87-756-9962
Postini, Inc.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 12-535-8205
Quickoffice, Inc.	Plano, TX	DUNS # 61-045-9096
Rightsflow, Inc	New York, NY	DUNS # 80-780-1472
Sagetv, LLC	Redondo Beach, CA	DUNS # 80-719-7970
Saynow Corporation	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 79-255-9416
Slide, Inc.	San Francisco, CA	DUNS # 61-070-8401
Teracent Corporation	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 93-262-0656

This list is limited to the first 25 subsidiaries.

Subsidiaries (International):		
BEATTHATQUOTE.COM LTD	London, UK (ENGLAND, SCOTLAND, WALES, N.IRELAND)	DUNS # 34-535-7045
CRYSTAL COMPUTING SPRL	Saint-Ghislain, BELGIUM	DUNS # 37-600-5869
Global Ip Solutions (Glps) Holding AB	Stockholm, SWEDEN	DUNS # 63-299-0784
GOOGLE EGYPT LLC	CAIRO, EGYPT	DUNS # 85-048-1980
Google Finland Oy	HELSINKI, FINLAND	DUNS # 54-001-9486
GOOGLE FRANCE	PARIS, FRANCE	DUNS # 26-468-3306
Google Germany GmbH	Hamburg, GERMANY	DUNS # 33-046-5266
GOOGLE INTERNATIONAL - JORDAN	AMMAN, JORDAN	DUNS # 53-469-0974
GOOGLE JAPAN INC.	MINATO-KU, JAPAN	DUNS # 69-700-3908
GOOGLE KENYA LTD	NAIROBI, KENYA	DUNS # 85-049-1613
Google Korea LLC.	SEOUL, KOREA, REPUBLIC OF	DUNS # 63-103-8325
Google M,xico, S. de R.L. de C.V.	CIUDAD DE MEXICO, MEXICO	DUNS # 81-283-6955
Google Norway AS	Oslo, NORWAY	DUNS # 73-124-0094
Google Operaciones de M,xico, S, de R.L. de C.V.	CIUDAD DE MEXICO, MEXICO	DUNS # 81-420-1489
GOOGLE PAYMENT AUSTRALIA PTY. LTD.	PYRMONT, AUSTRALIA	DUNS # 75-551-5736
GOOGLE TRANSPORTION	ABU DHABI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	DUNS # 85-103-9849
GOOGLE UAE	DUBAI, UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	DUNS # 85-121-1056
Motorola Mobility International Limited	HAMILTON, BERMUDA	DUNS # 87-567-6520
Motorola Mobility International Limited	HAMILTON, BERMUDA	DUNS # 87-567-0668
Postrank Inc	:WATERLOO, CANADA	DUNS # 24-597-5516
Pushlife Inc	TORONTO, CANADA	DUNS # 24-664-5795
Tuike Finland Oy	HAMINA, FINLAND	DUNS # 65-114-1876
Branches (US):		
Google Inc.	Tempe, AZ	DUNS # 80-119-9261
Google Inc.	Irvine, CA	DUNS # 03-819-9799
Google Inc.	Irvine, CA	DUNS # 16-986-9653

D&B Business Information Report: GOOGLE INC
Dab business information report Google inc

Google Inc.	Milpitas, CA ³²⁹	DUNS # 03-318-0676
Google Inc.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 03-962-4357
Google Inc.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 96-907-5436
Google Inc.	Mountain View, CA	DUNS # 96-818-2043
Google Inc.	Palo Alto, CA	DUNS # 06-321-6995
Google Inc.	San Diego, CA	DUNS # 01-195-2422
Google Inc.	San Francisco, CA	DUNS # 00-890-0629
Google Inc.	San Francisco, CA	DUNS # 05-503-1571
Google Inc.	San Jose, CA	DUNS # 00-633-7696
Google Inc.	San Mateo, CA	DUNS # 96-273-6570
Google Inc.	San Ramon, CA	DUNS # 03-972-5604
Google Inc.	Santa Monica, CA	DUNS # 82-957-6979
Google Inc.	Venice, CA	DUNS # 02-566-8078
Google Inc.	Boulder, CO	DUNS # 60-902-8407
Google Inc.	Washington, DC	DUNS # 96-532-4739
Google Inc.	Washington, DC	DUNS # 03-935-4813
Google Inc.	Atlanta, GA	DUNS # 80-119-9170
Google Inc.	Austeli, GA	DUNS # 01-391-2136
Google Inc.	Chicago, IL	DUNS # 02-778-1967
Google Inc.	Chicago, IL	DUNS # 62-418-2007
Google Inc.	Elk Grove Village, IL	DUNS # 80-695-2391
Google Inc.	Cambridge, MA	DUNS # 15-327-8929
79		

This list is limited to the first 25 branches.

Branches (International):

Google Inc	KITCHENER, CANADA	DUNS # 24-336-6874
Google Inc	TORONTO, CANADA	DUNS # 24-677-4447
Google Inc	Montr, al, CANADA	DUNS # 24-676-6682

10/10/2013

10/23/13

Description: Operates as a technology company, maintains index of web sites and other online content for users, advertisers; Google network members, and other content providers. Its automated search technology helps users to obtain instant access to relevant information from its online index.

> The company provides targeted advertising and Internet search solutions, as well as hosted applications. Its products and services include Google.com for search and personalization, which provides Google Web Search, Google Images, Google Books, Google Scholar, Google Finance, Google News, Google Videos, Google Blog Search, IGoogle and Personalized Search, Google Product Search, Google Merchant Center, Google Custom Search, Google Trends, Google Music Search, and Google Webmaster Tools. The company's products also comprise Google Docs, Google Calendar, Gmail, Google Groups, Google Reader, orkut, Blogger, Google Sites, and YouTube. In addition, it offers Google Toolbar, Google Chrome, Google Chrome OS, Google Pack, Picasa, and Google Desktop; and Google GEO product line comprising Google Local Search, Google Maps. Panoramio, Google Earth, Google SketchUp, Google 3D Warehouse, and Google Building Maker.

> Further, the company provides Android, a mobile software platform; Google Mobile, which are mobile-specific features; Google Checkout, an online shopping service; and Google Labs, a test bed for engineers and users.

> The company also offers Google AdWords, an auction-based advertising program; Google AdSense program for content owners; and Display advertising for advertising services.

The offers Google Enterprise product line comprising Google Apps that provides hosted communication and collaboration tools; Google Search Appliance; Google Site Search; Google Commerce Search; Google Maps API Premier for Interactive Google maps; and Google Earth Enterprise to visualize data in a geographic context.

Terms are on contract basis. Sells to general public and commercial concerns. Territory:

International.

330

Internet usage generally slows during the summer months, and commercial queries typically increase significantly in the fourth quarter of each year.

Employees: 53,898 which includes officer(s). 250 employed here.

Facilities: Owns 3,500,000 sq. ft. in concrete block a building.

Branches: This business has multiple branches, detailed branch information is available in D&B's linkage or

family tree products.

Subsidiaries: This business has multiple subsidiaries, detailed subsidiary information is available in D&B's linkage

or family tree products.

SIC:

MIC III OALES

OWNERSTORK

Based on information in our file, D&B has assigned this company an extended 8-digit SIC. D&B's use of 8-digit SICs enables us to be more specific to a company's operations than if we use the standard 4-digit code.

The 4-digit SIC numbers link to the description on the Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) Web site. Links open in a new browser window.

48130204 Web search portals

73750000 Information retrieval services

73759901 Data base information retrieval

73759902 On-line data base information retrieval

NAICS:

519130 Internet Publishing and Broadcasting and Web Search Portals

519190 All Other Information Services 519190 All Other Information Services

519190 All Other Information Services

The D&B PAYDEX is a unique, dollar weighted indicator of payment performance based on up to 478 payment experiences as reported to D&B by trade references.

3-Month D&B PAYDEX: 67

When weighted by dollar amount, payments to suppliers average 18 days beyond terms.



Based on trade collected over last 3 months.

D&B PAYDEX: 68

When weighted by dollar amount, payments to suppliers average 17 days beyond terms.



Based on up to 24 months of trade.

When dollar amounts are not considered, then approximately 66% of the company's payments are within terms.

The Payment Summary section reflects payment information in D&B's file as of the date of this report,

Below is an overview of the company's dollar-weighted payments, segmented by its suppliers' primary industries:

Total	Total Dollar	Largest High	Within	Days Slow
Rcv'd	Amts	Credit	Terms	<31 31-60 61-90 90>
(#)	(\$)	(\$)	(%)	(%)

Top industries:								
Telephone communictors	34	3,854,000	3,000,000	100	-	-	-	
Nonclassified	26	3,275,900	2,000,000	69	31	-	-	10
Public finance	15	1,898,750	700,000	99	1	5	(7)	- 2
Whol computers/softwr	11	3,332,550	3,000,000	100	-		-	196
Mfg computers	9	13,215,000	6,000,000	51	49	÷	7	14
Radiotelephone commun	8	4,266,000	2,000,000	77	-	-	-	23
Detective/guard svcs	6	1,900,050	500,000	50	50	*	*	
Misc business credit	3	1,650,750	900,000	50	50	• -	-	
Mfg telephone equip	2	6,005,000	6,000,000	50	-	50	-	
Whol appliances	1	10,000,000	10,000,000	50	50		-	-
OTHER INDUSTRIES	270	17,148,900	1,000,000	65	24	8	1	2
Other payment categorie	s:							
Cash experiences	73	12,100	2,500					
Payment record unknown	17	296,400	200,000					
Unfavorable comments	1	500	50 0					
Placed for collections:								
With D&B	0	0						
Other	2	N/A						
Total in D&B's file	478	66,855,900	10,000,000					

The highest Now Owes on file is \$10,000,000

The highest Past Due on file is \$2,000,000

Dun & Bradstreet has 478 payment experiences in its file for this company. For your convenience, we have displayed 80 representative experiences in the PAYMENTS section.

Detailed Payment History

PAVMENT DETAILS.

Date Reported (mm/yy)	Paying Record	High Cradit (\$)	Now Owes (\$)	Past Due (\$)	Selling Terms	Last Sale WithIn (months)
10/13	Ppt	200,000	0	0		6-12 mos
20, 12	Ppt	75,000	60,000	500		1 mo
	Ppt	5,000	0	0		2-3 mos
	Ppt	2,500	0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	50	50	0		1 mo
	Ppt	50	0	0		2-3 mos
	Ppt-Slow 30	55,000	55,000	0	N30	1 mo
	Ppt-Slow 30	5,000	0	0		1 mc
	Ppt-Slow 30	250	0	0		2-3 mos

-	Ppt-Slow 90	2,500	332	0		6-12 mos
	Slow 34	::Ea,0(7)	ัง	n		'-12 mas
	Slov 20	2/2/00/0	i):	n		3-13 reo-
	Glow 10	10,000	0	0		5 สาเก
09/13	Ppt	900,000	35,000	0		1 mo
,	Ppt	200,000	100,000	0	PROX	1 mo
	Ppt	200,000	100,000	2,500	N30	1 mo
	Ppt	100,000	20,000	0	61	1 mo
	Ppt	95,000	45,000	0		1 mo
	Ppt	40,000	0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	40,000	30,000	0		1 mo
	Ppt *	30,000	. 0	0		2-3 mos
	Ppt	20,000	20,000	0	N30	1 mo
	Ppt	15,000	15,000	ō		1 mo
	Ppt	15,000	750	0		1 mo
	Ppt	10,000	5,000	0		1 mo
	Ppt	10,000	0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	7,500	0	0		2-3 mos
	Ppt	7,500	0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	5,000	0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	5,000	0	0		2-3 mos
	Ppt	2,500	2,500	0		1 mo
	Ppt	2,500	0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	1,000	1,000	0		1 mo
	Ppt	1,000	. 0	0		2-3 mos
	Ppt	1,000	0	୍ 0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	1,000	1,000	0	N30	1 mo
	Ppt	1,000	1,000	0		1 mo
	Ppt	1,000	500	0		1 mo
	Ppt	1,000	1,000	0.		1 mo
	Ppt	750	0	G		4-5 mos
	Ppt	500	0	0		4-5 mos
	Ppt	250	250	0		1 mo
	Ppt	100	0	0		4-5 mos
	Ppt	100	50	0		1 mo
	Ppt	50	0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt	0	0	0		1 mo
	Ppt	0	0	0	N30	6~12 mos
	Ppt	0	0	0	N30	6-12 mos
	Ppt	0	0	0		1 mo
	Ppt	0	0.	0		1 mo
	Ppt	0	0	0		1 mo
	Ppt	0	0	0	N30	6-12 mos
	Ppt-Slow 15	2,000,000	1,000,000	200,000		1 mo
	Ppt-Slow 30	500,000	500,000	70,000		1 mo
	Ppt-Slow 30	400,000	300,000	55,000		1 mo
	Ppt-Slow 30	300,000	250,000	35,000		1 mo
	Ppt-Slow 30	55,000	55,000	10,000		1 mo
	Ppt-Slow 30	40,000	0	0		2-3 mos
	Ppt-Slow 30	20,000	.0	0		6-12 mos
	Ppt-Slow 30	15,000	15,000	5,000		1 mo

Ppt-Slow 30	10,000	333 750	0		1 mo
Ppt-Slow 30	10,000	0	0	N15	4-5 mos
Ppt-Slow 30	7,500	2,500	2,500		1 mo
Ppt-Slow 30	750	0	0		4-5 mos
Ppt-Slow 60	100	0	0		4-5 mos
Ppt-Slow 120	10,000	10,000	10,000		6-12 mos
Ppt-Slow 120	7,500	7,500	7,500	N30	4-5 mos
Ppt-Slow 150	50	0	0		6-12 mos
Slow W	50,400	บ	U		? 3 mas
Slow 10	2,500	v	ţ,	11.0	- 5 mcs
SIM 30	50	0	0		4-5 m/m
Sing 50	ن	n	0		9-17 mos
510 170-10	250	U	0		द ५ भावर
Singy To-De	5,000	- 50	Ū		1 mu
54., 90-	7,501	<u>a</u>	9		4.5 mcs
Sich 135	,500	A	U		1 2 rao.
ştyr (id-18)	i,ca i	G	ა		¢−)? เลอก
Slope strain	1,101	n	. 0		1-17 Jil0a
(079)				Sales COD	1 mo
(080)				Sales COD	1 mo

Payment experiences reflect how bills are met in relation to the terms granted. In some instances payment beyond terms can be the result of disputes over merchandise, skipped invoices etc.

Each experience shown is from a separate supplier. Updated trade experiences replace those previously reported.

07/26/2013

Interim Consolidated statement dated JUN 30 2013 (in thousands):

Assets		Liabilitles	
Cash	16,164,000	Accts Pay	1,758,000
Accts Rec	7,321,000	Short-Term Debt	3,000,000
Inventory	342,000	Accruals	3,261,000
Mktble Securities	38,268,000	Accrued Exps & Other Current Liabs	3,300,000
Rec Under Rev Repurch Agrmnts	770,000	Securities Lending Payable	3,211,000
Deferred Income Taxes-Net	1,148,000	Other Curr Liabs	799,000
Income Taxes Receivable-Net	72,000		
Prepaid Rev Share/Exp & Other Asset	2,776,000		
Curr Assets	\$66,861,000	Curr Liabs	\$15,329,000
Fixt & Equip	12,912,000	Long-Term Debt	1,989,000
Prepaid Rev Share/Exp & Other Asset	1,891,000	Income Taxes Payable	2,271,000
Investments-Other	1,564,000	L.T. Llab-Other	2,609,000
Intangible Assets-Net	6,558,000	Def. Credits/Income	132,000
Goodwill	11,396,000	COMMON STOCK	24,334,000
		ACCUM OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS	(398,000)
		RETAINED EARNINGS	54,916,000
Total Assets	\$101,132,000	Total	\$101,182,000

From JAN 01 2013 to JUN 30 2013 sales \$28,074,000,000,336st of goods sold \$12,007,000,000. Gross profit \$16,067,000,000; operating expenses \$9,467,000,000. Operating income \$6,600,000,000; other income \$1,077,000,000; net income before taxes \$7,677,000,000; Federal income tax \$1,103,000,000; net income \$6,574,000,000.

Statement obtained from Securities And Exchange Commission. Prepared from books without audit.

Accounts receivable shown net less \$505,000,000 allowance. Fixed assets shown net less \$6,343,000,000 depreciation.

Explanations

The net worth of this company includes intangibles; Other Long Term Liabilities consist of deferred income taxes and other long term liabilities.

02/18/2013

EINANGE

Three-year statement comparative:

	Fiscal Consolidated Dec 31 2010	Fiscal Consolidated Dec 31 2011	Fiscal Consolidated Dec 31 2012
Current Assets	41,562,000,000	52,758,000,000	60,454,000,000
Current Llabs	9,996,000,000	8,913,000,000	14,337,000,000
Current Ratio	4.16	5.92	4.22
Working Capital	31,566,000,000	43,845,000,000	46,117,000,000
Other Assets	16,289,000,000	19,816,000,000	33,344,000,000
Net Worth	46,241,000,000	58, 145,000,000	71,715,000,000
Sales	29,321,000,000	37, 905,000,000	50,175,000,000
Long Term Liab	1,614,000,000	5,516,000,000	7,746,000,000
Net Profit (Loss)	8,505,000,000	9,737,000,000	10,737,000,000

Fiscal Consolidated statement dated DEC 31 2012:

Assets		Liabilities	
Cash	14,778,000,000	Accts Pay	2,012,000,000
Accts Rec	7,885,000,000	Short-Term Debt	2,549,000,000
Inventory	505,000,000	Accruals	3,710,000,000
Mktble Securities	33,310,000,000	Taxes	240,000,000
Rec-Under Reverse Repurch Agrmnt	700,000,000	Accrued Exps & Other Current Llabs	3,258,000,000
Deferred Income Taxes-Net	1,144,000,000	Securities Lending Payable	1,673,000,000
Prepaid Rev Share/Exp & Other Asset	2,132,000,000	Other Curr Llabs	895,000,000
Curr Assets	\$60,454,000,000	Curr Liabs	\$14,337,000,000
Fixt & Equip	11,854,000,000	Long-Term Debt	2,988,000,000
Prepaid Rev Share/Exp & Other Asset	2,011,000,000	Income Taxes Payable	2,046,000,000
Investments-Other	1,469,000,000	L.T. Llab-Other	2,612,000,000
Intangible Assets-Net	7,473,000,000	Def, Credits/Income	100,000,000
Goodwill	10,537,000,000	COMMON,STOCK	22,835,000,000
		ACCUM OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	538,000,000
		RETAINED EARNINGS	48,342,000,000
Total Assets	\$93,798,000,000	Total	\$93,798,000,000

From JAN 01 2012 to DEC 31 2012 annual sales \$50,175,000,000; cost of goods sold \$20,634,000,000. Gross profit \$29,541,000,000; operating expenses \$16,781,000,000. Operating income \$12,760,000,000; other income \$626,000,000; net income before taxes \$13,386,000,000; Federal income tax \$2,598,000,000. Net income \$10,737,000,000. Net Loss From Disc Operations \$(51,000,000).

Statement obtained from Securities And Exchange Commission. Prepared from statement(s) by Accountant: Ernst & Young, LLP, San Jose, California.

ACCOUNTANT'S OPINION

A review of the accountant's opinion indicated that the financial statement meets generally accepted accounting principles and the audit contains no qualifications.

Accounts receivable shown net less \$581,000,000 allowance. Fixed assets shown net less \$5,843,000,000 depreciation.

Explanations

The net worth of this company includes intangibles. Other long term liabilities consist of deferred income taxes-net and other long term liabilities.

The report was updated using information the company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Although the financial statements reflect a good financial condition, an overall fair composite credit appraisal has been assigned due to occasional reports of slow trade payments that are contained in the D&B files.

Statement date:

NEW WHAXAUSE RATECTS

DEC 31 2012

Based on this number of establishments: 17

Firm

Industry Median

1.2

Return of Sales: Current Ratio:

21.4 4.2

Return of Sales: Current Ratio:

1.6

Assets / Sales:

186.9

Assets / Sales:

167.1

Total Liability / Net Worth:

30.8

Total Liability / Net Worth:

173.1

Account(s) averages low 5 figures. Account open over 10 years. 02/13

The following Public Filing data is for Information purposes only and is not the official record. Certified copies can only be obtained from the official source.

Judgment award:

\$60,000

Status: FILING NO.:

THE STREET,

Unsatisfied 2010CA009946

Judgment type:

Judgment

Against:

GOOGLE INC., WASHINGTON, DC and OTHERS

In favor of:

DAVID JOSEPH, INC.

Where filed:

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SUPERIOR COURT, WASHINGTON, DC

Date status attained:

05/06/2011 05/06/2011

Date entered:

Latest Info Received:

08/19/2013

Status: CASE NO .: Judgment type:

Unsatisfied 06V00359 Judgment

https://creditreports.dnb.com/webapp/wcs/stores/serviet/ViewReport?order/temid=1087087448do=print

D&B Business Information Report: GOOGLE INC.

336

Against: In favor of: Where filed: GOOGLE INC

SAMUELS, JEFFREY

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURT/VAN NUYS BRANCH, VAN NUYS,

CA

Date status attained:

Date entered:

01/19/2006 01/19/2006

Latest Info Received:

04/07/2006

101113

Suit amount: Status: CASE NO.: \$10,000 Pending 13M05486

Plaintiff: Defendant: Cause: SCOTT JOHN

GOOGLE ADSENSE INC., MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA SMALL CLAIMS (LIMITED JURISDICTION)

Where filed:

LOS ANGELES COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURT/LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES,

CA

Date status attained:

Date filed: Latest Info Received: 06/17/2013 06/17/2013 06/21/2013

Suit amount: Status:

\$390 Pending

CASE NO.: Plaintiff: 2013650136HLH COLE WESLEE CHAMBERLAIN

Defendant:

GOOGLE INC

Where filed:

ORANGE COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURT/LAGUNA HILLS, LAGUNA HILLS, CA

Date status attained:

Date filed:

05/16/2013 05/16/2013 05/31/2013

Latest Info Received:

Status: Pending
DOCKET NO.: 2012SCI00094
Plaintiff: CARTER BRIAN
Defendant: GOOGLE INC

Where filed:

BUTLER COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT- FAIRFIELD, FAIRFIELD, OH

Date status attained:

Date status Date filed:

Latest Info Received:

11/13/2012 11/13/2012 01/09/2013

Suit amount: Status:

CASE NO.: Plaintiff: Defendant: \$11,000 Pending HS12626165 LARRY D. TRINDELL

GOOGLE INC.

Cause:

UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICE

Where filed:

ALAMEDA COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURT/HAYWARD, HAYWARD, CA

Date status attained:

Date filed: Latest Info Received: 04/17/2012 04/17/2012 05/04/2012

Status: CASE NO.: Plaintiff:

Pending CGCU11515924 KERRY C. PETERSON

GOOGLE INC.

Defendant: Cause: Where filed:

DEFAMATION
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Date státus attained:

Date filed:

11/15/2011 11/15/2011 12/02/2011

Latest Info Received:

D&B Business Information Report: GOOGLE INC.

337

Suit amount: \$5,000 Status: Pending DOCKET NO.: 11M5 1451

Plaintiff: 11M5 1451
Plaintiff: FASULLO LOUIS
Defendant: GOOGLE INC

Where filed: COOK COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT/5TH MUNICIPAL DIVISION, OAK LAWN, IL

 Date status attained:
 10/04/2011

 Date filed:
 10/04/2011

 Latest Info Received:
 11/01/2011

Suit amount: \$7,500
Status: Pending
CASE NO.: SCS124738
Plaintiff: JOSEPH A. EKMAN

Defendant: GOOGLE INC. AND OTHERS

Cause: Negligence

Where filed: SAN MATEO COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURT/REDWOOD CITY, REDWOOD CITY,

CA

 Date status attained:
 09/02/2011

 Date filed:
 09/02/2011

 Latest Info Received:
 10/03/2011

Status: Dismissed
CASE NO.: 11J01493
Plaintiff: ALRIGHTDEALS

Defendant: GOOGLE INC. AND OTHERS

Cause: SMALL CLAIMS (LIMITED JURISDICTION)

Where filed: LOS ANGELES COUNTY SMALL CLAIMS COURT/WEST COVINA, WEST COVINA,

CA

 Date status attained:
 10/05/2011

 Date filed:
 08/25/2011

 Latest Info Received:
 11/15/2011

Suit amount: \$90,000
Status: Pending
CASE NO.: 111CVU203909
Plaintiff: DAVE VESCIO

Plaintiff: DAVE VESCIO

Defendant: GOOGLE INC. AND OTHERS

EMPLOYMENT VIOLATIONS

Where filed: SANTA CLARA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, SAN JOSE, CA

 Date status attained:
 06/27/2011

 Date filed:
 06/27/2011

 Latest Info Received:
 08/08/2011

Suit amount: \$4,990 Status: Pending CASE NO.: 11C-267

Plaintiff: NORMAN FERGUSON

Defendant: GOOGLE INC AND OTHERS

Where filed: KANAWHA COUNTY MAGISTRATE COURT, CHARLESTON, WV

Data status attained: 01/27/2011
Date filed: 01/27/2011
Latest Info Received: 04/12/2011

If it is indicated that there are defendants other than the report subject, the lawsuit may be an action to clear title to property and does not necessarily imply a claim for money against the subject.

A lienholder can file the same lien in more than one filing location. The appearance of multiple liens filed by the same lienholder against a debtor may be indicative of such an occurrence.

Status: Open

D&B Business Information Report: GOOGLE INC.

338

DOCKET NO.: Type:

13-0305524 State Tax

STATE OF ALABAMA Filed by: **GOOGLE INC**

Against: Where filed:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, MONTGOMERY, AL

Date status attained:

Date filed:

Latest Info Received:

06/26/2013 06/26/2013

\$628

06/30/2013

Amount: Status: CASE NO .: Type:

Ореп 113000223918 Judament lien

STATE OF FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE Filed by:

GOOGLE INC Against:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, TALLAHASSEE, FL Where filed:

Date status attained: Date filed:

Latest Info Received:

01/30/2013 01/30/2013 08/07/2013

\$628 Amount: Terminated Status: 113000519810 CASE NO.: Judament lien Type:

STATE OF FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE Filed by:

GOOGLE INC Against:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, TALLAHASSEE, FL Where filed:

Date status attained:

01/30/2013 Date filed: Latest Info Received: 09/12/2013

Amount: Status: **FILING NO.:** Type:

\$3,139,668 Released 2013-003351 State Tax

03/06/2013

STATE OF MICHIGAN Filed by:

GOOGLE INC Against:

INGHAM COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS, MASON, MI Where filed:

Date status attained: Date filed:

Latest Info Received:

05/15/2013 01/18/2013 07/19/2013

Amount: Status: **FILING NO.:** Type:

\$9,122,657 Released 13-7346096362 State Tax

Filed by: Against:

CA EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

GOOGLE INC SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA

Where filed:

Date status attained: Date filed:

04/30/2013 01/15/2013 07/11/2013

Latest Info Received:

Amount: Status: CASE NO .:

Open 2013004637 State Tax

Type: Filed by:

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

\$141,226 Franchise

GOOGLE INC. Against:

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA RECORDER OF DEEDS, WASHINGTON, DC Where filed:

Date status attained:

01/09/2013 01/09/2013 02/01/2013

Date filed: Latest Info Received:

D&B Business Information Report: GOOGLE INC.

\$9,121,170 Amount: Released Status:

CASE NO.: 22039440 State Tax Type:

CA EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Filed by:

GOOGLE INC. Against:

Where filed: SANTA CLARA COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS, SAN JOSE, CA

04/09/2013 Date status attained: 01/08/2013 Date filed: Latest Info Received: 04/17/2013

Amount: \$1,196 Орел Status: 12-1828033 CASE NO .: County Tax Type: TAX COLLECTOR filed by: GOOGLE, INC Against:

LOS ANGELES COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS, NORWALK, CA Where filed:

Date status attained: 11/30/2012 Date filed: 11/30/2012 12/31/2012 Latest Info Received:

Open Status: 12-0620413 DOCKET NO .: State Tax Type:

STATE OF ALABAMA Filed by: **GOOGLE INC** Against:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, MONTGOMERY, AL Where filed:

Date status attained: 11/28/2012 Date filed: 11/28/2012 12/09/2012 Latest Info Received:

\$2,994 Amount: Open Status: 1630/0631 BOOK/PAGE: Type: State Tax

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA Filed by:

Against: **GOOGLE INC**

RICHLAND COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS, COLUMBIA, SC Where filed:

09/07/2010 Date status attained: 09/07/2010 Date filed: Latest Info Received: 10/22/2010

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Inventory and proceeds - Account(s) and proceeds - Equipment and proceeds Collateral: Original

Type:

THE BOELTER COMPANIES, INC, GLENDALE, WI Sec. party:

GOOGLE INC Debtor: 097211436104 Filing number:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA **Filed** with:

10/16/2009 Date filed: 10/22/2009 Latest Info Received:

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Assets Collateral: Original Type:

CLINARD, JONATHAN TODD, KELSO, WA JANUARY 19TH FOUNDATION, Sec. party:

D&B Busicess Information Report: GOOGLE INC.

ZURICH JUSTICE TRUTH AND COMMITMENT, ZURICH SUXXESS, ZURICH and

OTHERS

Debtor:

GOOGLEMAIL AND GMAIL - GEORGE HILLMAN and OTHERS

Filing number:

Filed with:

Date filed: Latest Info Received: 200922596227 SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, OLYMPIA, WA

08/13/2009

08/20/2009

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Account(s) - Assets

Type:

Amendment

Sec. party:

CLINARD, JONATHAN TODD, KELSO, WA JANUARY 19TH FOUNDATION,

ZURICH JUSTICE TRUTH AND COMMITMENT, ZURICH SUXXESS, ZURICH and

OTHERS

Debtor:

GOOGLEMAIL AND GMAIL - GEORGE HILLMAN and OTHERS

Filing number: 200924433544

Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, OLYMPIA, WA

Date filed:

Latest Info Received: Original UCC filed date: 09/01/2009 09/10/2009 08/13/2009

Original filing no.:

200922596227

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Account(s) and proceeds

Type:

Amendment

Sec. party:

CASHTRADE AG, PFAFFIKON CLINARD, JONATHAN TODD, KELSO, WA JANUARY 19TH FOUNDATION, ZURICH JUSTICE TRUTH AND COMMITMENT, ZURICH and

OTHERS

Debtor:

GOOGLEMAIL AND GMAIL - GEORGE HILLMAN and OTHERS

Filing number:

Filed with:

200925457891

Date filed:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, OLYMPIA, WA 09/11/2009

Latest Info Received: Original UCC filed date: Original filing no.:

09/25/2009 08/13/2009 200922596227

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collaterai:

Assets

Type: Sec. party: Amendment JONATHAN TODD CLINARD, TRUSTEE, GREENSBORO, NC THE CLINARD FAMILY LIVING TRUST, GREENSBORO, NC THE TRASK GROUP OF COMPANIES, AND

THE CLINARD FAMILY LIVING TRUST

Debtor:

GOOGLEMAIL & GMAIL - GEORGE HILLMAN and OTHERS

Filing number:

200932322489

Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, OLYMPIA, WA

Date filed:

11/19/2009 11/25/2009 08/13/2009

Latest Info Received: Original UCC filed date:

Original filing no.:

200922596227

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Equipment and proceeds

Type:

Original

Sec. party:

UNITED RENTALS (NORTH AMERICA), INC., CHARLESTON, SC

Debtor: Filling number: GOOGLE INC. 2012 1633017

Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, DOVER, DE

D&B Business Information Report: GOOGLE INC.

341

Date filed:

04/27/2012

Latest Info Received:

05/16/2012

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Computer equipment - Leased Business machinery/equipment

Type:

Original

Sec. party:

IKON FINANCIAL SVCS, MACON, GA GOOGLE INC., MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA

Debtor: Filing number:

127320797644

Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA

Date filed:

Latest Info Received:

07/14/2012 07/19/2012

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Fixtures - Equipment

Type:

Original

Sec. party:

SAM TELL & SON, INC, FARMINGDALE, NY

Debtor:

GOOGLE INC, NEW YORK, NY

Filing number:

1105250285744

Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, ALBANY, NY

Date filed:

Latest Info Received:

05/25/2011

06/01/2011

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Business machinery/equipment - Leased Communications equipment including

proceeds and products

Type:

Original

Sec. party:

IKON FINANCIAL SVCS, MACON, GA

Debtor:

GOOGLE INC 087174260166

Filing number:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA

Filed with: Date filed:

10/04/2008

Latest Info Received:

10/09/2008

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Business machinery/equipment - Leased Business machinery/equipment

including proceeds and products

Type:

Original

Sec. party:

IKON FINANCIAL SVC5, MACON, GA

Debtor:

GOOGLE INC 087171847073

Filing number: Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA

Date filed:

09/13/2008

Latest Info Received:

09/18/2008

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Business machinery/equipment - Leased Business machinery/equipment

including proceeds and products

Type:

Originai

Sec. party:

IKON FINANCIAL SVCS, MACON, GA

Debtor: Filing number: GOOGLE INC

087165632795

Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA

Date filed:

07/18/2008

Latest Info Received:

07/24/2008

342

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Business machinery/equipment

Type:

Original

Sec. party:

IOS CAPITAL, MACON, GA

Debtor:

GOOGLE INC

Filing number:

067096891076

Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA

Date filed:

12/29/2006

Latest Info Received:

01/02/2007

This data is for informational purposes only, certification can only be obtained through the Sacramento Office of the California Secretary of State.

Collateral:

Leased Business machinery/equipment including proceeds and products

Type:

Original

Sec. party:

IKON FINANCIAL SVCS, MACON, GA GOOGLE INC., MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA

Debtor:

127301265734

Filing number: Filed with:

SECRETARY OF STATE/UCC DIVISION, SACRAMENTO, CA

Date filed: Latest Info Received: 02/16/2012 02/28/2012

There are additional UCC's in D&B's file on this company available by contacting 1-800-234-3867.

There are additional suits, liens, or judgments in D&B's file on this company available by contacting 1-800-234-3867.

The public record items contained in this report may have been paid, terminated, vacated or released prior to the date this report was printed.

COMPRESSED BY ACTIVITY

Activity summary

NO Borrower (Dir/Guar): YES Administrative debt: YES Contractor:

Grantee:

NO NO

Party excluded from federal program(s):

Possible candidate for socio-economic program consideration

Labor surplus area:

YES (2013)

Small Business:

N/A

8(A) firm:

N/A

The details provided in the Government Activity section are as reported to Dun & Bradstreet by the federal government and other sources.

Chergs Rest Stotomic Proposition ages amportant Document Amportant

LEASE PROPOSAL SUMMARY

Section 4 of this Proposal in response to the MFA RFP details Planetary Ventures' ("PV" or "Offeror") proposed rent and related terms for a lease agreement for the MFA Assets and the development and rehabilitation of those assets.

This Section 4 includes ((b) (4)

a MFA Lease Proposal outlining proposed terms and conditions for the lease agreement with NASA including rent, term, use and other key elements of the proposal. The MFA Lease Proposal is intended to serve as a road map to the lease negotiations and is not intended to present "take it or leave it" terms.

The elements and key benefits of PV lease proposal are described as follows:

(b) (4)

- Positive budget impact over the full 96 year term of the lease, including renewal options of (b) (4) consisting of:
 - o Providing rental payments of (b) (4)
 - Relieving the Government of the operating and on-going expenses for the Airfield and Golf Course
- Immediate capital investments in existing assets (b) (4)
 - Re-siding and restoration of Hangar One, eliminating the Government's requirement to fund this work (b) (4)
 - o (b) (4) restoring Hangars Two & Three (b) (4)
 - o Providing capital investment in Airfield and Golf Course operations (b) (4)

(b) (4)

Ciferan's Bent Tempunit Proposal and Supporting Decumentation

(b) (4)

Section 4 | Page 2

Pages 345-349, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

Olfshall, Rent. Er anntie Propositi and Sombarting Discussorianion

MFA Lease Proposal

This Lease Proposal outlines the Offeror's proposal to lease MFA in its entirety and is intended to serve as an initial outline of certain terms and conditions for negotiation of the Lease Agreement for the property. The Offeror has taken the Government's preferences into account by providing the greatest financial return and cost avoidance with a lease term that makes the proposal viable.

Form of Agreement: Lease Agreement ("LA").

Lessor:

United States of America, acting by and through the National Aeronautics and Space Administration ("Government" or "NASA").

Lessee:

Planetary Ventures LLC ("Lessee, Offeror or PV"). PV is a wholly owned subsidiary of GEV Real Estate Holdings, Inc., which in turn is a wholly owned subsidiary of Google Inc. ("Google").

Leased Premises:

(1) Hangar One, Buildings 31 and 33 and the adjacent area comprising approximately 16 acres, (2) the MFA Airfield, including all runways, taxiways, buffer areas and support buildings including Building 158, comprising approximately 800 acres, and (3) the MFA Golf Course, comprising approximately 200 acres (collectively, the Leased Premises).

(b) (4)

Tem:

A sixty (60) year term commencing October 1, 2014 and expiring on September 30, 2074, subject to termination and renewal rights as set forth below.

Renewal Options:

The term of the LA may be extended for Three (3) Twelve Year (12-year) renewal terms at the option of the Lessee by giving written notice of its exercise of each such option to NASA no later than 365 days prior to the end of the then current term of the LA.

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Section 4

(b) (4)

Rent:

The rent shall include the following components;

(b) (4)

If the LA is renewed, the annual rental payment for the first renewal term shall be \$21,000,000, the annual rental payment the second renewal term shall be \$21,500,000, and the annual rental payment the third renewal term shall be \$22,000,000.

(b) (4)

Use:

The LA shall convey exclusive rights to the Offeror for the use and enjoyment of the Leased Premises, subject only to the provisions of the LA. The Airfield and the Golf Course will continue in their current use. subject to operational changes after the completion of the Initial Tenant Improvements as described in the "Concept Narrative." It is anticipated that Hangar One, Two and Three will be used by the Offeror or its tenants for research, testing, assembly, development and other related uses for space, aviation, rover/robotics and other technology and scientific areas. The uses will include 90,000 square feet educational/museum (b) (4)

Lessee Obligations:

As part of its obligations as Lessee, the Offeror (1) will take over complete responsibility for the operation of the Airfield and Golf Course, (2) will rehabilitate Hangar One and implement the improvements outlined in the "Concept Narrative" for the adaptive reuse of Hangar One, (3) will undertake exterior and interior structural restoration work for Hangars Two and Three, and (4) will implement the improvements proposed for the Airfield and the Golf Course, all as described in the "Concept Narrative." (Items 2, 3, and 4 above are referred to as the Initial Committed Improvements).

Operating Expenses:

Lessee shall be responsible for all costs of operation of the Leased Premises, with the

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is aubject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Section 4

Cities and Rend Heamonic Proposal and Supporting Documentation

exception of existing environmental contamination.

ARFF and ISP:

The LA shall include an estimate of annual ARFF costs as well as an agreement on the pro rata percentage of such costs for which the Lessee shall be responsible. The Offeror will cooperate and assist NASA to negotiate the best possible annual cost for the ARFF.

(b) (4)

Plan Review (b) (4)

The LA shall include a negotiated schedule and process for plan reviews (b) (4)

(b) (4)

Subordination

NASA will not be required to subordinate its fee interest in the Lease Premises to any financing proposed by the Lessee. The Lessee may grant a leasehold mortgage on its interest in the LA to secure financing for the Leased Premises. (b) (4)

Additional Property Information

(b) (4)

Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the inside cover of this Proposal.

Section 4

Officers Ren. Economic Proposal and Supporting Documentages

(b) (4)

Lease Stipulations:

0.00

Various lease stipulation attached to this MFA

Lease Proposal as Exhibit A.

Other Terms and Conditions:

The LA shall contain such other terms and conditions as are necessary or appropriate to

fully reflect the terms of this Proposal.

Page 355, Redacted in Full (b)(4), (b)(7)(F)

Page 356, Redacted in Full (b)(4)

Page 357, Redacted in Full (b)(4), (b)(7)(F)

Page 358, Redacted in Full (b)(4)